

PREPARATION OF NANO COMPOSITE FROM SOYBEAN PROTEINS

By

DINA EL-SAYED HELMY AZAB

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Food Technology), Cairo University, 2004

M.SC. Agri. Sci. (Food Science), Ain Shams University, 2011

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Agricultural Sciences

(Food Science and Technology)

Department of Food Science

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

2019

Approval Sheet

**PREPARATION OF NANO COMPOSITE FROM
SOYBEAN PROTEINS**

By

DINA EL-SAYED HELMY AZAB

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Food Technology), Cairo University, 2004
M.SC. Agri. Sci. (Food Science), Ain Shams University, 2011

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Laila Abdel Hady Shekib

Prof. Emeritus of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of
Agriculture, Alexandria University.

Dr. Nadia Refaat Abdel Rahman

Prof. Emeritus of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Amal Ahmed Hassan

Prof. of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University.

Dr. Yehia Abd Al-Razik Heikal

Prof. Emeritus of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Date of Examination: 11 / 6 / 2019

PREPARATION OF NANO COMPOSITE FROM SOYBEAN PROTEINS

By

DINA EL-SAYED HELMY AZAB

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Food Technology), Cairo University, 2004

M.SC. Agri. Sci. (Food Science), Ain Shams University, 2011

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Yehia Abd Al-Razik Heikal

Prof. Emeritus of Food Science and Technology, Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. (Principal Supervisor)

Dr. Amal Ahmed Hassan

Prof. of Food Science and Technology, Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Ferial Mohamed Abu Salem

Researcher Prof. Emeritus of Food Technology, Department of Food Technology, National Research Centre.

ABSTRACT

Dina El-Sayed Helmy Azab: Preparation of Nano Composite From Soybean Proteins. Unpublished PH.D. Thesis, Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2019.

This study aimed to produce soy protein isolate and glycinin nanoparticles using High Intensity Ultrasonic. The obtained nanoparticles were characterized by TEM, FTIR, Zeta potential, XRD and particle size distribution and hydrophobicity, as well as by their functional properties such as water holding capacity, oil holding capacity, emulsifying properties and protein solubility. Also, the utilization of these nano proteins in production of some food products such as beef burger, mayonnaise and apple chips and study the functional characteristics of these products during storage were investigated.

The protein content in the soybean protein isolate was 90.4%, while it was 91.3 % in glycinin. Soy protein isolate and glycinin were subjected to ultrasonic treatment at 25 ± 2 °C, frequency 400 watt for 50 min to produce nano particles. The results of particle size distribution showed a poly dispersion index for soybean protein isolate, nano soy protein, glycinin and nano glycinin in the range of 0.462, 0.414, 0.79 and 0.567, respectively.

Zeta potential showed a difference in the charge on soybean protein molecules after treatment with ultrasonication. The values were (-27.3), (-34.3), (-25.1) and (-25.5) for soy protein, nano soybean protein, glycinin and nano glycinin, respectively. There were no changes in the pH for NSPI and NGLY supplemented burgers. These results may be as a result of water and oil binding properties of nano proteins as shown in results of water and oil holding capacity of treated and non-treated proteins. X-ray diffraction showed a decrease in 2θ from 20.8° for SPI to 19.8° for NSPI, while 2θ of NGLY was increased from 19.7° for GLY to 19.9°

The samples of the processed burger using nano soybean protein isolate and nano glycinin showed high quality attributes. Addition of nano soybean protein to the batter of beef burger reduced the cooking loss as a result of better blending of nano SPI and glycinin particles with meat protein increasing its ability to retain water and fat structure. An increase in the percentage of cooking loss after 3 months of freezing storage occurred as a result of the formation of ice crystals in the burger and also reducing its ability to retain water and therefore low cooking characteristics of the burger.

Incorporation of nano soy protein isolate and glycinin in mayonnaise formula, resulted in a slight decrease in pH-values after 3 months of frozen storage compared with control samples. On the other side, nano soy protein particles produced mayonnaise with compacter size distribution, more viscous emulsion with higher values for consistency coefficient and yield stress which improving its use as salad dressing.

