

EFFECT OF SELENIUM AND VITAMIN E LEVELS ON MILK QUALITY AND SAFETY

By

EHAB NASR MEEBED AHMED DAOUD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Cairo University, 2002

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Nutrition), Ain Shams University, 2011

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirements for the Degree of**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in
Agricultural Sciences
(Animal Nutrition)**

**Department of Animal Production
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2019

Approval Sheet

EFFECT OF SELENIUM AND VITAMIN E LEVELS ON MILK QUALITY AND SAFETY

By

EHAB NASR MEEBED AHMED DAOUD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Cairo University, 2002

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Nutrition), Ain Shams University, 2011

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Dr. Mohsen Mahmoud Shukry

Researcher Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, National Research
Center.

Dr. Ahmed Abdel- Latif Zaky El-Basiony

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University.

Dr. Nasr El-Sayed Yehia

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University.

Dr. Hussein Saad Hussein Soliman

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University.

Date of Examination: / / 2019

EFFECT OF SELENIUM AND VITAMIN E LEVELS ON MILK QUALITY AND SAFETY

By

EHAB NASR MEEBED AHMED DAOUD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Cairo University, 2002

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Nutrition), Ain Shams University, 2011

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Hussein Saad Hussein Soliman

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor).

Dr. Hamdy Mohamed Ahmed El-Sayed

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Nasr El-Sayed Yehia

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Gihan Mohamed El-Moghazy

Prof. of Veterinary medicine, Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agriculture Research Center.

ABSTRACT

Ehab Nasr Meebed Ahmed Daoud: Effect of Selenium and Vitamin E levels on milk quality and safety. Unpublished Ph D. Thesis, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2019.

The main objectives of this study were to improve milk quality as well as maximizing profitability from milk production as a quantity and quality. Different types of silage from different regions in Egypt were used to reduce the feed cost and increase feed efficiency for lactating animals. Selenium and vitamin E were supplemented to lactating animals diets to decrease somatic cell counts, this decreasing the probability of mastitis incidence to improve milk quality and safety. The study included three field and laboratory trials which were; *In vitro*, *In sacco* and *In vivo* evaluation studies of this silage samples which were collected from four different areas in Egypt. These are; El-Salhia, El-Nobaria, El-Monofia and Janaklise. The samples were analyzed for their dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL). The silage samples were evaluated *In vitro* and *In sacco*. *In vitro* evaluation was conducted using gas production technic. Gas production (GP) was recorded at 0, 2, 4, 8, 16, 24 and 48 hours of incubation. The organic matter digestibility (OMD), short chain fatty acids (SCFA), and metabolizable energy (ME) were calculated. The *In sacco* experiment, involved dacron bags containing silage samples collected from different regions were incubated in three fistulated Barki rams for 24 h. Samples were taken out at 2, 4, 8, 12 and 24 h of incubation. The obtained results indicated that the Janaklise area silage samples recorded the lowest ($P<0.01$) values of GP through the different times incubation compared to other samples. The silage from El-Salhia had the highest ($P<0.01$) values for OMD and SCFA. The DMD significantly ($P<0.01$) increased within the different

times of incubation with El Salhia silage samples. However, the lowest values ($P < 0.01$) were recorded with El-Nobaria silage samples.

Depending on *In vitro* and *In sacco* results, El-Salhia silage was chosen for conducting the lactation trial. Total of 98 Holstein dairy cows (early, mid and late lactation) were randomly distributed among three groups, control group, low supplement (Se & Vitamin E) and low forage (silage) (LSLF) $N = 33$, high supplement low forage group (HSLF) $N = 33$ and high supplement high forage group (HSHF) $N = 32$. Each group was placed in a pen equipped with free stalls. Cows of the control group (LSLF) and cows of the second group (HSLF) were given TMR1, while the third group (HSHF) was given TMR2 (high corn silage content). Second and the third groups (HSLF and HSHF) were supplemented with extra 0.15 mg of Se and 275 IU of vit. E/day. The trial period lasted for 90 days.

