

Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering

An Effective Adaptive Overcurrent Protection Scheme for Microgrids

By

Eng. Mohamed Awaad Abd-elkhalek

A Thesis Submitted for the Requirement of Master Degree of Sciences in Electrical Power Engineering

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Almoataz Youssef Abdelaziz

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Said Fouad Mekhamer

Cairo 2018

SUPERVISORS COMMITTEE

Name: Mohamed Awaad Abd-elkhalek

Thesis title: An Effective Adaptive Overcurrent Protection Scheme for

Microgrids

Degree: Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

M.Sc. degree in electrical engineering.

Name, title and affiliation

Signature

1. Prof. Dr. Almoataz Y. Abdelaziz

Electrical Power and Machines Dept. Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

2. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Said F. Mekhamer

Electrical Power and Machines Dept. Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Name: Mohamed Awaad Abd-elkhalek

Thesis title: An Effective Adaptive Overcurrent Protection Scheme for

Microgrids

Degree: Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

M.Sc. degree in electrical engineering.

Name, Title and Affiliation

Signature

1. Prof. Dr. Mousa A. Abdullah

Electrical Power and Machines Dept. Faculty of Engineering at Shoubra Benha University

2. Prof. Dr. Soliman M. El-debeiky

Electrical Power and Machines Dept. Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

3. Prof. Dr. Almoataz Y. Abdelaziz

Electrical Power and Machines Dept. Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

4. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Said F. Mekhamer

Electrical Power and Machines Dept. Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Statement

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Sciences in Electrical Engineering.

The work included in the thesis was carried out by the author at the department of Electrical Power and Machines, Ain Shams University. No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

Name: Mohamed Awaad Abd-el khalek

Signature:

Date:

Acknowledgement

All favor is due to Allah, the most Merciful, and the lord of the worlds, who taught human what he doesn't know. I am extremely grateful to Allah for giving me the power to accomplish this work.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Almoataz Youssef Abdelaziz**, one of my two thesis supervisors, for his invaluable supervision directions, and supervision work, his wise advice, his patience and for allowing me the opportunity to develop this work.

Also, I would like to thank **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Said Fouad Mekhamer**, my other thesis supervisor, for his valuable supervision guidance and wise advices during this research work and for his continuous patience in reviewing the drafts during the preparation of this thesis.

Finally, I have to thank my family for the help and support. I regard the encouragement of my parents, my wife and my friends, to whom I dedicate this thesis.

Table of Contents

| ABSTRACT | XIII |
|--|------|
| CHAPTER (1): INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1-Background | 1 |
| 1.2-Objectives of the present research | 3 |
| 1.3-Importance of the present research | 4 |
| 1.4-Thesis outline | 4 |
| CHAPTER (2): LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| 2.1- Conventional power system description | 6 |
| 2.2- DG definitions | 7 |
| 2.3- Advantages of DG interconnection to distribution system | 7 |
| 2.4- Disadvantages of DG interconnection to distribution system | 8 |
| 2.5- MicroGrid definition and operating philosophy | 9 |
| 2.6- MicroGrid advantages | 9 |
| 2.7- MicroGrid islanding | 10 |
| 2.8- Protection challenges or issues due to DG insertion in distribution | |
| system | 10 |
| 2.9- DG disconnection when fault happens | 15 |
| 2.10- DG impact on Protection Coordination | 19 |
| 2.11- Traditional attempts to solve protection coordination issue | 19 |
| 2.11.1- Time grading with directional element | 19 |
| 2.11.2- Flywheel storage unit in series with DG | 20 |
| 2.11.3- Single setting method | 20 |
| 2.11.4- Usage of FCL in series with DG | 20 |
| 2.11.5- Using a static series compensator in series with utility | |
| grid | 20 |
| 2.12- Reasons for a new protection technique for MicroGrids | 21 |
| 2.13- Requirements in technique used for MicroGrid Protection | 22 |
| 2.14- Different protection techniques for MicroGrid | 22 |
| 2.14.1- Adaptive techniques | 23 |
| 2.14.2- Differential protection | 23 |
| 2.14.3-Distance protection | 24 |
| 2.14.4- MG protection based on voltage | 24 |
| 2.14.5- External devices deployment | 25 |
| 2.14.6- Protection techniques depend on symmetrical components | 2.5 |
| and overcurrent | 25 |

