



Lung Ultrasonography as Tool for Follow Up of Ventilated Neonates for Prediction of Weaning Readiness

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment
of MD Degree in Pediatrics*

By

Ayah Mohamed Zaki Shabana

*M.B, B.Ch. (2008) MSc (2013)
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Supervised by

Prof. Hisham Abdel Samie Awad

*Professor of Pediatrics
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University*

Prof. Soha Mohamed Khafagy

*Professor of Pediatrics
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University*

Dr. Nivan Taha Ahmed

*Consultant of Diagnostic Radiology
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University*

Dr. Basma Mohamed Shehata

*Lecturer of Pediatrics
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University*

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

2019

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قال رسول

سبّانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to Allah the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I would like to express my sincere feelings of appreciation and admiration to Prof. Dr. Wisam Abdel Samie Awad, Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University for his tremendous support that helped me through this work. He personifies the enthusiasm of a scientist moved by the joy of discovery and he continually motivates me.

Also I would like to thank and express my appreciation to Professor Dr. Soha Mohamed Khafagy Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University for her kind help, valuable guidance, assistance and encouragement.. I am deeply grateful for her more than words can ever express.

I would like also to express my deep feelings of gratitude to Dr. Mivon Taha Ahmed, Consultant of Diagnostic Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University for her indescribable support.

I sincerely appreciate the ongoing support of my mentor and friend Dr. Basma Mohamed Shehata, Lecturer of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University and I would really like to thank her for her patience and meticulous revision of this work.

I am especially thankful to the patients who inspired my research pursuits and provide continued motivation to search for knowledge.

I would really like to thank Ain Shams university hospital Neonatal intensive care unit team (professors, colleagues, nurses every single coworker).I would never dream of working with better team. You helped me every single step of this road.

This journey could not have begun without the assistance of my wonderful family and friends

Ayah Mohamed Zaki Shabana

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations.....	5
List of Tables	7
List of Figures	10
Introduction.....	- 1 -
Aim of the Work	15
Review of Literature	
▪ Lung and Diaphragm Ultrasound	16
▪ Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation	53
Patients and Methods	62
Results	80
Discussion.....	110
Summary	125
Conclusion	129
Recommendations	130
References	131
Appendix.....	153
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
AIS	<i>Alveolar Interstitial Syndrome</i>
ARDS	<i>Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome</i>
AUC	<i>Area Under The Curve</i>
BLUE	<i>Bedside Lung Ultrasound in Emergency</i>
BPD	<i>Broncho-Pulmonary Dysplasia</i>
BPM	<i>Breath Per Minute</i>
BW	<i>Birth Weight</i>
CPAP	<i>Continuous Positive Airway Pressure</i>
CRP	<i>C- Reactive Protein</i>
CXR	<i>Chest X-Ray</i>
DTF	<i>Diaphragmatic Thickening Fraction</i>
ETT	<i>Endotracheal Tube</i>
F group	<i>Failure Extubation Group</i>
FALLS	<i>Fluid Administration Limited by Lung Sonography</i>
FiO₂	<i>Fractioned Inspired Oxygen,</i>
HRCi	<i>Heart Rate Characteristics Index</i>
IQR	<i>Inter-Quartile Range</i>
IT	<i>Inspiratory Time</i>
IVH	<i>Interventricular Hemorrhage</i>
Lt D.Excursion	<i>Left Diaphragmatic Excursion,</i>
Lt D.Exp. Thick	<i>Left Diaphragm Expiration Thickness</i>
Lt D.Insp. Thick ...	<i>Left Diaphragm Inspiration Thickness</i>
Lt DTF	<i>Left Diaphragmatic Thickening Fraction</i>
LUS	<i>Lung Ultrasound</i>
MABP	<i>Mean Arterial Blood Pressure</i>
MAP	<i>Mean Airway Pressure</i>
MAS	<i>Meconium Aspiration Syndrome</i>
NEC	<i>Necrotizing Enterocolitis</i>
NIPPV	<i>Non Invasive Positive Pressure Ventilation</i>
NIV	<i>Non Invasive Ventilation</i>
NPV	<i>Negative Predictive Value</i>

List of Abbreviations cont...