The best sensory acceptance of mayonnaise was for both samples containing soybean protein nano particles and nano glycinin protein when replacing 0.5% of the nano protein as an emulsifier substitute for 50% of egg yolk compared with other tested samples

Application of SPI and GLY nano particles in coating of apple chips produced dry products with better values of color attributes (L, a and b), lower values of browning index, lower equilibrium moisture content under different water activity (relative humidity) values of storage and increased mono-layer moisture content compared with the control or normal SPI and GLY coated samples. These values give indicator for better stability of NSPI and NGLY coated dried apple chips during storage.

Key Words: Soy protein isolates, glycinin, nanotechnology, beef burger, apple chips and mayonnaise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, full praise and gratitude is to Allah

Who gave me the support to finish my PH.D. Thesis.

I wish to express my hearty appreciation and sincere gratitude to **Dr. Yehia Abdel Razik Heikal**, Professor of Food Science and Technology, Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, indispensable advice .Sincere thanks to **Dr. Amal Ahmed Hassan** Professor of Food Science and Technology, Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for her supervision, constructive encouragement and valuable guidance.

Grateful acknowledgment to **Dr. Ferial Mohamed Abu Salem**, Professor of Food Technology, National Research Centre, Cairo, for her supervision, offering facilities, valuable comments and constructive criticism, beneficial instructions and continuous guidance during the course of this work. Deepest thanks and appreciation to **Dr. Taher Salah Eldin**, professor of Nanotechnology, British University in Egypt for his supervision, constructive and valuable guidance. My outstanding appreciation to **Dr. Azza Anwar Kotb**, professor of Food Science and Technology, Food Science and Nutrition Division, National Research Centre for her guidance, constructive criticism and every possible help she kindly offered throughout the course of this work.

Thanks are also extended to all staff members of Food Technology Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, and Department of Food Science, Faculty of agriculture, Ain Shams University for their co-operation during this work.

My deepest gratitude is offered to my family: **my mother and my brothers.**

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF CONTENT	I
LIST OF TABLES	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
LIST OF APPREVIATIVES	XI
1- INTRODUCTION	1
2- REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
Part I : Soy proteins isolate	5
2-2 Extraction of soy protein	7
2-3 Nanotechnology	8
2-4 Part II: Preparation methods for nano proteins	10
2-5 Production of nano particle using ultrasonication	12
2-6 Characterization of nano material	14
2-7 Physical properties of nano particles	16
2-8 Functional properties	17
2-8-1 Hydrophobicity	19
2-8-2 Solubility	20
2-8-3 Emulsifying properties	20
2-9 Part III: Application	22
2-9-1 Use of soy proteins in production of beef burger	23
2-9-1-1 Application of nanomaterial's in meat products	25
2-9-2 production of mayonnaise	27
2-9-3 production of apple chips	31
3- MATERIALS AND METHODS	35
3-1 Materials	35
3-2 Chemicals	35
3-3 Methods	35

II

	Page
part 1 : 3-3-1 Extraction of soybean protein isolate (SPI) and glycinin (GLY)	35
3-3-1-1 Preparation of samples Ultrasound treatment	36
3-3-2 Part 2: Characterization and functional properties of treated and non-treated samples	36
3-3-2-1 Ultra Violet–VIS spectroscopy	36
3-3-2-2 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR) of treated and non-treated samples	36
3-3-2-3 X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies of the treated and non-treated	36
3-3-2-4 Transmission electron microscopy of treated and non-treated samples	37
3-3-2-5 Particle size, zeta potential and Polydispersity	37
3-3-2-6 Functional properties of treated and non-treated samples.	38
3-3-2-6-1 Water holding capacity	38
3-3-2-6-2 Protein solubility	38
3-3-2-6-3 Hydrophobicity	39
3-3-2-6-4 Emulsifying properties	39
3-3-2-6-5 Oil holding capacity	40
3-3-2-7 Gel electrophoresis	40
3-3-3 Part 3: Application	41
3-3-3-1 Preparation of burger	41
3-3-3-1-1 Physico-chemical characteristics:	41
3-3-3-1-1-1 Chemical composition	41
3-3-3-1-1-2 pH- value	42
3-3-3-1-1-3 Cooking procedure and cooking measurements	42