| CHAPTER (3): ADAPTIVE PROTECTION TECHNIQUES | 27 |
|---|-----|
| 3.1- Adaptive protection meaning. | 27 |
| 3.2- Requirements for adaptive protection practical implementation | 27 |
| 3.3- Different adaptive techniques | 29 |
| 3.4- The proposed adaptive idea | 36 |
| CHAPTER (4): NETWORK MODELS | 37 |
| 4.1- Radial system case study | 37 |
| 4.1.1- System sources | 37 |
| 4.1.2- Radial system parameters selection | 39 |
| 4.1.2.1- Current Transformers (CTs) | 40 |
| 4.1.2.2- Switches | 42 |
| 4.1. 2.3- Circuit Breakers (C.Bs) | 43 |
| 4.1.2.4- Transmission Lines (T.Ls) and Cables | 45 |
| 4.2- Looped system case study | 45 |
| 4.2.1- System sources | 46 |
| 4.2.2- Looped system parameters selection | 47 |
| 4.2.2.1- Current Transformers (CTs) | 47 |
| 4.2.2.2- Switches | 50 |
| 4.2. 2.3- Circuit Breakers (C.Bs) | 51 |
| 4.2.2.4- Transmission Lines (T.Ls) and Cables | 52 |
| 4.3- General overcurrent relay characteristics: | 52 |
| 4.3.1- Different Relays characteristics | 52 |
| 4.3.2- General Pickup settings | 54 |
| 4.3.3- General Coordination Time Interval (CTI) | 56 |
| 4.4- Steps for planning coordination study | 62 |
| CHAPTER (5): SIMULATION AND RESULTS | 65 |
| 5.1- Steps of work done | 65 |
| 5.2- Load flow analysis results for both models (radial and looped) | 66 |
| 5.3- Short-Circuit analysis results for both models (radial and looped) | 71 |
| 5.4- Event tables for different configurations for both models (radial | |
| and looped) | 74 |
| 5.5- Action tables for relays settings in different configurations for | |
| both models (radial and looped) | 76 |
| 5.6- Results that clarify DG impact on S.C. | 82 |
| 5.7- Radial model coordination results | 88 |
| 5.8- Looped model coordination results | 106 |
| 5.9- Comments on results | 116 |

| CHAPTER (6): CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS | 117 |
|---|-----|
| 6.1- forward | 117 |
| 6.2- Main conclusion and features | 118 |
| 6.3- Suggestions for future work | 118 |
| LIST OF REFRENCES | 120 |

List of Tables

| Table2.1 . Response of interconnected network to abnormal voltage | 16 |
|---|-----|
| Table2.2 . Response of interconnected network to abnormal frequency. | 17 |
| Table.2.3 Comparison of available MG protection techniques | 26 |
| Table3.1. Two approaches to deal with OC coordination issue | |
| associated with DG installation | 35 |
| Table4.1 Current Transformers CTs ratio selection table for radial | |
| system | 40 |
| Table4.2 Single ratio Current Transformers CTs. | 41 |
| Table4.3 Switch selection table for radial system | 42 |
| Table 4.4 Basic Insulation Level (BIL) for switch selection | 43 |
| Table 4.5 M.V.C.Bs selection table for radial system | 44 |
| Table 4.6 NEC fuse and breaker standard ampere rating | 44 |
| Table4.7 Current Transformers CTs ratio selection table for looped | |
| system | 48 |
| Table 4.8 Switch selection table for looped system | 50 |
| Table 4.9 M.V.C.Bs selection table for looped system | 51 |
| Table 4.10 Relay characteristics according to IEC 60255 | 54 |
| Table 4.11 IEEE standard CTI | 61 |
| Table 5.1 FLA seen by system parameters in different configurations | |
| for radial model | 67 |
| Table 5.2 FLA seen by system parameters in different configurations | |
| for looped model | 69 |
| Table 5.3 Minimum 3ph fault seen by each relay in different | |
| configurations for radial model | 72 |
| Table 5.4 Minimum 3ph fault seen by each relay in different | |
| configurations for looped model | 73 |
| Table 5.5 Event table for radial case study | 75 |
| Table 5.6 Event table for looped case study | 76 |
| Table 5.7 Relays settings in different configurations for radial case | |
| study | 77 |
| Table 5.8 Relays settings in different configurations for looped case | |
| study | 80 |
| Table 5.9 Average change in fault current w.r.t system without DG in | 0.7 |
| radial model | 85 |
| Table 5.10 Average change in fault current w.r.t system without DG | o- |
| in looped model | 87 |

