



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

**Study of the impact of increasing the span of self-anchored
suspension bridge on the bridge components**

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Degree of Master of
Science in Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering)

By

Samy Farouk Ali El Din El Kady

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering

Structural Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2012

Supervised by

Prof. Dr . Amin Saleh Aly

Professor of structural engineering

Ain Shams University

Dr . Ayman Sayed Abo Beh

Lecturer of structural engineering

Ain Shams University

Cairo

2019



Ain Shams University

Faculty of Engineering

Structural Engineering Department

Name : Samy Farouk Ali El Din El Kady

Thesis: Study of the impact of increasing the span of self-anchored suspension bridge on the bridge components

Degree: Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering)

Examiners Committee

Name and Affiliation	Signature
Prof. Dr .Sherief Ahmed Mourad Professor of Steel Structures Faculty of Engineering Cairo University	
Prof. Dr .Mohamed Nour El Din Saad Fayed Professor of Structural Engineering Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr .Amin Saleh Aly Saleh Professor of Structural Engineering Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University Date:29/6/2019	



Ain Shams University

Faculty of Engineering

Structural Engineering Department

Name : Samy Farouk Ali El Din El Kady

Thesis: Study of the impact of increasing the span of self-anchored suspension bridge on the bridge components

Degree: Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering)

Supervisors Committee

Name and Affiliation	Signature
Prof. Dr .Amin Saleh Aly Saleh Professor of Structural Engineering Ain Shams University	
Dr .Ayman Sayed Abo Beh Lecturer of Structural Engineering Ain Shams University	
Date:	

Postgraduate Studies

Authorization Stamp : The Thesis is authorized at/...../.....

College Board Approval

...../...../.....

University Board Approval

...../...../.....

CIRRICULUM VITAE

Name : Samy Farouk Ali El Din El Kady

Date of Birth : 1/7/1990

Place of Birth : Giza, Egypt

Nationality : Egyptian

Scientific Degree : B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2012

Current Job : Project Manager at a Contracting Company

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of M.Sc. in Civil Engineering.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis , and no part of it has submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Name : Samy Farouk Ali El Din El Kady

Signature :

Date :

ABSTRACT

This thesis aims to indicate the behavior of self-anchored suspension bridge (Pylon- Girder and Cables) of prestressed concrete girder type when the main span increases from 160 meters up to 500 meters while monitoring the impact of this increase on the bridge components. For analyzing the bridge behavior at increasing span , parametric study has been done to clarify the relation between bridge components and the impact of changing the geometrical properties for each of the elements on other elements that maintain the same properties with presenting the results of the studied six models for each element and also the impact of the sag value on other bridge elements has been figured out for the three studied models.

To determine the straining actions of bridge components under both dead and live loads a study on eight models with different spans has been considered ,the increment process is done using scaling method as all of the bridge geometrical properties and components dimensional properties are selected relative to the bridge main span.

The results of the different models were presented separately on each element of the bridge. A comparison was made between the results obtained from the “CSI BRIDGE 20” program which was used for the analysis of the study and compared with the results of the manual solutions with the suggestion of another method of manual solution in accordance with the nature of the bridge and show the results and the percentage of the results differences from the solution extracted from the program for all eight studied models.

The results clarify that with increasing the span the bridge components straining actions under dead and live loads have monotonous and regular increase which mean that the validated dimensions proved to be efficient, the prestressing system is important in order to control the stresses at girder and keep them at allowable range which indicates the difficulty of reaching this limit of spans using a reinforced concrete girder.

The study concluded the possibility of access to a span of more than 500 meters after studying the behavior of the bridge elements during the operational phase with a necessity to study the behavior of the bridge components during the construction phase in future studies on this type of bridges.

Summary

This study was carried out in the light of what is observed for this type of suspension bridges, as the span range for self-anchored suspension bridge considered to be limited compared to the conventional suspension type and to analyze the causes for this phenomenon the bridge behavior has been studied through the final stage under both of dead and live loads.

A set of preliminary studies were done to achieve the purpose of this research and also to clarify the behavior of the bridge depending on different cases and conditions.