Abb.	Full term
<i>PCO₂</i>	<i>Partial Pressure Carbon Dioxide</i>
<i>PDA</i>	<i>Patent Ductus Arteriosus</i>
<i>PEEP</i>	<i>Positive End Expiratory Pressure,</i>
<i>PIP</i>	<i>Positive Inspiratory Pressure,</i>
<i>PO₂</i>	<i>Partial Pressure Of Oxygen</i>
<i>POC-LUS</i>	<i>Point-Of-Care Lung Ultrasound</i>
<i>PPV</i>	<i>Positive Predictive Value</i>
<i>PSV</i>	<i>Pressure Support Ventilation</i>
<i>PTV</i>	<i>Patient Triggered Ventilation</i>
<i>PvCO₂</i>	<i>Partial Pressure Venous Carbon Dioxide,</i>
<i>RDS</i>	<i>Respiratory Distress Syndrome</i>
<i>ROC curve</i>	<i>Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve</i>
<i>RR</i>	<i>Respiratory Rate</i>
<i>RSBI</i>	<i>Rapid Shallow Breathing Index</i>
<i>Rt D.Excursion</i>	<i>Right Diaphragmatic Excursion</i>
<i>Rt D.Exp. Thick</i>	<i>Right Diaphragm Expiration Thicknes.</i>
<i>Rt D.Insp. Thick</i>	<i>Right Diaphragm Inspiration Thickness</i>
<i>Rt DTF</i>	<i>Right Diaphragmatic Thickening Fraction</i>
<i>S group</i>	<i>Successful Extubation Group</i>
<i>SAFE</i>	<i>Sonographic Algorithm For Life Threatening Emergencies</i>
<i>SBT</i>	<i>Spontaneous Breathing Trials</i>
<i>TTN</i>	<i>Transient Tachypnea Of Newborn</i>
<i>VAP</i>	<i>Ventilator Associated Pneumonia</i>
<i>VIDD</i>	<i>Ventilator-Induced Diaphragmatic Dysfunction</i>

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 1:	LUS features in RDS	31
Table 2:	The basic patterns in different respiratory disorders in neonates.....	41
Table 3:	Downes Score	63
Table 4:	Ventilatory Settings at Which Extubation Should Be Considered in Infants	76
Table 5:	Characteristics of the studied patients.....	80
Table 6:	Laboratory data of the studied patients on initiation of ventilation:.....	81
Table 7:	Outcome of the studied patients:	81
Table 8:	Comorbidities which occurred in the studied patients	82
Table 9:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups regarding demographic data	83
Table 10:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups regarding their laboratory data on initiation of ventilation.....	84
Table 11:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups regarding data on ventilation	85
Table 12:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups regarding patients outcomes	86
Table 13:	Comparison between “S”and “F” groups regarding comorbidities.....	87
Table 14:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups on initiation of ventilation as regards pH and PvCO ₂ , ventilator settings, X ray findings	88

List of Tables cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 15:	Comparison between success “S” and “F” groups as regard pH and PvCO ₂ and ventilator settings pre-extubating patients.....	90
Table 16:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups as regard LUS score, diaphragm ultrasound findings and SBT prior to extubation	93
Table 17:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups as regard pH and PCO ₂ , ventilator settings, X ray findings post-extubation.....	96
Table 18:	LUS score on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation	98
Table 19:	Comparison of LUS score on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation in each group.....	99
Table 20:	Right diaphragm expiration thickness on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation in each group.....	101
Table 21:	Right diaphragm inspiration thickness of the 2 groups on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation in each group.....	102
Table 22:	Left diaphragm expiration thickness on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation in each group.....	103
Table 23:	Left diaphragm inspiration thickness on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation in each group.....	104

List of Tables cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 24:	Validity of calculated cut off values of LUS score, left diaphragm expiration and inspiration thickness pre-extubation to predict success of weaning	107
Table 25:	Validity of SBT to predict successful extubation	108
Table 26:	Correlation of LUS score and diaphragm ultrasound parameters in all studied patients prior to extubation	109

