

**Evaluation of a Bioceramic Root Canal Sealer  
with Different Obturation Techniques**

Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of the  
Masters Degree in

**Endodontics**

By

**Soha Alaa El Din Mohamed Ahmed Emam**  
BDS (Misr International University 2012)

*Supervisors*

**Prof.Dr. Salma El Ashry**

**Professor of Endodontics Ain Shams University**

**Prof. Dr. Dalia El Korashy**

**Professor of Biomaterials Ain Shams University**

**Head of Biomaterials Department**

# ***Acknowledgement***

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Professor Dr. Salma El Ashry**, Professor of Endodontics Ain Shams University, Head of Department at Misr International University, for her wisdom, kind guidance, sincerity, extraordinary supervision and unlimited support and help throughout my academic work.*

*I would like to sincerely thank **Professor Dr. Dalia El Korashy**, Professor and Head of Biomaterials Department Ain Shams University, for her excellent advice, meticulous work, valuable stimulating guidance and help during this study.*

*I would like to thank **all members of the endodontic department**, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University and Misr international university for their valuable help and cooperation.*

*Soha Alaa Emam*

## *Dedication*

*For my mother, father, husband and siblings who are my support system throughout life. I would not have reached what I am today without them.*

# List of Contents

|   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>List of Tables.....</b>                    | <b>i</b>    |
| <b>List of Figures.....</b>                   | <b>iii</b>  |
| <b>Introduction.....</b>                      | <b>1</b>    |
| <b>Review of Literature.....</b>              | <b>3</b>    |
| • <b>Sealers.....</b>                         | <b>3</b>    |
| • <b>Evaluation of the bond strength.....</b> | <b>7</b>    |
| • <b>Evaluation of adaptability.....</b>      | <b>18</b>   |
| <b>Aim of the study.....</b>                  | <b>29</b>   |
| <b>Materials and Methods.....</b>             | <b>30</b>   |
| <b>Results.....</b>                           | <b>44</b>   |
| <b>Discussion.....</b>                        | <b>80</b>   |
| <b>Conclusion.....</b>                        | <b>88</b>   |
| <b>Summary.....</b>                           | <b>89</b>   |
| <b>References.....</b>                        | <b>91</b>   |
| <b>Arabic Summary.....</b>                    | <b>-</b>    |

# List of Tables

|                 |  |           |
|-----------------|--|-----------|
| <b>Table 1:</b> | <b>The total effect of the material on the push out bond strength mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum values.....</b>   | <b>46</b> |
| <b>Table 2:</b> | <b>Effect of the material on the push out bond strength in Single Cone technique mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum values (for each third).....</b>               | <b>48</b> |
| <b>Table 3:</b> | <b>The effect of material on the push-out bond strength in Lateral compaction technique mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum values for each third.....</b>          | <b>52</b> |
| <b>Table 4:</b> | <b>The total effect of obturation technique on the push-out bond strength values mean, standard deviation (SD) values.....</b>   | <b>54</b> |
| <b>Table 5:</b> | <b>The effect of obturation technique on the push-out bond strength of Bio ceramic GP groups mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum values (for each third).....</b>   | <b>55</b> |
| <b>Table 6:</b> | <b>The effect of the obturation techniques on push-out bond strength in Plain GP groups mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum values (<i>for each third</i>).....</b> | <b>57</b> |
| <b>Table 7:</b> | <b>Modes of failure in the 4 main groups.....</b>  | <b>59</b> |
| <b>Table 8:</b> | <b>Failure modes in each third of Bioceramic Single cone group .....</b>   | <b>59</b> |
| <b>Table 9:</b> | <b>Failure modes in each third of Bioceramic lateral compaction group.....</b>   | <b>60</b> |

|                 |   |           |
|-----------------|---|-----------|
| <b>Table 10</b> | <b>Failure modes in each third of Plain gutta percha single cone group.....</b>   | <b>61</b> |
| <b>Table 11</b> | <b>Failure modes in each third of Plain gutta percha Lateral Compaction group.....</b>  | <b>61</b> |
| <b>Table 12</b> | <b>The total effect of material on the adaptability mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum values of different groups.....</b>                    | <b>65</b> |
| <b>Table 13</b> | <b>Effect of material on the adaptability of Single cone groups mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum values for each third...</b>               | <b>68</b> |
| <b>Table 14</b> | <b>Effect of material on the adaptability of Lateral compaction groups mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum values for each third.....</b>      | <b>70</b> |
| <b>Table 15</b> | <b>The total effect of obturation technique on the adaptability mean, standard deviation (SD) values.....</b>   | <b>75</b> |
| <b>Table 16</b> | <b>Effect of obturation techniques on the adaptability in Bio ceramic GP groups mean, standard deviation (SD) values of adaptability for each third.....</b>  | <b>77</b> |
| <b>Table 17</b> | <b>The effect of obturation techniques on the adaptability in Plain GP groups mean and standard deviation (SD) values of adaptability for each third.....</b> | <b>78</b> |