Parameter study on the verified reference model considered changes of four bridge components and geometries (Girder-Cable-Pylon-Sag) is done to determine the effect of elements on each other and also on the bridge general behavior.

A comparison between results of analysis using CSI bridge and manual calculations using elastic theory for the calculation of initial forces at main cable under permanent loads and (increase at cable force-girder bending moment-girder deflection) under live loads analysis is done for all of the models of different spans which start from main span of 160 meters till 500 meters to clarify the difference between results using different theories.

The behavior of the bridge at span increase was analyzed for different models with span up to 500 meters which considered to be more than any of the existent span for this type of bridges.

This thesis contains seven chapters

Chapter One: Introduction

This chapter is concerned with the identification of the self-anchored suspension bridge and its components, study objective, summary of thesis content and comparison between it and the conventional suspension bridges.

Chapter Two: Bridge Review

This chapter presents an overview at what has been achieved historically in this type of bridges, reviewing the theories used for analysis, clarifying the geometric characteristics of a number of bridges of this type at different time periods and highlighting the main obstacles to reaching a relatively larger spans.

Chapter Three: Reference Model

This chapter indicates the followed basis for reference model geometrical properties through which a series of comparisons and studies will be carried out, studying the detailed geometrical and mechanical characteristics of the components, load model and loading cases on the bridge, primary analysis for the bridge components cross sections.

Chapter Four: Validation of Reference Model

This chapter includes definition of the used code, clarifying the validation procedures of the bridge components and the process of components validation for different sections according to the properties of the studied element.

Chapter Five: Parameter Study

This chapter shows the relationship between bridge components and the impact of loads acting on each of the components according to other components cross sections and make the final conclusion of those effects

Chapter Six: Span Increment Possibility

This chapter presents a comparison between the bridge straining actions results for manual calculations & computer analysis and indicates the effect of increasing the main span and other bridge geometries on each element of the bridge to determine its behavior at a relatively larger spans with making a final conclusion of the studied models.

Chapter Seven: Conclusion and Recommendations

This chapter outlines a summary for a set of final conclusions reached from studying different models of the bridge as well as the recommendations to be taken into account in the future studies of this type of bridges.

Table of Contents

Summary.....	i
Table of Contents.....	iii
List of Figures.....	vii
List of Tables.....	x
List of Appendices.....	xi
1 Chapter(1) Introduction.....	1
1.1 Preface	1
1.2 Thesis objective	1
1.3 Summary of content.....	1
1.4 Identification of self-anchored suspension bridge	2
1.5 Difference between self-anchored and conventional bridge	3
1.6 Types of loads to be studied	5
1.7 Program used to analyze the bridge	5
2 Chapter(2) Bridge Review	6
2.1 Historical Review	6
2.2 Literature Review	10
2.3 Parameter Study.....	11
2.4 Theory of Analysis.....	11
2.5 Geometric overview of self-anchored suspension bridge	12
2.5.1 Tabular Study	12
2.5.2 Graphical Study	14
2.6 Reasons for limit span of SASB unlike other bridges.....	15
2.6.1 Cable anchorage methodology.....	15
2.6.2 The methodology of construction.....	17
3 Chapter(3) Reference Model	21
3.1 Reference model properties	21

3.2	Structural Bridge Components.....	22
3.2.1	Deck.....	22
3.2.2	Pylon	25
3.2.3	Cables.....	27
3.3	Load Model.....	29
3.4	Primary analysis of the dimensions of the bridge	31
3.5	The Loading Cases on the Bridge	37
4	Chapter(4) Validation of Reference Model	40
4.1	Code Introduction.....	40
4.2	Definition of Limit States	40
4.2.1	Limit states	40
4.2.2	Ultimate Limit State.....	41
4.2.2.1	Moment of Resistance.....	41
4.2.2.2	Shear	44
4.2.2.3	Stability	45
4.2.3	Serviceability Limit State.....	47
4.2.3.1	Stress Limit	48
4.2.3.2	Deformation	49
4.2.3.3	Cracking.....	49
4.3	Procedures of Validation	49
4.3.1	Requirements of Validation	49
4.3.1.1	Shear Lag Effect.....	49
4.3.1.1.1	Flange of study	50
4.3.1.2	Prestressing System.....	51
4.3.1.2.1	Prestressing Tendon Path.....	51
4.3.1.2.2	Prestressing Tendon Properties.....	52
4.3.2	Ultimate Limit State Validation.....	53
4.3.2.1	Moment of Resistance.....	53

