

Ionic Contraviral Topical Therapy in Multiple Resistant to Treatment Verruca Vulgaris

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Dermatology, Venereology and Andrology

Вy

Heba Mohamed Mohamed Aboelsoud

M.B, B.Ch – Ain Shams University

Under supervision

Prof. Dr. Ghada Fathy Mohamed

Professor of Dermatology, Venereology and Andrology Faculty of Medicine – Ain shams University

Dr. Khaled Mohamed El-Zawahry

Lecturer of Dermatology, Venereology and Andrology Faculty of Medicine – Ain shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2019



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ghada Fathy Mohammed,**Professor of Dermatology, Venereology and Andrology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Khaled Mohamed El-Zwahry**, Lecturer of Dermatology, Venereology and Andrology Faculty of Medicine – Ain shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

Heba Aboelsoud

Dedication

Words can never express my sincere thanks to My Family for their generous emotional support and continuous encouragement, which brought the best out of me. I owe them all every achievement throughout my life.

I would like to express my everlasting gratitude to all My Professors, Colleagues and Friends, so many of them influenced, encouraged and inspired me throughout the years. I wish them the best of all.

I would like also to thank the **Patients** who agreed willingly to be part of my study and without them; I would not have been able to accomplish this work.

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	3
Review of Literature	4
Patients and Methods	51
Results	57
Illustrative Cases	69
Discussion	85
Summary & Conclusion	89
Recommendations	91
References	92
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
5 FII	5-flurouracil
	Actinic keratosis
	Aminolaevulinic acid
	Antigen presenting cells
BCG	Bacillus Calmette-Guérin
BIP	Bleomycin –induced pneumonitis
BP	Bowenoid papulosis
CIN	Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia
CIS	Carcinoma in situ
CO2	Carbon Dioxide
DC	Dendritic Cell
DCP	Diphenocyprone
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DNCB	Dinitrochlorobenzene
DPCP	Diphenylcyclopropenone
E	Early region
EBV	Epstein Barr virus
ECT	Electrochemotherapy
Er:YAG	Erbium: Yttrium/Aluminum/Garnet
EV	Epidermodysplasia verruciformis
FEH	Focal epithelial hyperplasia
HIV	Human immunodeficiency virus
HPV	Human papilloma virus
IFNS	Interferons
IL	Interleukin
KS	Kaposi sarcoma

List of Abbreviations Cont...

Abb.	Full term
LCR	Long control region
	Langerhans Cells
LN2	
	Molluscum contagiosum
	Messenger Ribonucleic acid
	Neodymium: Yttrium/ Aluminum/ Garnet
NEH	Neutrophilic Eccrine Hidradenitis
OHL	Oral hairy leukoplakia
PDL	Pulsed Dye Laser
PDT	Photodynamic Therapy
PIN	penile intraepithelial neoplasia
PPD	purified protein derivative
PPECs	Palmoplantar epidermal cysts
RRP	Recurrent respiratory papillomatosis
SA	Salicylic Acid
SADBE	Squaric acid dibutyl ester
SCC	Squamous cell carcinoma
TB	Tuberculosis
TCA	Trichloroacetic acid
TNF-α	Tumor necrosis factor alpha
VAIN	Vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia
VIN	Vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia
WHIM	Warts, Hypogammaglobulinemia, Infections and Myelokathxis

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	The association of HPV genotypes skin lesions	
Table (2):	Comparison between control apatients group regarding demograp	· •
Table (3):	Comparison between control patients group regarding site, number of warts, ECG changes a level in urine among the studied ca	size, total nd digitalis
Table (4):	Comparison between control patients group regarding du treatment and clinical improvemen	uration of
Table (5):	Relation between improvement demographic data of the studied case	
Table (6):	Correlation between improvemen size and duration of treatment	•

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	HPV Genome	10
Figure (2):	Frusemide chemical composition	39
Figure (3):	Comparison between control group regarding site	-
Figure (4):	Comparison between control group regarding improvement	clinical
Figure (5):	Relation between improvement and of the studied cases.	
Figure (6):	Relation between improvement and the studied cases	
Figure (7):	Relation between clinical improvem site of warts among the studied case	
Figure (8):	Relation between clinical improvem size of warts among the studied case	
Figure (9):	Relation between clinical improvem duration of treatment among the cases.	studied
Figure (10):	Relation between improvement and of warts among the studied cases	number
Figure (11):	(a) Showing periungual wart treatment (b) Showing partial clear the wart after 60 days of treatment.	rance of
Figure (12):	(a) Showing multiple warts treatment (b) Showing clearance of warts after 60 c	complete days of
Figure (13):	treatment. (a) Showing two common warts treatment. (b) Showing complete clafter 60 days of treatment.	before earance