List of Figures

| Fig.2.1 Fault current values in both grid-connected and islanded | |
|---|----|
| modes | 11 |
| Fig.2.2 Radial case study OLD including all DGs | 12 |
| Fig.2.3 Fault current wave shape from CSG | 14 |
| Fig.2.4 Fault current wave shape from IIDG | 14 |
| Fig.2.5 Differential protection structure | 24 |
| Fig.3.1 Directional element operating principle as presented in [32] | 29 |
| Fig.3.2 Adaptive scheme block diagram as presented in [15] | 31 |
| Fig.3.3 Distributed system divided into zones as presented in [10] | 32 |
| Fig.4.1 Capability curve of DG1 | 38 |
| Fig.4.2 Capability curve of DG2 | 39 |
| Fig.4.3 Looped case study OLD including all DGs | 46 |
| Fig.4.4 Capability curve of DG3 | 47 |
| Fig.4.5 Combination of inverse and IDMT Relay characteristics | 53 |
| Fig.4.6 IDMT Relay characteristics | 54 |
| Fig.4.7 Typical time/current curves for a very inverse relay | 56 |
| Fig.4.8 CTI at instantaneous value of downstream relay, not at | |
| maximum fault level | 60 |
| Fig.4.9 CTIs between two numerical relays at instantaneous element | |
| and maximum fault | 60 |
| Fig.4.10 How to select circuit to be coordinated | 63 |
| Fig. 5.1 % of fault magnitude (3ph, 1ph) for all nodes of radial model | |
| w.r.t fault currents of system without DGs:(a) config.2, (b) Config.3, | |
| (c) Config.4, (d) Config.5, (e) Config.6 | 84 |
| Fig. 5.2 % of fault magnitude (3ph, 1ph) for all nodes of looped | |
| model w.r.t fault currents of system without DGs:(a) config.2, (b) | |
| Config.3, (c) Config.4, (d) Config.5, (e) Config.6, (f) Config.7 | 87 |
| Fig.5.3 Fault at Bus 684 for Config.1(PG only) radial: (a) Operating | |
| sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 89 |
| Fig.5.4 Fault at Bus 675 for Config.1(PG only) radial: (a) Operating | |
| sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 91 |
| Fig.5.5 Fault at Bus 692 for Config.2(PG+ all DGs) radial: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 92 |
| Fig.5.6 Fault at Bus 632 for Config.2(PG+ all DGs) radial: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 94 |
| Fig.5.7 Fault at Bus 611 for Config.3(Is+ all DGs) radial: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 95 |
| Fig.5.8 Fault at Bus 675 for Config.3(Is+ all DGs) radial: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 97 |

| Fig. 5.9 Fault at Virt. Bus1for Config.4(Is+ no WTG) radial: (a) | |
|---|-----|
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 98 |
| Fig.5.10 Fault at Bus 692 for Config.4(Is+ no WTG) radial: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 100 |
| Fig. 5.11 Fault at Virt. Bus 2for Config.5(Is+ no DG1) radial: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 101 |
| Fig.5.12 Fault at WTG Bus for Config.5(Is+ no DG1) radial: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 103 |
| Fig.5.13 Fault at Bus 692 for Config.6(Is+ no DG2) radial: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 104 |
| Fig.5.14 Fault at Bus 671 for Config.6(Is+ no DG2) radial: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 106 |
| Fig.5.15 Fault at Bus 611 for Config.1(PG only) looped: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 108 |
| Fig.5.16 Fault at Bus 671 for Config.1(PG only) looped: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 109 |
| Fig.5.17 Fault at Bus 684 for Config.2(PG + all DGs) looped: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 111 |
| Fig.5.18 Fault at WTG Bus for Config.2(PG + all DGs) looped: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 112 |
| Fig.5.19 Fault at Bus 600 for Config.3(Is + all DGs) looped: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 114 |
| Fig.5.20 Fault at Bus 692 for Config.3(Is + all DGs) looped: (a) | |
| Operating sequence OLD (b) Time Current Characteristics (TCC) | 115 |