|                  |   |           |
|------------------|---|-----------|
| <b>Figure 1</b>  | <b>Totalfill Bioceramic graduated sealer tube....</b>   | <b>31</b> |
| <b>Figure 2</b>  | <b>Sample of BC points.....</b>   | <b>31</b> |
| <b>Figure 3</b>  | <b>Revo S rotary files.....</b>   | <b>31</b> |
| <b>Figure 4</b>  | <b>Diagrammatic representation of samples grouping.....</b>   | <b>33</b> |
| <b>Figure 5</b>  | <b>Radiographs in bucco-lingual and mesio-distal direction to verify the quality of obturation.....</b>   | <b>36</b> |
| <b>Figure 6</b>  | <b>Example of a slice obtained after performing horizontal sections on the root.....</b>  | <b>39</b> |
| <b>Figure 7</b>  | <b>Instron Universal Testing.....</b>   | <b>42</b> |
| <b>Figure 8</b>  | <b>Figure (8) demonstrating the sample placement centralized beneath the plunger (a) and on top of the supporting metal jig (b)samples.....</b> | <b>39</b> |
| <b>Figure 9</b>  | <b>Euromex Stereomicroscope.....</b>  | <b>40</b> |
| <b>Figure 10</b> | <b>Scanning Electron Microscope.....</b>  | <b>41</b> |
| <b>Figure 11</b> | <b>SEM image demonstrating method of obtaining mean score for apical one third in one of the bioceramic single cone group samples.....</b>      | <b>42</b> |
| <b>Figure 12</b> | <b>Bar chart representing the total effect of the material on push-out bond strength values for different groups.....</b>                       | <b>46</b> |

|                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| <b>Figure 13</b> | <b>Bar chart representing effect of material on push-out bond strength in each third in different</b> |
|------------------|---|

|           |  |    |
|-----------|--|----|
|           | groups.....  | 50 |
| Figure 14 | Bar chart representing the total effect of obturation technique on push-out bond strength for different groups.....  | 53 |
| Figure 15 | Bar chart representing effect of obturation technique on push-out bond strength for each third in different groups.....  | 57 |
| Figure 16 | Sample of Cohesive Failure found in the coronal one third of Plain gutta percha Single cone obturation technique group.....  | 62 |
| Figure 17 | Sample of Mixed failure in the middle one third of Bioceramic lateral compaction group (Red arrows pointing at the remaining gutta percha and sealer in the canal lumen).....                                      | 62 |
| Figure 18 | Sample of the Adhesive Failure found in Plain gutta percha lateral compaction group.....   | 63 |
| Figure 19 | Bar chart representing the total effect of GP material on adaptability of different groups.....  | 66 |
| Figure 20 | Bar chart representing effect of GP material on adaptability for each third in different groups.....   | 70 |
| Figure 21 | SEM section at magnification 250X of the coronal one third in Bioceramic Single cone group. Note the sealer enclosing on the Bioceramic gutta percha and appearing as one unit.....                                | 71 |
| Figure 22 | SEM section at magnification 250X of the middle one third of Plain Gutta percha single cone group. Note the definite demarcation between the three interfaces of dentin, sealer and gutta percha respectively..... | 71 |

|                   |  |           |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| <b>Figure 23:</b> | <b>SEM section at magnification 250X at apical one third of Bioceramic Single Cone Group.....</b>  | <b>72</b> |
| <b>Figure 24:</b> | <b>SEM at magnification 1000X of the coronal one third of the Plain Gutta percha Lateral compaction group showing microgaps found with the dentin structure.....</b>   | <b>72</b> |
| <b>Figure 25:</b> | <b>SEM at magnification 250X at the middle one third of the Bioceramic Lateral compaction group showing microgaps with the dentin structure.....</b>                   | <b>73</b> |
| <b>Figure 26:</b> | <b>SEM section at 250X of apical one third of Biceramic lateral compaction group showing high adaptability of the Bioceramic sealer with the dentin interface.....</b> | <b>73</b> |
| <b>Figure 27:</b> | <b>Bar chart representing the total effect of obturation technique on adaptability for different groups.....</b>   | <b>75</b> |
| <b>Figure 28:</b> | <b>Bar chart representing effect of obturation technique on adaptability in each third of different groups.</b>  | <b>79</b> |

## **Introduction:**

In endodontic practice, along with proper cleaning and shaping of the root canal, the long-term success of the treatment applied is mainly dependent on the existence of a fluid tight or hermetic seal throughout the entire length of the root canal and ending with the apical one third of the canal. This is required to prevent the ingress of bacteria either coronally from the oral cavity or apically through the periapical tissues and fluids. In order to achieve this seal, proper selection of the obturating material and the sealer is essential.

The ideal requirements of an endodontic sealer should be tacky to help with good adhesion between it and the canal wall when set, provide hermetic seal, radiopaque to be evaluated through a radiograph, easy to manipulate, doesn't shrink upon setting, bacteriostatic, sets slowly, insoluble in tissue fluids and biocompatible.