4.3.2.2	Shear	62
4.3.2.3	Stability	65
4.3.3	Serviceability Limit State.....	67
4.3.3.1	Stress Limit	67
4.3.3.2	Deformation	70
4.3.3.3	Cracking.....	70
4.4	Summary	70
5	Chapter(5) Parameter Study.....	71
5.1	Girder Impact	71
5.2	Cable Impact.....	76
5.3	Pylon Impact.....	81
5.4	Sag Impact	86
5.5	Recommendation and Conclusion	91
6	Chapter(6) Span Increment Possibility.....	93
6.1	Models Geometrical Properties.....	93
6.2	Comparison between manual calculations and numerical analysis	95
6.2.1	Dead loads calculations	95
6.2.2	Live loads calculations(Simple span).....	96
6.2.3	Live loads calculations(Continuous span)	101
6.3	Girder Preview.....	105
6.4	Cable Preview	108
6.5	Pylon Preview	110
6.6	Conclusion	115
7	Chapter(7) Conclusion and Recommendations	117
7.1	Conclusion	117
7.1.1	Construction Evolution	117
7.1.2	Relation between bridge components.....	117
7.1.3	Span increment possibility.....	118

7.2 Recommendations..... 118

References120

Appendices121

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Self-anchored suspension bridge components.....	3
Figure 1-2 Conventional suspension bridge	3
Figure 1-3 Self anchored suspension bridge	3
Figure 2-1 Cologne - Deutz Bridge	6
Figure 2-2 Cologne - Mülheim Bridge	6
Figure 2-3 Krefeld- Uerdingen Bridge	7
Figure 2-4 Duisburg Bridge	7
Figure 2-5 Three sisters.....	8
Figure 2-6 Konohana Bridge	8
Figure 2-7 Yeongjong Grand Bridge	9
Figure 2-8 Kanne Bridge.....	9
Figure 2-9 San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge	9
Figure 2-10 Langer's design for Wrsowicer Bridge	10
Figure 2-11 Effect of the Axial Force at the Girder Deflection	12
Figure 2-12 Relation between main and side spans for different self-anchored bridges	14
Figure 2-13 Relation between main span and the sag.....	14
Figure 2-14 Relation between main span and the girder slenderness ratio	15
Figure 2-15 The Analyzed components of tension force in main span cable	16
Figure 2-16 The Relation Between Increasing the Span and Horizontal Component....	17
Figure 2-17 Full Scaffold Technique	19
Figure 2-18 Pingsheng Bridge (Incremental Launching Technique)	19
Figure 2-19 Hoisting Erection Technique	20
Figure 2-20 Cantilever method	20
Figure 3-1 Reference model geometrical properties	22
Figure 3-2 Stiffening girder types.....	23
Figure 3-3 Pylon statical system	25
Figure 3-4 Pylon geometrical shape.....	26
Figure 3-5 vertical plane geometrical shape.....	27
Figure 3-6 Three dimensional geometrical shape	27
Figure 3-7 Wire strand.....	28
Figure 3-8 Parallel wires	28
Figure 3-9 Parallel wire strands	28
Figure 3-10 Locked coil strand	29
Figure 3-11 The Bridge Lanes	30
Figure 3-12 Distribution of loads based on load model (1) on the bridge width.....	30
Figure 3-13 Girder dimensional properties.....	31
Figure 3-14 Equivalent distributed and axle loads on the bridge width	31
Figure 3-15 Main cables angles for bridge main and side spans	32
Figure 3-16 Horizontal and vertical forces acting at bridge side span.....	33

