Factors Affecting Abdominal Surgical Site Infection: Suggested Preventive Guidelines

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement of the Master Degree in **Medical-Surgical Nursing**

Βγ Zahraa Helmy Mohamed

Clinical Instructor of Medical Surgical Nursing Technical Institute of Nursing- Sohag University

Faculty of Nursing
Ain Shams University
2019

Factors Affecting Abdominal Surgical Site Infection: Suggested Preventive Guidelines

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement of the Master Degree in **Medical-Surgical Nursing**

Under supervision of

Assist. Prof. Dr. Howyda Ahmed Mohamed

Assistant Professor of Medical Surgical Nursing Faculty of Nursing - Ain Shams University

Dr. Rasha Mohammed ElMetwally

Lecturer of Medical Surgical Nursing Faculty of Nursing - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Nursing
Ain Shams University
2019



First and foremost I express my thanks and gratitude to Allah The most kind and most merciful.

My Special sincere gratitude and appreciation to Assist. Prof. Dr. Howyda Ahmed Mohamed, Assistant Professor of Medical Surgical Nursing, for her patience, unlimited help and valuable guidance. Actually she was the actual spirit of this work, through her great support, and supervision through conduction of this study.

Secondly my deepest thanks to Dr. Rasha Mohammed ElMetwally, Jecturer of Medical Surgical Nursing, for her continuing help, great support, encouragement, patience, and her advice were always stimulating.

Last but least I would like to express my deep gratitude and appreciation to all those who directly or indirectly helped me in putting this piece of work.



This research study is dedicated

≥00

My father mercy of God upon him

My mother for always being for me

My beloved sister to whom I owe everything

I ever did in my life and her husband for

continuous encouragement and support.



Factors Affecting Abdominal Surgical Site Infection: Suggested Preventive Guidelines

Zahraa Helmy Mohamed(B,Sc.Nursing),

Assist. Prof. Howyda Ahmed Mohamed, Dr. Rasha Mohammed ElMetwally, Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University.

Abstract

Background: Abdominal surgical site infections are among the most common complications of inpatient admissions and have serious consequences for outcomes and costs. Based on National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance system, (2016) it was reported that the surgical site infection (SSI) is the third most frequently reported nosocomial infection. SSI is responsible for the increasing costs, morbidity and mortality. Aim of the study: To assess the factors affecting abdominal surgical site infection and suggest preventive guidelines. **Design:** A descriptive exploratory design used in carrying out the study. **Setting:** This study was conducted at the postoperative surgical ward in Sohag University hospital Subjects: A convenience sample includes all available nurses (20) and purposive sample of 65 patients with SSI. Tools: Data were obtained through three main tools; Self-administered Questionnaire, An observational checklist assessment questionnaire. **Results:** and Patient Nurses had unsatisfactory level of knowledge and practice. There was statistically significant relation between nurses' level of knowledge regarding SSI and their practice regarding wound care. More than half of studied patients overweight. Conclusions: About more than half of studied nurses had unsatisfactory level of knowledge and more than half of them had inadequate level of practice. There is statistically significant relation between nurses' level of knowledge regarding SSI and their practice regarding wound care. More than half of studied patient had class III contaminated surgical wound infection. Recommendations: Further study to evaluate the reflection of educational programs regarding nurses' practice.

Key words: Surgical Site Infection, Factors Affecting



List of Contents

| Title Page | z No. |
|---|-------|
| List of Tables | |
| List of Figures | IV |
| List of Appendices | |
| List of Abbreviations | |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Aim of the study | 5 |
| Review of literature | |
| Anatomy and physiology of skin | 6 |
| Theoretical definition and Classification of wo | |
| Phases and Mechanism of wound healing | 11 |
| Risk Factors of Surgical Site Infection (SSI) | 20 |
| Nursing role in perioperative period | |
| Subjects and Methods | |
| Results | |
| Discussion | 91 |
| Conclusion | 105 |
| Recommendations | 106 |
| Summary | 108 |
| References | 115 |
| Appendices | 134 |
| Protocol | |
| Arabic Summary | |

Tist of Tables

| No. | Table | Page |
|-----------------------|---|------|
| Tables in the Results | | |
| 1 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 67 |
| | studied nurses regarding demographic | |
| | characteristics | |
| 2 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 69 |
| | studied nurses regarding knowledge about | |
| | surgical site infection | |
| 3 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 70 |
| | studied nurses knowledge regarding | |
| | preparation of patient for the operation | |
| 4 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 72 |
| | studied nurses regarding environmental | |
| | factors leading to surgical site infection | |
| 5 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 74 |
| | studied nurses practice regarding wound | |
| | dressing | |
| 6 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 78 |
| | studied patients regarding demographic | |
| | characteristics | |
| 7 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 79 |
| | studied patients regarding medical history | |
| 8 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 81 |
| | studied patients regarding lab investigations | |
| | | |
| | | _ |