List of Abbreviations

| BIL | Basic Insulation Level |
|--------|-------------------------------------|
| C.B | Circuit Breaker |
| CSG | Conventional Synchronous Generators |
| СТ | Current Transformer |
| CTI | Coordination Time Interval |
| DER | Distributed Energy Resources |
| DG | Distributed Generation |
| DOCR | Directional over Current Relay |
| FCL | Fault Current Limiter |
| FLA | Full Load Ampere |
| GTG | Gas Turbine Generator |
| HIF | High Impedance Fault |
| Ic.u | Maximum breaking capacity of LVCB |
| IEA | International Energy Agency |
| IIDG | Inverter Interfaced DG |
| IS | Islanded |
| L.F. | Load Flow |
| LOM | Loss of Main |
| LRC | Locked Rotor Current |
| LVMG | Low Voltage MG |
| LVPCB | Low Voltage Power CB |
| MG | Microgrid |
| MGCC | MG Central Controller |
| MGMS | MG Management System |
| MGCPU | MG central protection unit |
| MMG | Multi Microgrid |
| M.V. | Medium Voltage |
| MVAs.c | Mega Volt Ampere short circuit |
| MVCB | Medium Voltage C.B |
| O.C. | Overcurrent |
| OCR | Over Current Relay |
| O.L. | Overload |
| OLD | One Line Diagram |
| PDS | Power Delivery System |
| PG | Power Grid |
| PSM | Plug setting Multiplication |
| PV | PhotoVoltaic |
| RES | Renewable Energy Resources |
| S.C. | Short Circuit |

| TCC | Time Current Characteristic |
|-----|-----------------------------|
| TDS | Time Dial Settings |
| TMS | Time Multiplying Settings |
| WTG | Wind Turbine Generator |

ABSTRACT

Conventional distribution systems have some concerns related to the finite availability of conventional power sources and the green house impacts of these sources. These concerns create a significant interest in the research and development in renewable energy fields and encourage the generations from clean sources at the customer area. Basically renewable energy sources interfaced with the distribution system are called Distributed Generators (DGs) and hence forming a Microgrid (MG), which can operate either in grid connected or islanded modes.

As a result of the major benefits that DG brings to distribution systems, its proliferation is anticipated to be increased, and this inevitably will change the traditional operation and protection principles of power distribution systems. This leads to some protection challenges such as difference in fault current level among different operating modes and bidirectional power flow which will lead to loss of coordination between protection devices.

Based on challenges that face conventional protection systems due to DG insertion, new protection techniques have to be developed to mitigate negative impacts of DGs on protection system. One of these techniques is the adaptive overcurrent protection technique, in which the relays settings are automatically adapted to be continuously suitable for the current prevailing condition. Practical implementation of adaptive protection require relays with multi switch group factors and communication networks to connect relays and DGs with the system monitoring and controlling unit.

In this thesis, an adaptive protection technique, based on topological analysis method, is presented to adapt relay settings for each configuration. The proposed adaptive protection scheme is applied to a part of the IEEE 13 bus radial system and also to the same system but after converting it to a looped one. The results obtained by using ETAP software show the effectiveness of the proposed adaptive method in maintaining coordination between protective relays among different operating topologies, and also in achieving system selectivity and stability.

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The generated energy in conventional power system is mainly depending on huge hydro-electric plants or fossil fuel sources, which are existed normally at suitable places remote from the consumer entities. Therefore, transmission systems are required to handle this generated power to loads at the distribution area. During power long travel from generation to utilization, a considerable power is lost in transmission systems [1].

Due to the wide spread of loads, the eager need for an uprising amount of generated power, the high cost for constructing transmission and distribution (T&D) networks to feed these loads, besides the large amount of power loss in long transmission networks, as well as the environmental impacts of traditional power sources, all these reasons make the notion of generating a nearby energy from the consuming area to found an acceptance from power system operators, which finally leads to the expression of MicroGrids (MG).

MG can be defined as a collection of distributed generators (DGs) and loads with some local storage [2], which is operated as a unique system to provide electricity and heat energies to nearby customers. Although MG has a multi generators and loads, it appears to the broader network as a total generator or a total load. Also MG can operate in two modes either islanded or grid connected [3]. MG uses different DG technologies such as wind turbine, hydroelectric, combined heat and power, photovoltaic (PV). Basically, not only green technologies are used in MG, but also combustion engines and fuel cells may be used.

Even though DG connection to distribution system brings numerous benefits for MG, it poses significant challenges due to unforeseen short circuit (S.C.) increase in radial distribution system [4], different fault levels during different topologies due to source change [5], [6], bidirectional Power flow [7], lack or in some cases completely loss of coordination in the existed protection scheme which leads to undesired islanding and untimely tripping of DGs protection relays [4], [7]. Further a high DG penetration has resulted in the possibility of operating the distributed system in an island mode which