

ENHANCING WATER USE EFFICIENCY OF "LE CONTE" PEAR TREES UNDER DESERT SOIL CONDITIONS

By

MOHAMED SHAABAN ABDEL KARIM
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Horticulture), Fac. Agric., Fayoum Univ., 2006

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of**

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

**Agricultural Sciences
(Pomology)**

**Department of Pomology
Faculty of Agriculture
Cairo University
EGYPT**

2019

Format Reviewer

Vice Dean of Graduate Studies

APPROVAL SHEET

**ENHANCING WATER USE EFFICIENCY OF "LE
CONTE" PEAR TREES UNDER DESERT SOIL
CONDITIONS**

**M.Sc. Thesis
In
Agric. Sci. (Pomology)**

By

MOHAMED SHAABAN ABDEL KARIM
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Horticulture), Fac. Agric., Fayoum Uni., 2006

APPROVAL COMMITTEE

Dr. ATEF MOATAMED HUSSEIN
Head Researcher of Deciduous Fruit, Horticulture Research Ins., ARC.

Dr. SAMY EL-KOSARY MELEGY
Professor of Pomology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. MOHAMED ABD EL-AZIZ ABD EL-MOHSEN.....
Associate Professor of Pomology, Fac., Agric., Cairo University

Dr. TAREK ABD EL-ALEEM FAYED.....
Professor of Pomology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Date: 16 / 5 /2019

SUPERVISION SHEET

**ENHANCING WATER USE EFFICIENCY OF "LE
CONTE" PEAR TREES UNDER DESERT SOIL
CONDITIONS**

**M.Sc. Thesis
In
Agri. Sci. (Pomology)**

By

MOHAMED SHAABAN ABDEL KARIM
B.Sc .Agric. Sci. (Horticulture), Fac. Agric., Fayoum Uni., 2006

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Dr. TAREK ABD EL-ALEEM FAYED
Professor of Pomology, Fac., Agric., Cairo University

Dr. MOHAMED ABD EL-AZIZ ABD EL-MOHSEN
Associate Professor of Pomology, Fac., Agric., Cairo University

Dr. MOHAMED MAHMOUD ALI
Head Researcher of Deciduous Fruit, Horticulture Research Inst., ARC.

Name of Candidate: Mohamed Shaaban Abdel Karim **Degree:**M.Sc.

Title of Thesis: Enhancing Water Use Efficiency of "Le Conte" Pear Trees Under desert soil Conditions.

Supervisors: Dr. Tarek Abd El-Aleem Fayed
Dr. Mohamed Abdel- Aziz Abdel- Mohsen
Dr. Mohamed Mahmoud Ali

Department: Pomology

Branch:

Approval: 16/ 5/2019

ABSTRACT

This study carried out during three successive seasons 2015, 2016 and 2017 on "Le-Conte" pear trees grafted on *Pyrus communis* and growing in loamy sand soil under drip irrigation system, to investigate the effects of water regime treatments (50%, 75% and 100% of crop water requirement (CWR) as well as anti-transpiration materials (Kaolin and Green Miracle) or black polyethylene mulch which used as additional treatment to enhance water use efficiency. The first season was considered to be as a preliminary season to eliminate the residual effects of the previously used irrigation treatment.

Results showed that although the highest irrigation rate gave the highest fruit set, yield and fruit weight, the additional treatments under 75 % of CWR produced the same significantly results in comparison with trees received 100 % of CWR without any additional treatments. While firmness and TSS increased with decreasing the irrigation rate. Furthermore add kaolin improved TSS and firmness. Also, using kaolin and mulching under 100 or 75% of CWR were increasing vegetative growth parameters. Applying 100% of CWR with kaolin was significantly induced the highest leaf N, Fe and Zn content. Applying 75% with kaolin induced significantly the highest K and Ca content. As well as using mulching under 75% of CWR induced significantly the highest P and Mg. The highest leaf total chlorophyll was attributed to the applying 100% of CWR and improved by add additional treatments. The highest leaf total carbohydrates were attributed to the applying 100% or 75% of CWR. Also, additional treatments improved leaf carbohydrates content. In general increment in promoting growth hormones IAA, GA3 in parallel with increasing the applied water and vice versa while the contrary result was occurs with ABA. Under any irrigation regime, applying anti-transpiration material or mulching induced significantly enhancement in IAA, GA3 and induced significantly lower ABA in comparison with un-additional treatment. On other hand a significant higher peroxidase was gave by applying 50% followed by applying 75%, compared to the lowest values occurs by applying 100% of CWR. Lowest irrigation regime caused the highest percentage of fruit sunburn, which achieved the lowest percentage with using kaolin. Application of 50% of CWR was the most effective in inducing the highest water use efficiency, which were also improved by adding additional treatments.

Key words: Le-Conte pear –water regimes –anti-transpiration –kaolin –green miracle – mulching - water use efficiency.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise and thank be to "ALLAH" the most merciful for assisting and directing me to the right way.

