



Amelioration of salinity tolerance in sugar crops using plant growth promoting bacteria

Thesis

**Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Microbiology**

By

Doaa Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed
(B.Sc. Microbiology, 2008)

Supervisors

Dr. Sahar Tolba Mohamed

Associate Professor of Microbiology
Microbiology Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Dr. Essam A. M. Amer

Senior Researcher
Breeding and Genetics Department
Sugar crops Research Institute (SCRI)
Agricultural Research Center

Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Shehata

Associate Professor of Cytogenetics
Botany Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Microbiology Department

**Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University**

2019



Student Name: Doaa Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed

Thesis title : Amelioration of salinity tolerance in sugar crops
using plant growth promoting bacteria

Degree : Master in Microbiology

Supervised by:

Dr. Sahar Tolba Mohamed

Associate Professor of Microbiology, Microbiology Department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Dr. Essam A. M. Amer

Senior Researcher, Breeding and Genetics Department
Sugar crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center

Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Shehata

Associate Professor of Cytogenetics, Botany Department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Microbiology Department

Faculty of Science

Ain Shams University

2019

Acknowledgement

*First of all, great thanks and gratitude be to **ALLAH**, the most merciful for assisting and directing me the right way. All words, all feelings and all praise will not be enough to thank Allah.*

*I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my lovely supervisor **Dr. Sahar Tolba Mohamed**, Associate Professor of Microbiology, Microbiology department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for her sponsorship, for giving me the necessary scientific and incorporeal support, for constructive criticism and fruitful discussion. Her vision, sincerity and motivation have deeply inspired me in my scientific career and social life. It was a great privilege and honor to work and study under her guidance.*

*I am cordially indebted to my supervisor **Dr. Essam A. M. Amer**, Senior Researcher, Breeding and Genetics Department, Sugar crops Research Institute (SCRI), Agricultural Research Centre (ARC) for his help, encouragement, facilities offered by him throughout this work and his expert supervision.*

*I gratefully and sincerely thank my supervisor **Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Shehata**, Associate Professor of cytogenetics, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for his keen supervision, continuous support, advice, and valuable suggestions in all stages and steps of this thesis.*

*Thanks are also extended to **Dr. Hesham El-Shishtawy**, Senior Researcher, Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute*

(AGERI), Agricultural Research Centre (ARC) for his kind efforts during the performing of protein extraction and SDS-PAGE technique.

Many thanks to all my colleagues in Research and Training Centre on Vector of Diseases, Microbiology Department and Chemistry Department for their help and cooperation.

A very special thanks and deepest love to my lovely husband Ahmed Fawzy for his love, support, patience and for providing sincerity and motivation in my life. I wish for you all the success, the good health and the happiness. An extreme gratitude to Allah for my children 'Ha'ed and Rufaida'.

My deep love and extreme gratitude to the most important people in my life and never being able to say thank you enough for everything they have done for me, to the reason why I am here and why I am able to make any success or achieve any goal in my life, to my father and my mother. I just want to make you happy and proud. Also a great love and gratitude to my sister (Dina).

A deep thank to Sugar crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center and all my Colleagues there for their assistance and support.

Finally, I would like to record my sincere thanks to all who directly and indirectly have lent their help to me.

Doaa Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed

Contents

Title	Page
List of tables	I
List of figures	III
List of Abbreviations	VI
Abstract	VIII
1.Introduction	1
2. Review of Literature	3
2.1 Salinity stress	3
2.2 Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR)	4
2.2.1 Role of PGPR in plant growth enhancement	6
2.2.1.1 Abiotic stress-induced tolerance in plants by PGPR	7
2.2.1.2 Role of PGPR in nutrients fixation	9
2.2.1.3 Effect of PGPR in production of growth regulators	10
2.2.1.4 Role of PGPR in production of siderophores	12
2.2.1.5 Capability of some PGPR in production of Volatile Organic Compounds	13
2.2.1.6 Production of enzymes	13
2.2.2 Role of PGPR as Biofertilizers	14
2.3 Actinobacteria	15

2.4 Streptomycetes	16
2.5 Sugar crops and sweeteners	19
2.5.1 Importance of sugar crops and sweeteners	19
2.5.2 Effect of salinity on sugarcane and Stevia	21
2.5.3 Role of PGPR on alleviating salt stress on sugarcane and Stevia	23
3. Materials and Methods	26
3.1 Materials	26
3.1.1 Chemicals and reagents	26
3.1.2 Media, buffers and solutions	27
3.1.2.1 Media	27
3.1.2.2 Buffers and solutions	28
3.1.3 Plant seeds	33
3.2 Methods	34
3.2.1 Characterization of halophilic actinobacteria	34
3.2.1.1 Collection of soil samples	34
3.2.1.2 Isolation, maintenance and purification of halophilic actinobacteria	34
3.2.1.3 Characterization of halophilic actinobacterial isolates	35
3.2.2 Morphological and microscopic characterization of selected isolates	35
3.2.3 Molecular identification of selected isolates	36