Bioceramic sealers have shown a considerably unique type of bonding to the dentin of the canal by the reaction of phosphate in the tooth structure with calcium silicate hydrogel and calcium hydroxide, produced through the hydration reaction of calcium silicates in the presence of the dentin's moisture, thus resulting in

the formation of hydroxyapatite along the mineral infiltration zone.

Recently different manufacturing companies and researchers claim that using single cones of gutta-percha impregnated and coated with bioceramic particles along with bioceramic sealers would form a fluid tight seal between the canal wall and the root filling material through the strong hydroxyapatite bond. This fluid tight strong seal would act as a barrier to ingress of bacteria and other microorganisms from both the coronal and apical portion of the canal. Therefore, assessing the bond strength and the adaptability of a single cone impregnated and coated bioceramic gutta percha when used as a sole obturating material along with the bioceramic sealer would be of value.

## **Review of literature**

Historically root canal obturation was performed by silver points, however leakage and corrosive products occurred around the points resulting in treatment failure. This placed a demand for the development of a better obturating material. Thus, the development of gutta percha took place to overcome the shortcomings of the silver points. Sealers have the disadvantage of being soluble which makes them the weak point in the obturation phase, so although the gutta percha decreased the leakage compared to its predecessor however sealers remained the weak link. An improvement in sealer solubility and bonding to root canal walls occurred by the development of resin sealers, yet there was still a quest for a greater bond with dentin and a tighter seal. This was the reason behind the development of bioceramic based sealers and bioceramic impregnated and coated gutta percha points.

### **I. Bioceramic sealers and core materials:**

In case of presence of any irregularities due to shaping technique or anatomical variations, sealers serve in sealing of these irregularities. The most commonly known sealers are calcium hydroxide, zinc oxide–eugenol, glass ionomer, resin based (epoxy

resin or methacrylate resin) and later on, calcium silicate–based (bioceramic) sealers got introduced in the market.

**Ghoneim et al<sup>1</sup>** used in their study Activ GP, which is glass ionomer coated gutta percha cones that manufacturers claim to bond to the intra-radicular dentin when used with glass ionomer sealer. The concept of creating a Monoblock was the goal behind using Activ GP. Fifty single rooted mandibular premolars were selected for their study. Ten teeth were left as negative control with no preparation done and forty teeth were instrumented by 0.06 taper Endosequence rotary files. Teeth were irrigated by 3ml 2.5% NaOCL followed by 17% EDTA, then divided into four groups according to the obturating system. All teeth were obturated using single cone obturation technique. Group 1 was obturated by bioceramic-based sealer (iRoot SP) and Activ GP cones, group 2 was obturated iRoot SP sealer and conventional GP cones, Group 3 was obturated by Activ GP sealer and Activ GP cones and Group 4: Activ GP sealer and conventional GP cones. Fracture resistance was evaluated in all the teeth using universal testing machine. The results showed significantly that the highest fracture resistance was found in both the negative control group and group 1 and that there was no significant difference between them. The significantly lowest value was reported in group 4 showing the great potential of

bioceramic root canal sealers in reinforcing the endodontically treated teeth against fracture specifically when using it with ActiV GP cones.

**Wang<sup>2</sup>** discusses the prevalence of bioceramic materials in endodontics in the past two decades. The bioceramic materials have proven to overcome many of the limitations in the previously mentioned generations of sealers present in the market. Bioceramic sealers have a lot of advantageous properties, short setting time, high mechanical and bond strength, high alkaline pH with calcium ion release. They also have of low solubility, long sealing ability, biocompatible and can stimulate bio-mineralization. Many of their properties are attributed to the hydration reaction that occurs between the calcium ions released from the calcium silicates in the bioceramics that reacts with hydroxyl ions found in dentin, which results in the formation of hydroxyapatite crystals, and deposition of hard tissue.

**Trope et al<sup>3</sup>** discusses the effect of the core material on the overall seal of the root canal system. The gutta percha by itself is a core filling material that is hydrophobic in nature and cannot adhere to the sealer irrespective of its type. Thus, the effect of the gap formed between the gutta percha and the sealer could result in potential leakage and compromising of the root canal system integrity. When the gutta percha cones are modified by an

impregnated and a coated layer of bioceramic nano particles, this will promote the creation of a true bond between the core material and the sealer.

**Chybowski et al<sup>4</sup>** in a retrospective study evaluated the success rate and the outcome of endodontic treatment using single cone technique with Endosequence bioceramic sealer. The study included patients from 2009 to 2015 that were both receiving initial and/or retreatment procedures and obturation by using single cone technique and Bioceraic sealer. In the total period of 30.1 months three hundred and seven teeth exhibited 90.9% success rate. Teeth with lesions less than 5 mm showed significantly higher success rates than teeth with lesions greater than 5 mm in diameter. They concluded that using single cone technique with bioceramic sealer presented a viable option for obturation of endodontically treated teeth.