III

	Page
3-3-3-1-1-4 Water holding capacity	42
3-3-3-1-1-5 Shrinkage measurements	43
3-3-3-2 Preparation of the mayonnaise	43
3-3-3-2-1 Determination of some physical properties of low fat mayonnaise produced by SPI, NSPI, GLY and NGLY samples	44
3-3-3-2-1 Optical microscope observation	44
3-3-3-2-2 pH – values	44
3-3-3-2-3 Rheological analysis	45
3-3-3-3 Production of apple chips coated with soy protein edible films	46
3-3-3-3-1 Preparation of soy proteins isolate films	46
3-3-3-3-2 Apple chips production	46
3-3-3-3-3 Measurement of equilibrium moisture content of dried apple chips at different a_w -values and estimation of sorption isotherms curves	47
3-3-4 Texture profile analysis (TPA) of burger and mayonnaise samples.	49
3-3-3-5 Sensory evaluation for tested of burger, mayonnaise and apple chips samples. products	49
3-3-6 Color measurement of tested products	50
3-3-7 Statistical analysis	51
4- RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	52
4-1- Part I: Extraction of treated and non- treated proteins.	52
4-1-1 Chemical composition of treated and non-treated protein	52
4-2 Part II characterization of SPI, NSPI, GLY and NGLY samples	52
4-2-1 Transmission electron microscope (TEM)	52

IV

	Page
4-2-2 UV–Vis Spectroscopy	53
4-2-3 X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement	54
4-2-4 Polydispersity Index and zeta potential	56
4-2-5 Zeta potential (ζ)	57
4-2-6 Fourier transforms infrared (FTIR) measurements	58
4-3 Functional properties of treated and non- treated proteins	61
4-3-1 water holding capacity, oil holding capacity and hydrophobicity	61
4-3-2 Protein solubility	64
4-3-3 Emulsion stability and capacity	65
4-3-4 Gel electrophoresis of soy proteins samples	67
4-4 Part III: Application of SPI, NSPI, GLY and NGLY in some foods products processing.	68
4-4-1 Production of burger using soy proteins	68
4-4-1-1 Effect of freezing storage on moisture and protein content of burger samples	69
4-4-1-2 pH measurement of burger samples	72
4-4-1-3 Shrinkage percent of cooked burger samples	72
4-4-1-4 Water Holding Capacity and plasticity of beef burger	73
4-4-1-5 Cooking loss and cooking yield of cooked burger	75
4-4-1-6 Color measurement of burger samples	77
4-4-1-7 Texture profile analysis (TPA) of Burger samples	79
4-4-1-8 Sensory Properties of burger	82
4-4-2 Production of mayonnaise using soy proteins	84
4-4-2-1 Microscopic pictures of mayonnaise samples	84
4-4-2-2 pH measurement	89
4-4-2-3 Rheological properties of mayonnaise samples	91
4-4-2-4 Pre sensory evaluation of mayonnaise samples	96

	Page
4-4-2-5 Color characteristics of mayonnaise samples	97
4-4-2-6 Sensory evaluation of mayonnaise samples	101
4-4-2-7 Texture profile of mayonnaise samples	103
4-4-3 Production of apple chips coated by soy proteins	106
4-4-3-1 Moisture sorption isotherms	107
4-4-3-2 Sorption isotherms curves of tested apple chips slices	111
4-4-3-2-1 Mathematical description of sorption isotherm curves	112
4-4-3-3 Color characteristics of apple chips	121
4-4-3-4 Sensory evaluation of apple chips	124
5- SUMMARY	127
6- CONCLUSION.....	135
7- REFERENCES	136

VI

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
1	Ingredient of burger formulations (g/100g).	41
2	Formulas of full-fat and low-fat mayonnaise.	44
3	Proximate composition of treated and non- treated proteins.	52
4	Polydispersity index and zeta potential of treated and non-treated particles.	56
5	Water holding capacity, oil holding capacity and contact angle for treated and non –treated proteins.	62
6	Effect of frozen storage (3 months) at -18 °C on moisture content and loss of burger samples.	71
7	Effect of frozen storage (3 months) at -18 °C on protein content and loss of burger samples.	71
8	Effect of frozen storage (3 months) at -18 °C on shrinkage percent of burger samples.	73
9	Effect of frozen storage (3 months) at -18 °C on WHC and Plasticity of burger samples.	74
10	Color parameters of burger supplemented with treated and non-treated proteins at zero time.	78
11	Color measurements of burger supplemented with treated and non-treated proteins after the frozen storage (3 months) at -18 °C.	79
12	Texture profile of burger supplemented with treated and non- treated proteins at zero time and freezing storage (3 months) at -18 °C.	81
13	Sensory evaluation of burger samples at zero time.	83
14	Sensory evaluation of burger sample after frozen storage (3months) at -18 °C.	83
15	pH- values for low cholesterol mayonnaise samples at zero time and after storage at 4 °C.	90