*I wish like to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Tarik Abd El-Aleem**, Professor of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for his ideal supervision, valuable advice, kind encouragement, providing facilities during this investigation, expert guidance and stimulating suggesting.*

*Great thanks expressed to **Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Aziz** Assistant Professor of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for his supervision, kind help, continuous encouragement and stimulating criticism during the preparation of this work.*

*I am also indebted to **Dr. mohamed mahmoud** head researcher of Deciduous fruit, Horticulture Research Institute Agriculture Research Center for proposing the proplem sincere advice constant guidance active providing the needed apparatus and stimulating criticism during the whole investigation.*

Special thanks expressed to the staff of Pomology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for their co-operation and friendly atmosphere.

Special thanks to my colleagues in the Deciduous Fruit Research Department, Horticulture Research Institute, ARC, for their co-operation and friendly atmosphere.

Special deep appreciation is given to my father, my mother and my brothers.

My sincere thanks to every one helped me and supplied me with the facilities during this work.

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION.....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	5
1. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on fruit set(%).....	5
2. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on yield.....	6
3. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on fruit weight and volume.....	10
4. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on fruit length and diameter.....	12
5. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on fruit firmness.....	15
6. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on total soluble solid (TSS).....	16
7. Effect of water regime, anti- transpiration and mulching treatments on fruit acidity.....	19
8. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on vegetative growth.....	20
9. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on leaf mineral nutrients content.....	24
10. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on leaf total chlorophyll content.....	27
11. Effect of water regime, anti- transpiration and mulching treatments on leaf total phenol content.....	29
12. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on leaf carbohydrates content.....	30
13. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on endogenous phytohormons content.....	31
14. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on enzymes content.....	34

CONTENTS (Cont.)

	Page
15. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on fruit sunburn.....	36
16. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on water use efficiency (WUF)..	38
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	40
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	48
1. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on fruit set (%) and yield (kg)...	48
2. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on fruit weight (gm) and fruit Volume (cm³).....	50
3. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on fruit length (cm) and fruit diameter (cm).....	52
4. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on fruit firmness (lb/inch²).....	54
5. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on fruit TSS and acidity (%).....	56
6. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on vegetative growth.....	58
a. Shoot length (cm).....	58
b. Shoot thickness (cm).....	60
c. Leaf number/shoot.....	60
d. leaf area (cm ²).....	61
7. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on leaf mineral content.....	64
a. Nitrogen (N%).....	64
b. Phosphorus (P%).....	64
c. Potassium (K%).....	66
d. Calcium (Ca%).....	66
e. Magnesium (Mg%).....	68
f. Iron (Fe ppm).....	69
g. Zinc (Zn ppm).....	69
h. Manganese (Mn ppm).....	71
8. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on total leaf chlorophyll (mg/g FW), phenols (mg GAE/L) and carbohydrates (%) Content.....	73

CONTENTS (Cont.)

	Page
9. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on endogenous phytohormons.	77
10. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on Peroxidase (Pro) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity	79
11. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on fruit sunburn (%).....	81
12. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and Mulching treatments on water use efficiency (WUF) (kg/m ³).....	83
SUMMARY.....	86
REFERENCES.....	98
ARABIC SUMMARY.	

INTRODUCTION

Pear is one of the most important deciduous fruits grown worldwide. "Le- Conte" is the main pear cultivar grown in Egypt which resulted as a hybrid between (*Pyrus communis*, L.) and (*Pyrus serotina*, Rehd). According to Ministry of Agricultural and Land Reclamation (2016), Egyptian cultivated area reached 13345 feddans that produced about 69752 tons with an average production of 6281 tons/ feddan.

Water is the most limiting factor for crop production, especially in areas where agriculture relies heavily on irrigation. The importance of water in living organisms results from its unique physical and chemical properties, which also determine its functions in plant physiology (Pretorius and Wand 2003). The main constraint to food production is water availability, which is influenced by climate change and increased consumption in different areas. Agriculture requires high amounts of water, exceeding 70% worldwide (FAO, 2011; Kohli *et al.*, 2010). The most recent forecast for climate change suggests a significant increment in temperature and a major reduction in the annual precipitation during the 21st century leading to a 17% decline in the water resources available for agriculture world-wide (García-Tejero *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, the current problems of shortage of water resources available for agriculture make it imperative to look for alternative methods of water deficit for our irrigation systems.