| No. | Table | Page |
|-----|---|------|
| 9 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 82 |
| | studied patients regarding pre-operative | |
| | findings | |
| 10 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 84 |
| | studied patients regarding post-operative | |
| | findings | |
| 11 | Frequency and percentage distribution of | 85 |
| | studied patients regarding signs of | |
| | abdominal surgical site infection | |
| 12 | Relation between demographic | 87 |
| | characteristics and nurses level of knowledge | |
| | about abdominal surgical site infection | |
| 13 | Relation between demographic | 89 |
| | characteristics and nurses practice about | |
| | wound care | |
| 14 | Relation between nurses' level of knowledge | 90 |
| | regarding abdominal surgical site infection | |
| | and their practice regarding wound care | |

| No. | Table | Page | |
|-------|--|------|--|
| Table | Tables in the Appendices related to tool validity and | | |
| | reliability | | |
| I | Expertise judgment regarding to general evaluation of the self-administered questionnaire for nurses knowledge about surgical site infection, observational checklist for nurses about wound care and patient assessment questionnaire about health status | 147 | |
| II | Expertise judgment regarding to content validity of the self-administered questionnaire for nurses knowledge about surgical site infection, observational checklist for nurses about wound care and patient assessment questionnaire about health status | 148 | |
| III | Alpha cronbach reliability analysis for the study tool (as specific to each tool). | 149 | |

List of Figures

| No | Figure | Page |
|----------------------------|---|------|
| | Figure in the Review | |
| 1 | Functional anatomy of skin Pearson (2013) | 9 |
| | anatomy of skin. | |
| | Figures in the Results | |
| 1 | Percentage distribution of studied nurses | 73 |
| | regarding total knowledge about abdominal | |
| | surgical site infection | |
| 2 | Percentage distribution of studied nurses | 77 |
| | regarding total practice about wound care | |
| Figure in the Appendix (I) | | |
| 1 | Job title of the expertise regarding face and | 146 |
| | content validity of the study tool | |

List of Appendices

| No. | Table | Page | |
|-----------------------|--|------|--|
| Tables in the Results | | | |
| Appendix | Self-administered questionnaire to | 134 | |
| (\mathbf{I}) | assess nurses' knowledge about | | |
| | abdominal surgical site infection | | |
| Appendix | An observational checklist to assess | 140 | |
| (II) | nurses' practice regarding wound | | |
| | dressing | | |
| Appendix | Patient assessment questionnaire to | 142 | |
| (III) | assess patient health status regarding | | |
| | abdominal surgical site infection | | |
| Appendix | Tool Validity and Reliability | 146 | |
| (IV) | | | |

List of Abbreviations

ALT : Alanine Aminotransferase

ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists

AST : Aspartate Aminotransferase

BGL: Blood glucose level

BMI : Body Mass Index

BP: Blood Pressure

BUN: Blood Urea Nitrogen

CDC: Center for Disease Control and Prevention

COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

GDG: Guideline Development Group

GI: Gastrointestinal

Hb : Hemoglobin

IIPW: International Infection Prevention Week

IV : Intravenous

NG : Nasogastric Tube

NNIS : National Nosocomial Infection Surveillances

NPO: Nothing by Mouth

OR : Operating Room

P : Pulse

PACU: Post anesthesia care unit

PCA: Patient Controlled Analgesia

R : Respiration

RCN: Royal College of Nursing

RN : Registered Nurse

SSIs : Surgical Site Infections

T : Temperature

TLC: Total Leucocyte Count

TV: Television

USA: United States of America

WHO: World Health Organization

WBCs: White Blood Cells

Introduction

Before the mid-19th century, surgical patients commonly developed postoperative "irritative fever", followed by purulent drainage from their incisions, overwhelming sepsis, and often death. At 1860s, after Joseph Lister introduced the principles of antisepsis, the postoperative infectious morbidity decreased substantially. Not only reduce the incidence of wound infection by the introduction of antiseptic surgery using carbolic acid, but also the first to apply Pasteur's principles to humans. Lister's work radically changed surgery from an activity associated with infection and death to a discipline that eliminate suffering and prolong life (*Smyth et al., 2013*).

Postoperative wound infections, also known as surgical site infections (SSIs), complicate the postoperative course of a significant proportion of general abdominal surgical patients and recovery course of many patients. As defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), these infections typically occur within 30 days of an operation at the site or part of the body where the surgery took place, or within a year if an implant is left in place and the infection is thought to be secondary to

surgery. SSI are divided into three types, depending on the depth of infection penetrating into the surgical wound: superficial incisional infection, deep incisional infection and organ/space infection (*Azoury et al.*, 2015).

The most common complication of abdominal surgery is SSI. Patients who have acquired SSI are expected to extend their length of stay in the hospital, require additional care from the medical staff and consume extra bandage dressings. Also, SSI patients may need readmission and the infection may require another procedure and increased costs of care from the use of disposable and reusable equipment, drugs, disinfection and sterilization of items. The World Health Organization (WHO) has found that up to one third of patients undergoing a surgical procedure in low and middle income countries are affected by SSI (WHO, 2016).

Surgical site infection is considered a public health problem; the sources of infection can be the patient, the theatre environment or the operating room staff. The causes of post-surgical wound infections ranging from practices in the hospital before and after the surgery that include the following risk factors advanced age, obesity, diabetes, high perioperative blood glucose levels, immune suppression, duration of operation, ASA (American Society of