The results indicated that milk yield slightly increased for HSLF and HSHF than the control group. Milk fat content was positively and significantly ($P < 0.05$) affected by increasing silage in the ration (HSHF), however, milk protein, lactose, total solids, and milk ash content were not significantly ($P > 0.05$) affected. Fat corrected milk yield (FCMY) and energy corrected milk yield (ECMY) significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased for HSHF compared to HSLF and LSLF. The data as its expected that supplementing lactating animal ration with vitamin E and selenium increased milk content from vitamin E and selenium as well as glutathione peroxidase activity for the ration supplemented with HSLF and HSHF compared to the control group (LSLF).

Concerning the effect of experimental vitamin E + selenium treatments on cation concentration, the data clearly showed that vitamin E and selenium supplementation resulted significant decrease ($P < 0.05$) Na^+ content of milk for HSHF and HSLF compared to LSLF (un-supplemented group). Also, vitamin E and selenium supplementation decreased K^+ concentration for HSHF and HSLF compared LSLF but, these differences were not statistically significant.

Also, total bacterial count and electrical conductivity for the supplemented group were decreased compared to un-supplemented but the differences were not significant.

Data showed that there were significant decreases in DMI/MY, DMI/FCMY4% and DMI/ECMY for HSHF group. HSHF group was more efficient in converting TDNI to MY, FCMY and ECMY, while HSLF group was more efficient than the LSLF group. There were no significant difference between the groups in converting CPI to MY. CPI/FCMY and CPI/ECMY were significant more efficient for HSHF group compared with HSLF and LSLF groups. Protein efficiency (%) and Energy efficiency (Mcal/l) significantly higher for HSHF group compared with HSLF and LSLF groups.

Blood serum analysis, total protein, albumin, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), urea, creatinine, sodium, potassium, calcium were not significantly affected by treatments and they were within the normal range. Gpx. activity in serum was in normal range for all groups. However, HSHF group had a significantly higher value than other treatments compared with control group. Concerning to the effect of experimental treatment on blood neutrophil concentration, the data clearly showed that, there were significant ($P < 0.05$) differences between treatments in blood neutrophil percent and it was higher ($P < 0.05$) for the control group (LSLF) than other treatments. HSHF group was the most economical efficient group (43.61%) compared with other groups, LSLF group (36.94 %) and HSLF group (36.79%).

Under the present experimental conditions it could be concluded that using high level of corn silage could be very important factor for reducing feed cost additionally, supplementing vitamin E and selenium to lactating animals increased milk quality and safety in terms of that lead to achieve more profitability and sustainability for dairy farms.

Keywords: Selenium, Vitamin E, Milk Quality and Safety

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thank To ALLAH, the most gracious, most beneficent and merciful for his endless support to achieve the goals of the present study.

I'm grateful to my supervisor **Prof. Dr. Hussein Saad Hussein Soliman** Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his guidance and for his great effort with me to do this research, I appreciate his endless help, his time, and his invaluable advices through this work.

I would like to express my deepest, sincere, appreciation and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Hamdy Mohamed Ahmed El-Sayed**, Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, guidance, valuable help and continuous support during preparing this work.

Also, I would like to express my deepest, sincere appreciation and gratitude to the supervisor **Prof. Dr. Nasr El -Sayed Yehia El-Bordeny** Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, guidance, valuable help and continuous support during preparing this work.

I'm so grateful to the supervisor **Prof. Dr. Gihan Mohamed El-Moghazy**. Prof. of Veterinary medicine, Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agricultural Research Center, for her invaluable advices through this work and providing facilities during the microbiological studies.

My deepest thanks to **Dr. Abd-Raof El-Gohary** chairmen of the board of directors of El-Salhia project for his kind approval to do this work in El-Salhia farm. Also grateful acknowledgement should also be extended to **Dr. Soliman Gamal** the General Manager of El-Salhia Dairy Farm who assist me in carrying on.

Also grateful acknowledgement should be extended to **Dr. Hamed El-Bana**, General Manager of **Deltavet Center Company** to give me the active material to make this work possible.