Figure 3-17 Symmetric loading cases at longitudinal direction	37
Figure 3-18 Girder bending moment based on three loading cases	38
Figure 3-19 Girder normal force based on three loading cases	38
Figure 3-20 Girder displacement based on three loading cases	38
Figure 3-21 Girder cross sections of stress validation	39
Figure 3-22 Girder cross sections of shear validation.....	39
Figure 4-1 Equivalent stress block at concrete compression flange	41
Figure 4-2 Three stages of strain distribution occur to the box girder	42
Figure 4-3 Stress-Strain diagram for prestressing steel	43
Figure 4-4 Stress distribution at the transverse direction of concrete box girder	51
Figure 4-5 Cross sections considered of shear lag effect check	51
Figure 4-6 Proposed tendon path at the bridge longitudinal direction	52
Figure 4-7 Trials of x at the prestressed stress-strain diagram (Section 1).....	55
Figure 4-8 Trials of x at the prestressed stress-strain diagram (Section 2).....	57
Figure 4-9 Trials of x at the prestressed stress-strain diagram (Section 3).....	59
Figure 4-10 Trials of x at the prestressed stress-strain diagram (Section 4).....	61
Figure 4-11 Equivalent box girder cross section	62
Figure 5-1 Relation between the girder height and the bending moment on the girder	72
Figure 5-2 Stresses of the girder at top and bottom flanges at two locations(support and mid span)	72
Figure 5-3 Normal forces values for the main cable and the girder itself.....	73
Figure 5-4 Relation between girder deformation and girder height	74
Figure 5-5 Influence of the girder height on the pylon from the displacement view	74
Figure 5-6 Indicate the effect of the girder height on the pylon moment.....	75
Figure 5-7 Normal forces values at pylon top and base for each girder height	76
Figure 5-8 Relation between cable diameter and girder bending moment	77
Figure 5-9 Indicate the influence of the cable diameter on the girder stress	77
Figure 5-10 Indicate the values of normal forces at cable and girder for different cable diameters	78
Figure 5-11 Shows the relation between cable diameter and girder deformation	78
Figure 5-12 Relation between the cable diameter and the pylon horizontal displacement	79
Figure 5-13 Illustrate the relation between the cable diameter and pylon bending moment	80
Figure 5-14 Relation between cable diameter and the normal forces at the pylon	80
Figure 5-15 Indicate the relation between pylon dimensions and bending moment at girder	81
Figure 5-16 Relation between pylon dimensions and stresses at girder mid span and support locations	82
Figure 5-17 Shows the development of normal forces for cable and girder at different pylon dimensions	82

Figure 5-18 Clarify the relation between pylon dimensions and girder deformation	83
Figure 5-19 Illustrate the relation between pylon dimensions and displacement.....	84
Figure 5-20 Relation between pylon dimensions and bending moment	84
Figure 5-21 Illustrate the relation between pylon dimensions and normal forces.....	85
Figure 5-22 Illustrate the relation between the sag to main span ratio and bending moment at girder.....	86
Figure 5-23 Relation between the sag to main span ratio and girder stresses	87
Figure 5-24 Impact of sag to span ratio on the bridge normal forces (Cable-Girder) ...	87
Figure 5-25 Indicates the impact of sag to span ratio on the girder deformation.....	88
Figure 5-26 Relation between sag to main span ratio and pylon displacement	89
Figure 5-27 Relation between sag to main span ratio and pylon bending moment	89
Figure 5-28 Relation between sag to main span ratio and pylon normal forces	90
Figure 6-1 Main cable and girder displacement considering simple span assumption ..	97
Figure 6-2 Live loads distribution at bridge deck width	98
Figure 6-3 Live loads distribution at girder longitudinal length	101
Figure 6-4 Live loads distribution at cable longitudinal length.....	101
Figure 6-5 Relation between span length and bending moment at mid span and support	105
Figure 6-6 Relation between the span length and girder shear force at support.....	106
Figure 6-7 Relation between the span length and girder stress for top and bottom flanges at mid span and support.....	107
Figure 6-8 Relation between the span length and girder deformation.....	108
Figure 6-9 Development of normal forces for main cable and girder according to span length	109
Figure 6-10 Relation between the span length and cables stresses.....	110
Figure 6-11 Relation between the span length and pylon bending moment	111
Figure 6-12 Shear force at pylon relation to different bridge span	111
Figure 6-13 Relation between the span length and pylon normal force.....	112
Figure 6-14 Relation between the span length and pylon stress.....	113
Figure 6-15 Relation between the span length and pylon displacement	114