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (14):	(a) Showing a common was treatment. (b) Showing complete of the wart after 60 days of treatment.	e clearance
Figure (15):	(a) Showing periungual watereatment. (b) Showing improvement of the wart after treatment.	partial 60 days of
Figure (16):	(a) Showing multiple common was treatment. (b) Showing complete after 60 days of treatment	e clearance
Figure (17):	(a) Showing periungual was treatment (b) Showing partial imafter 60 days of treatment	provement
Figure (18):	(a) Showing a common wart. (b) complete clearance after 60 treatment.	days of
Figure (19):	(a) Showing a common wart. (b) complete clearance after 60 treatment.	days of
Figure (20):	(a) Showing two common versions of two common	0 days of
Figure (21):	(a) Showing a common wart. (b) S response after 60 days of placeb formula.(control group)	Showing no o identical
Figure (22):	(a) Showing a common wart. (b) S response after 60 days of placeb formula.(control group)	Showing no o identical
Figure (23):		Showing no o identical

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (24):	(a) Showing a common wart. (b) Showing a fter 60 days of placebo formula.(control group)	identical
Figure (25):	(a) Showing a common wart. (b) Sheresponse after 60 days of placebo formula.(control group)	identical
Figure (26):	(a) Showing 7 common warts. (b) no response after 60 days of	f placebo
	identical formula.(control group)	84

Introduction

Common warts are small, rough skin growths that occur most often on fingers or hands. Common warts often feature a pattern of tiny black dots, which are small clotted blood vessels (*Goldstein et al.*, 2015).

Children and young adults are more likely to develop common warts. Common warts usually disappear on their own, but many people choose to remove them because they find them bothersome or embarrassing (*Ferri et al.*, 2015).

The virus usually spreads through breaks in the skin such as a hangnail or a scrape. Biting nails also can cause warts to spread on fingertips and around nails. Each person's immune system responds to the HPV virus differently, so not everyone who comes in contact with HPV develops warts (*Kwok et al.*, 2015).

Viral warts are caused by the human papilloma virus (HPV), with the most prevalent HPV genotypes being HPV 1, HPV 2, HPV 27 and HPV 57 (*Bruggink et al.*, 2012).

Efficacy rates of current treatment options, for example cryotherapy, salicylic acid and monochloroacetic acid, are low (cryotherapy 39%; salicylic acid 24%; monochloroacetic acid 46%), and cure rates are dependent on HPV type (*Berger et al.*, 2010).

As efficacy rates of current treatment options are not optimal, side-effects are common, and recurrences often occur. There is an unmet need to develop new therapeutics for common warts. It has been shown that DNA viruses such as HPV rely on K⁺ influx for replication, this could provide a therapeutic option (*Hartley et al.*, *2013*).

Both digoxin and furosemide inhibit the K⁺ influx by interacting with cell membrane ion co-transporters (Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase and Na⁺-K⁺-2Cl⁻ co-transporter-1, respectively). It can therefore be hypothesized that these two compounds in a topical formulation may be valuable in the treatment of HPV-induced warts. This new approach, called Ionic Contra-Viral Therapy (ICVT), has already shown inhibitory effects on DNA replication *in vitro*, with the strongest effect when digoxin and furosemide were combined and an observed response in common warts in vivo (*Arch Virol et al.*, 2010).

A study was done to evaluate systemic exposure, safety and tolerability of a combination of furosemide and digoxin after repeated topical application in subjects with common warts that were otherwise healthy (*Gussekloo et al.*, 2015).

In addition, exploratory pharmacodynamic effects on wart morphology and HPV load were included (Assen et al., 2017).

AIM OF THE WORK

To verify the efficacy, safety and tolerability of a topical formula composed of furosemide and digoxin in the treatment of multiple resistant common warts.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Warts

Warts are benign proliferations of the skin and mucosa that result from infection with HPV (*Vali and Ferdowsi*, 2007). They are usually classified according to their clinical location and morphology into three categories: cutaneous, anogenital, and extracutaneous papillomavirues infections (*Filippone*, 2014).

Warts have their own blood and nerve supply. Commonly, pinpoint black areas are visible on the warts surface. These black dots are the wart's superficial network of capillaries, but may only be visible after the top layer of callus tissue is removed (*Laube*, 2014).

Prevalence of warts

Although the prevalence of common warts in general population is unknown, warts occur in approximately 5% to 20% of children and young adults (*Wiley et al.*, 2012).

Approximately 23% of warts regress spontaneously within 2 months, 30% within 3 months and 65% to 78% within 2 years (*Sterling et al.*, 2011). Previously infected patients have a higher risk for development of new warts than those never infected (*Allenand Siegfried*, 2009).