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1:	Papers published on lung ultrasound in neonatology in 2006 and 2016	17
Figure 2:	Neonatal normal LUS characteristics.....	21
Figure 3:	Chest ultrasound examination in a healthy newborn	22
Figure 4:	B-line, confluent B-line, and alveolar interstitial syndrome (AIS)	23
Figure 5:	Compact B-lines	24
Figure 6:	LUS examination showing small linear hyperechogenic artifacts reflected from the “pleural line” and progress caudally for a few mm as a comet tail	25
Figure 7:	Lung consolidation and shred sign.....	26
Figure 8:	Lung ultrasound findings of MAS in a post-term neonate	27
Figure 9:	Double lung point.....	28
Figure 10:	Sandy beach sign and stratosphere sign.....	29
Figure 11:	The basic patterns in different respiratory disorders	30
Figure 12:	Main LUS findings	32
Figure 13:	The SAFE protocol	47
Figure 14:	New Ballard scoring (Neuromuscular maturity).....	65
Figure 15:	New Ballard scoring (Physical maturity).....	65
Figure 16:	Right upper anterior region lung ultrasound for one of the patients of the success group prior to extubation, showing good aeration.....	71

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 17:	Right upper anterior region lung ultrasound for one of the patients of the failure group prior to extubation, showing poor aeration.....	71
Figure 18:	Sonographic view of right and left diaphragm from the current study	73
Figure 19:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups as regard of patients’ mortality	86
Figure 20:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups as regard PEEP, PIP and MAP on initiation of ventilation.....	89
Figure 21:	Comparing between “S” and “F” groups as regard MAP pre-extubating the patients.....	91
Figure 22:	Comparing between “S” and “F” groups as regards the FIO ₂ before-extubating patients.....	92
Figure 23:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups as regards LUS score prior to extubation.....	94
Figure 24:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups as regards left diaphragm inspiration and expiration thickness prior to extubation.....	95
Figure 25:	Comparison between “S” and “F” groups as regard mode of non-invasive ventilation post-extubation.....	97
Figure 26:	LUS score of studied patients on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation.....	100
Figure 27:	Right diaphragm expiration thickness pattern on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation in each group.....	101

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 28:	Right diaphragm inspiration thickness pattern on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation in each group.	102
Figure 29:	Left diaphragm expiration thickness pattern on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation in each group	103
Figure 30:	Left diaphragm inspiration thickness pattern on initiation of ventilation, pre-extubation and post-extubation in each group.	105
Figure 31:	Roc curve of LUS score, left diaphragm expiration and inspiration thickness pre-extubation to predict success of weaning	106

INTRODUCTION

Despite many advances in mechanical ventilation and respiratory support, neonatologists lack objective tool to help in decision making for timely weaning (*Singh et al., 2018*).

Early weaning from ventilation is the key to prevent ventilator-induced lung injury and the long-term complications of prolonged mechanical ventilation in neonates. No universally validated nor accepted measure occurs to determine readiness for weaning. Decisions to extubate are often taken subjectively based on clinical judgment, which lead to extreme variations in extubation practice and adverse effects such as extubation failures, airway injury and increased risk for atelectotrauma (*Singh et al., 2018*).

Point-of-care sonography definition is an ultrasound examination that the clinician perform and interpret at the bedside (*El-Halaby et al., 2016*). As *Moore and Coople (2011)* speculated the theme of the “ultrasound stethoscope” is moving fast from theory to reality.

Lung ultrasound (LUS) can detect reduction in its parenchymal aeration and decide whether it is of respiratory, cardiac, or diaphragmatic origin. This reduction is quantified through lung ultrasound score, a scale which values range from 0 to 36 points, calculated from the sum of the grades assigned

to different aeration patterns observed in every examined area of the lung (*Llamas-Álvarez et al., 2017*).

The use of ultrasound in adults for visualization of the diaphragm is well established. In the last 15 years, several indices of diaphragm function have been established for patients on mechanical ventilation to evaluate diaphragmatic dysfunction, to track changes in diaphragmatic function and thickness over time, and to assess if these indices are useful to predict successful weaning from mechanical ventilation (*Turton et al., 2019*).

Ultrasound is used for bedside evaluation of diaphragmatic excursion and thickness in children, which can help pediatricians and intensivists verify a normal moving diaphragm (*El-Halaby et al., 2016*).

AIM OF THE WORK

The objectives of this study were:

1. To determine whether lung ultrasound score and diaphragm ultrasound parameters can be used as a predictor for extubation success in neonates
2. To compare between lung and diaphragm ultrasound versus spontaneous breathing trial to predict extubation failure.