VII

Table No.		Page
16	Rheological parameters of mayonnaise samples.	96
17	Pre sensory evaluation for low cholesterol mayonnaise samples.	97
18	Color characteristics of mayonnaise samples at zero time.	100
19	Color characteristics of mayonnaise samples at the end of storage period (3 months) at 4°C.	101
20	Sensory evaluation of mayonnaise samples at zero time.	102
21	Sensory evaluation of mayonnaise samples after 3 months storage at 4°C.	103
22	Textural profile of mayonnaise samples.	105
23	Moisture content of apple chips coated with soy proteins edible films.	107
24	The equilibrium moisture content of dried apple chips pre-treated by different coating materials.	110
25	Sorption isotherms parameters of dried apple chips as calculated by BET, GAB, Oswin and Henderson equations.	120
26	Color for apple chips non-dipped in sucrose solutions, coated and non-coated with protein edible films.	123
27	Color for apple chips dipped in sucrose solutions, coated and non-coated with proteins edible films.	124
28	Sensory evaluation for apple chips dipped in sucrose solutions coated and non-coated with protein edible films.	125
29	Sensory evaluation for apple chips after 2 weeks storage.	126

VIII

LIST OF FIGERS

Fig. No.		Page
1	The different techniques for extraction of proteins and peptides.	8
2	Mechanism for process of aggregation by ultrasonic treatment.	13
3	Transmission electron microscopic images. (a) SPI, (b) NSPI, (c) GLY and (d) NGLY.	53
4	UV spectrum of SPI (a), NSPI (b), GLY (c) and NGLY (d).	54
5	X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra of soy protein isolate (SPI) and nano soy protein isolate (NSPI).	55
6	X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra of glycinin (GLY) and nano glycinin (NGLY).	56
7	Particle size distribution of SPI and NSPI (a), GLY and NGLY (b).	57
8	FTIR spectrum for soy protein isolate and Nano soy protein isolate.	58
9	FTIR spectrum for glycinin and Nano glycinin.	60
10	Contact angle of treated and non-treated soy protein sample, a: SPI, b: NSPI, c: GLY and d: NGLY.	63
11	Protein solubility of SPI (a) NSPI (b), GLY (c) and NGLY (d) at different pHs.	65
12	EAI of GLY and NGLY (a), SPI and NSPI (c) and ESI of GLY and NGLY (b), SPI and NSPI (d) at different pHs.	67
13	Gel electrophoreses for treated and non-treated soy proteins.	67
14	Production of burger using treated and non-treated soy proteins. A: Negative control, b: SPI, c: NSPI, d: GLY and e: NGLY.	68

IX

15	Effect of frozen storage (3 months) at -18 °C on moisture loss of burger samples.	70
16	Effect of frozen storage (3 months) at -18 °C on pH of burger samples.	72
17	Effect of frozen storage (3 months) at -18 °C on cooking loss of burger samples.	76
18	Effect of frozen storage (3 months) at -18 °C on cooking yield of burger samples.	76
19	Low cholesterol mayonnaise replaced with treated and non- treated proteins as an egg yolk replacer.	84
20	Optical microscope micrographs of mayonnaise samples, magnification is $\times 20$, Commercial: commercial mayonnaise, SPI: mayonnaise with soy protein isolate, NSPI: mayonnaise with nano soy protein isolate.	87
21	Optical microscope micrographs of mayonnaise samples, magnification is $\times 20$, Commercial: commercial mayonnaise, GLY: mayonnaise with glycinin, NGLY: mayonnaise with nano glycinin.	88
22	pH- values for low cholesterol mayonnaise samples at zero time and after storage at 4 °C.	90
23	Flow curves of mayonnaise samples containing (soy protein and nano soy protein isolate).	92
24	Flow curves of mayonnaise samples containing (glycinin and nano Glycinin).	93
25	Apple chips with osmosis treatment and without any edible film (a), apple chips dipping in sucrose and coated with SPI (b), NSPI (c), Control without any treatment and without edible film (d), GLY (e) and NGLY (f) edible films.	106
26	Equilibration of the material to different moisture contents at different water activity.	109