Deficit irrigation (DI), defined as the application of water below full crop-water requirements, is an important tool to achieve the goal of

reducing irrigation water use. The application of water below the evapotranspiration (ET) requirements is termed deficit irrigation. Irrigation supply under DI is reduced relative to that needed to meet maximum evapotranspiration (English, 1990). But some studies noticed that DI can cause a negative effect on growth and productivity of fruit trees (Daniells *et al.*, 1987; Robinson and Alberts, 1986). The results on fruit trees showed that water deficits and the associated water stresses during developmental stages would not negatively affect fruit yield. Many researchers have reported effects of regulated water deficits on vegetative growth, flowering, fruit growth, and yield in different pear tree cultivars under different climatic conditions (Mitchell *et al.*, 1984, Caspari *et al.*, 1994; Marsal *et al.*, 2000). Some investigators found that RDI techniques used from the early stages of fruit growth up to the end of shoot growth affected vegetative growth by inhibiting shoot development, but did not affect the final fruit size, number of fruit produced or yield (Chalmers *et al.*, 1984; Li *et al.*, 1989). Goldhamer *et al.* (2006) reported variations in the effects of water stress treatments applied at different times on the yield and yield components of almonds. According to Le *et al.* (1989) and Girona *et al.* (1997), Timing of water deficits was found to have important effects on productivity of fruit trees. On the other hand, excessive water may have adverse effects on fruit quality, since it increases vegetative growth, promoting nutritional imbalance and decreasing fruit dry mass (Liao and Lin, 2001; Jackson and Colmer, 2005).

So merge a number of ways with some must be incorporated to saving water with the minimum negative effect. Antitranspirants are a

method of reducing the impact of drought, that are chemical compounds whose role is to train plants by gradually hardening them to stress (Khalil *et al.*, 2011). Reducing transpiration can play a useful role by preventing the excessive loss of water to the atmosphere via stomata (Khalil, 2006). That involved in increasing drought resistance by tending to cause xeromorphy and/or stabilizing cell structure (Ouda *et al.*, 2007). There are different types of antitranspirants: film-forming which stops almost all transpiration; stomatic, which only affects the stomata; reflecting materials (Nasraui, 1993). Kaolin is a naturally occurring mineral, main constituent is kaolinite (Obaje *et al.*, 2013). It is a non-toxic alumino silicate ($\text{Al}_4 \text{Si}_4 \text{O}_{10} (\text{OH})_8$) clay mineral; kaolin spray decreased leaf temperature by increasing leaf reflectance and reduced transpiration rate more than photosynthesis in plants (Ibrahim and Selim, 2010). It can be expected that, the kaolin applications reduced leaf temperature, reduced the water require for trees under stressed- water apple trees (saving water irrigation), may be increased the yield and improve the quality of fruits and prolonged the shelf life of fruits after harvesting.

Green Miracle is a new-generation of anti-stress product. It is based on long chain fatty alcohol derived from non-edible vegetable oil. Green Miracle functions primarily on the principle of reflecting the sun's rays. Applied as a foliar spray, it forms a thin glassy film-coat, which reflects incident light more than it would occur under normal conditions. This prevents the thermic effect of light on plant tissues. Mulching is generally used to improve the soil around plants, can reduce the amount of time spent on tasks such as watering and

weeding. Mulches help soil retain moisture in summer, prevent weeds from growing and protect the roots of plants in winter (Davis, 1975). Plastic mulches provide many positive advantages for the user, such as increased yields, earlier maturing crops, with higher quality, enhanced insect management, and weed control (Saeid *et al.*, 1993). Also, mulching was improving vegetative growth and distribution of roots and their absorption of nutrients (Verma *et al.*, 2005).

The aim of this study is to enhancing water use efficiency of "Le-Conte" pear trees under desert soil conditions by using deficit irrigation (DI) with anti-transpiration materials and plastic mulches.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. Effect of water regime, anti-transpiration and mulching treatments on fruit set (%)

Generally fruit set percentage decreased under deficit water regime (Nikbakht *et al.*, 2011 and Grijalva-Contreras, 2013). Water deficit and high fruit loads in the previous season significantly reduced the concentration of winter (RSC) so a combination of two factors reduced initial fruit set (Lopez *et al.*, 2007). Also, fruit set percent of olive decreased as the water was reduced (Grijalva-Contreras, 2013).

However used of antitranspirants materiel reduced the negative effect of trees fruit set under deficit irrigation system. Whereas Masoud (2012) on Apricot reported that spraying the three antitranspirants material namely green miracle, Kaolin and vapor gard each at 1.0 to 4.0 % was significantly increased the yield expressed in number of fruits per tree and weight (kg.) comparing with the control. Also, Rehab (2014) found that using kaolin treatment under stress irrigation improved fruit set and decreased the percentage of fruit drop. Furthermore, Abdel Ghani *et al* (2013) on olive concluded that, kaolin foliar spray at 5% significantly increased yield as number of fruits per tree or weight (kg) of Aggezi and Picual olives compared to foliar spray with calcium carbonate (5%) and tap water. Also, yield as number of fruits per tree of Balady mandarin trees was significantly increased by all kaolin treatments comparing with control (Ali *et al.* 2017). In addition, mulch treatment effected on fruit set (Patra *et al.*, 2003; Abouziena *et al.*, 2014). On Le-Conte pear, Fruit set percentage ranged in the two seasons, respectively, from 3.6% and 4.0% in the