My deep thanks also to my friends **Dr. Ramadan abd El-Gawad** and **Dr. Mahmoud Kinawy**. Researchers, National Research Centre for helping me to finish this work.

Thanks also for all lab staff of Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agricultural Research Center, for their invaluable assistance through this work.

I would like to express my gratitude and my deep thanks to my mother and my wife for their great support, encouragement and enhancing me throughout my life in general and especially through this study.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF APPREVIATIONS	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1 Milk quality and safety	4
2.1.1 Effect of high bacterial counts in raw milk on milk quality and its effect on dairy sector sustainability.	4
2.1.2 Factors contributing to increasing total bacterial count in milk.	6
2.1.2.1 Milk storage temperature and time.	7
2.1.2.2 Influence of equipment cleaning and sanitizing procedures.	7
2.1.2.3 Microbial contamination from the exterior of the udder.	8
2.1.2.4 Microbial contamination from the udder.	9
2.1.3 Mastitis and mastitis definitions.	10
2.1.4 Factors contributing mastitis.	11
2.1.4.1 Environmental factors.	11
2.1.4.1.1 Climate.	11
2.1.4.1.2 Housing.	12
2.1.4.1.3 Of indoor air.	12
2.1.4.1.4 Bedding.	12
2.1.4.1.5 Stress.	13
2.1.4.2 Genetic factors.	13
2.1.4.3 Physical and ethological factors.	14
2.1.4.4 Human factors.	15
2.1.4.5 Nutritional factors.	15
2.1.4.5.1 Nitrogen and proteins.	15
2.1.4.5.2 Concentrates and energy.	16

II

	Page
2.1.4.5.3 Calcium-phosphorus ratio.	16
2.1.4.5.4 Silage and hay.	17
2.1.4.5.5 Alfalfa and other legumes.	17
2.1.4.5.6 Selenium and vitamin E.	18
2.1.5 Negative effects of mastitis.	18
2.1.6 Consequences of mastitis on dairy farming.	19
2.1.6.1 Yield loss.	19
2.1.6.2 Chang in milk composition.	20
2.1.6.3 Veterinary and treatment costs.	21
2.1.6.4 Discarded milk.	21
2.1.6.5 Extra labor.	22
2.1.6.6 Subsequent disorders.	22
2.1.6.7 Culling.	23
2.1.7 Consequences of mastitis on dairy products.	24
2.1.7.1 Development of off-flavors in high SCC pasteurized and UHT milk.	24
2.1.7.2 Impact on yogurts.	25
2.1.7.3 Impact on cheeses.	26
2.1.7.4 Mastitis can induce the production off-flavors and texture defects.	27
2.1.8 Effect of vitamin E and selenium supplementation to dairy cows diets for preventing from mastitis.	27
2.1.8.1 Effect of vitamin E supplementation to dairy cows diets for preventing from mastitis incidence.	27
2.1.8.2 Effect of Selenium (Se) supplementation to dairy cows diets for preventing from mastitis.	30
2.2 Feed cost.	33
2.2.1 Higher feed cost and its effect on dairy sector sustainability.	33
2.2.2 Factors contributing to raising feeding costs for dairy cows.	34
2.2.2.1 Revolution of biofuel production.	34

III

	Page
2.2.2.2 Rising consumption of meat and milk in developing countries.	35
2.2.2.3 Environmental condition.	37
2.2.2.4 Negative effects of high price of feedstuff on dairy industry.	37
2.2.3 Steps to overcome the raising of dairy cows feeding cost problem.	39
2.2.3.1 Know your costs.	39
2.2.3.2 Feed smart, not cheap.	39
2.2.3.3 Look before you leap into commodity buying.	40
2.2.3.4 Start with forages and work up.	40
2.2.3.5 Strategies to increasing use of corn silage to reduce feed cost.	41
3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.	45
3.1 Silage sampling.	45
3.2 Fermentation parameters of silage samples.	46
3.3 The <i>In vitro</i> trial.	46
3.4 Statistical analysis.	47
3.5 The <i>In sacco</i> trial.	48
3.5.1 Animals, feeding and bags.	48
3.5.2 Procedures.	48
3.5.3 Statistical analysis.	49
3.6 <i>In vivo</i> experiment.	49
3.6.1 Experimental animals.	49
3.6.2 Experimental rations.	50
3.6.3 The management.	50
3.6.4 Sampling and chemical analysis.	52
3.6.4.1 Feedstuff analysis.	52
3.6.4.2 Milk yield and composition.	52
3.6.4.3 Milk microbiology (total bacterial count).	53
3.6.4.4 Blood sampling and analysis.	53
3.6.4.5 Metabolites concentration.	54