3.2.3.1	Amplification of 16S rRNA gene by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	36
3.2.3.2	Sequencing of partial 16S rRNA gene	37
3.2.3.3	Sequence analysis and phylogenetic tree construction	37
3.2.4	Plant-based method	38
3.2.4.1	Pot experiment	38
3.2.4.2	Inoculation of sugarcane with halophilic actinobacteria	39
3.2.4.3	Inoculation of Stevia with halophilic actinobacteria	40
3.2.5	Physical analysis of precultivated soil	41
3.2.6	Chemical analysis of precultivated soil	41
3.2.7	Measuring plant growth parameters	41
3.2.8	Analysis of actinobacteria potential on salt-stressed Stevia plantlets	42
3.2.8.1	Extraction of Total Cellular Proteins (TCPs) from actinobacterial isolates	42
3.2.8.2	Extraction of Total Cellular Proteins (TCPs) from Stevia plant	42
3.2.8.3	Detection of protein concentration	43
3.2.8.4	Total Soluble protein analysis by SDS-PAGE technique	43

3.2.9 Histidine Deoxychlate (HD)-Native PAGE	45
3.2.10 Mass spectrometric analysis of selected Total Soluble Cellular Polypeptides (TCPs) of actinobacterial isolates	46
3.2.11 Statistical analysis	47
4. Results	48
4.1 Physical and chemical analyses of precultivated soil	48
4.2 Isolation of halophilic actinobacteria	48
4.3 Screening of halophilic actinobacteria	49
4.4 Identification of the halophilic actinobacterial isolates	51
4.4.1 Morphological and microscopic identification	51
4.4.2 Molecular identification and phylogenetic analysis	52
4.5 Applications of the halophilic actinobacterial isolates to alleviate stress effect on sugarcane setts germination and Stevia plantlets growth	54
4.5.1 Applications on salt-stressed sugarcane setts germination	54
4.5.2 Applications on salt-stressed Stevia plantlets growth	56
4.6 Effect of halophilic actinobacterial isolates on sugarcane and Stevia growth parameters under saline condition	58
4.6.1 Effect of halophilic actinobacterial isolates on sugarcane growth parameters	58

4.6.2 Effect of halophilic actinobacterial isolates on <i>Stevia</i> growth parameters	62
4.7 Total Cellular Proteins (TCP) analyses	71
4.7.1 Bacterial TCPs electrophoresis by SDS-PAGE technique	71
4.7.2 <i>Stevia</i> TCPs electrophoresis by SDS-PAGE	73
4.7.3 Analysis of protein profile of halophilic <i>Streptomyces</i> sp. as revealed by HDN-PAGE	76
4.8 Mass spectrometric analysis of selected TCPs of halophilic <i>Streptomyces</i> sp. after SDS-PAGE	77
5. Discussion	79
5.1 Characterization and Identification of PGPR <i>Streptomyces</i> halophilic actinobacteria	79
5.2 Alleviation of salt stress by application of the identified PGPR <i>Streptomyces</i> sp.	80
5.3 Ameliorative impact of PGPR <i>Streptomyces</i> sp. on the large subunit expression of RuBisCO enzyme complex	84
5.4 Identification of EF-TU in the identified halophilic PGPR <i>Streptomyces</i> sp.	87
6. Conclusion	90
7. Recommendations	91
8. English Summary	92
9. References	96

10. Appendix	129
Arabic Summary	134

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
1	Physical and chemical analyses of the soil used for pot experiment	48
2	Number of isolated halophilic actinobacteria from salty soil rhizosphere	49
3	Effect of different salt concentrations on mycelial growth of the isolated actinobacteria	50
4	Effect of halophilic actinobacteria isolates on sugarcane setts germination under salt stress	55
5	Effect of halophilic actinobacteria isolates on Stevia plantlets growth under salt stress	57
6	Effect of halophilic isolates on sugarcane growth parameters under NaCl treatments (after 49 days-old)	60
7	Effect of halophilic isolates on Stevia shoot height under different NaCl treatments (after 42 days-old)	64
8	Calculation of Stevia shoot height difference and growth rate percentage (after 42days old)	65

9	Effect of halophilic isolates on Stevia leaf number under different NaCl treatments (after 42 days-old)	68
10	Calculation of Stevia leaf number difference and growth rate percentage	69
11	Analysis of the selected <i>Streptomyces</i> sp. polypeptide by Mass Spectrometry	78

List of figures

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
1	Effect of different salt concentrations on the growth of isolated actinobacteria (A) <i>Streptomyces variabilis</i> , (B) <i>Streptomyces fradiae</i> .	50
2	Selected actinobacteria isolated on salted starch nitrate agar medium (A) <i>St. variabilis</i> , (A-I) Aerial mycelium, (A-II) Substrate mycelium, (A-III) Scanning Electron microscopy (SEM), (B) <i>St. fradiae</i> , (B-I) aerial mycelium, (B-II) Substrate mycelium, (B-III) Scanning Electron microscopy (SEM).	51
3	Neighbour joining phylogenetic tree of partial 16S rRNA sequence of isolates 4NC and 8PK.	53
4	Effect of halophilic actinobacterial isolates on sugarcane shoot height (A) Shoot height and (B) Shoot height growth rate % under different NaCl treatments (after 49-days old).	61
5	Effect of halophilic actinobacterial isolates on sugarcane leaf number (A) Leaf number and (B) Leaf number growth rate % under different NaCl treatments (after 49-days old).	61
6	Effect of inoculation of sugarcane with PGPR. (A) <i>S. variabilis</i> , (A-I) non-saline condition, (A-II) saline condition, (B) <i>S. fradiae</i> , (B-I) non-saline condition, (B-II)	62