IV

	Page
3.6.5 Statistical analysis.	54
3.6.6 Economic efficiency.	55
4 RWSULTS AND DISCUSSION.	56
4.1 <i>In vitro</i> evaluation of tested silage samples.	56
4.1.1 Chemical composition of tested silage samples.	56
4.1.2 Characteristics of tested silage samples.	58
4.1.3 Gas production.	59
4.1.4 <i>In vitro</i> calculated parameters.	60
4.2 <i>In-sacco</i> evaluation of tested silage samples.	62
4.2.1 Extent of DM degradability.	62
4.2.2 Degradation rate at different interval times.	64
4.2.3 <i>In sacco</i> ruminal ruminal kinetic parameters of different silage samples.	65
4.2.4 Election of the best sample to <i>in vivo</i> implementation.	66
4.3 Lactation trial.	67
4.3.1 Effect of experimental treatments on milk quality and safety parameters.	69
4.3.2 Effect of experimental treatments on feed conversion efficiency.	71
4.3.3 Effect of experimental treatments on blood biochemical profile.	73
4.4 Economical study.	75
5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	77
6 REFERENCES.	82
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
1	Feed ingredient amounts offered (as fed) and its chemical composition of the experimental total mixed rations (TMR) on DM basis	51
2	Chemical composition on DM basis of tested silage samples.....	57
3	Fermentation parameters of silage samples	58
4	<i>In vitro</i> gas production (ml/1 g DM) at different time of incubation of different silage samples	59
5	Calculated <i>In vitro</i> metabolizable energy (Mcal/kg DM), organic matter digestibility (%) and short chain fatty acid (mmol/ml gas) of different silage samples.....	61
6	Extent of <i>In sacco</i> dry matter degradability (%) of silage samples at different incubation times.	62
7	Degradation rate at different interval time of <i>In sacco</i> dry matter degradability of silage samples	64
8	Ruminal kinetic parameters of different silage samples	65
9	Effect of experiment diets on milk yield, milk composition and milk constitute yield	67
10	Effect of experimental diets on milk quality and safety parameters	69
11	Effect of experimental diets on feed conversion efficiency (% Kg/L).....	72
12	Effect of experimental treatments on blood profile	74
13	Effect of experimental treatments on economic efficiency	76

VI

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.		Page
1	Gas production accumulation at different times of <i>In vitro</i> fermentation (for the tested silage samples (ml/g DM).....	60
2	Extent of <i>In sacco</i> dry matter degradability (%) of silage samples at different incubation times.....	62

VII

LIST OF APPREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
ADF	Acid detergent fiber
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
ASF	animal source feeds
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase
bu	bushel
Ca	calcium
CF	Crude fiber
CFU	Colony forming unit
Cm	Centimeter
°C	Centigrade
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CP	Crude protein
CPI	Crude protein intake
D	Day
DCP	Digestible crude protein
DIM	Days in milk
DM	Dry matter
DMD	Dry matter disappearance
DMI	Dry matter intake
EC	Electric conductivity
ECMY	Energy corrected milk yield
EE	Ether extract
EGP	Egyptian pounds
FCM	Fat corrected milk
GDP	Gross domestic product
GE	Gross energy
g/h/d	Gram / head/ day
Gpx	Glutathione peroxidase
H	Head