

Comparative Study of Risk Factors for Opaque Bubble Layer Formation in Femtosecond-Laser Assisted LASIK

Thesis

Submitted to faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Ophthalmology

By

Ahmed Salah Abdel-wanes

M.B., B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University

Supervised By

Dr. Abdelrahman Gaber Salman

Professor of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Azza Mohamed Ahmed Said

Professor of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Bassem Fayez Aziz Riad

Lecturer of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University Cairo – Egypt 2019



دراسة عوامل الخطر لحدوث الطبقة الفقاعية المعتمة أثناء جراحة تصحيح عيوب الانكسار باستخدام ليزر الفيمتوثانية

رسالة مقدمة الى كلية الطب -جامعة عين شمس توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير في طب وجراحة العيون

من الطبيب/ أحمد صلاح عبد الونيس بكالوريوس الطب و الجراحة - كلية الطب - جامعة الزقازيق

تحت إشراف الدكتور/ عبد الرحمن جابر سالمان

أستاذ طب وجراحة العيون - كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

الدكتورة/عزة محد أحمد سعيد

أستاذ طب وجراحة العيون - كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

الدكتور / باسم فايز عزيز رياض

مدرس طب وجراحة العيون - كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس القاهرة - جمهورية مصر العربية ٢٠١٩



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



First and foremost thanks to ALLAH, the Most Merciful.

I wish to express my deep appreciation and sincere gratitude to **Dr. Abdelrahman Gaber Salman**, Professor of Ophthalmology, Ain Shams University, for his close supervision, valuable instructions, continuous help, patience, advices and guidance.

I wish to express my great thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Azza Mohamed Ahmed Said,** Professor of Ophthalmology, Ain Shams University, for her kind supervision, indispensable advice and great help.

I wish to express my great thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Bassem Fayez Aziz Riad,** Lecturer of Ophthalmology, Ain shams University, for his kind supervision, indispensable advice and great help in this work.

Dedication

I would like to thank all my family, my mother, my father, my brother, my sister and my colleagues, for their valuable help and support.

I want to dedicate this work to the soul of my beloved grandma and my uncle.

Ahmed Salah

CONTENTS

| S | Subjects | Page |
|---|---|--------------|
| • | List of abbreviations | I |
| • | List of Tables | II |
| • | List of Figures | IV |
| • | List of Graphs | VIII |
| • | Introduction | 1 |
| • | Aim of the Work | 5 |
| • | Review of literature: | |
| | -Chapter 1: Laser principle and development | 6 |
| | -Chapter 2: Principles of femtosecond laserChapter 3: Femtosecond laser applications refractive surgeries | s in corneal |
| • | Patients and Methods | 48 |
| • | Results | 60 |
| • | Discussion | 81 |
| • | Conclusion and Recommendations | 87 |
| • | Summary | 88 |
| • | References | 91 |
| • | Arabic Summary | |

List of Abbreviations

AK : Astigmatic keratotomy

ALK : Anterior lamellar keratoplastyBest corrected visual acuityCCT : Central corneal thickness

CO₂ : Carbon dioxide

D: Diopter

DALK: Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty

DLK: Diffuse lamellar keratitis

DSAEK Descemet's stripping automated endothelial

· keratoplasty

FDA : Food and drug administration

FLEx: Femtosecond laser assisted refractive lenticule

· extraction

FSL: Femtosecond laser

H₂O : Water

ICRS : Intrastromal corneal ring segments

IOP : Intra ocular pressureIQR : Inter quartile range

K1 : Flat keratometry readingK2 : Steep keratometry reading

kHz : Kilohertz

Laser : Light amplification by stimulated emission of

radiation

LASIK : Laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis LIOB : Laser induced optical breakdown

MK : Mechanical microkeratome

ND-YAG: Neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet

OBL : Opaque bubble layerSD : Standard deviationSE : Spherical equivalent

SMILE: Small incision lenticule extraction

List of Tables

| Table No. | Title | Page No. |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| (1) | Types of lasers used in ophthalmology according to their wavelength and effect in tissue. | 14 |
| (2) | Comparison of commercially available FSLs. | 19 |
| (3) | Comparison of MKs and FSLs for LASIK flap creation. | 25 |
| (4) | Age of the studied patients. | 60 |
| (5) | Sex distribution among the studied patients. | 61 |
| (6) | Preoperative corneal parameters among the studied eyes. | 63 |
| (7) | (a)-Femtosecond laser flap creation settings.(b)-Corneal flap diameter and thickness among the studied patients. | 64 65 |
| (8) | Comparison between OBL and non-OBL regarding age and sex of the studied patients. | 66 |
| (9) | Preoperative spherical equivalent in relation to Opaque Bubble Layer formation among the studied eyes. | 68 |
| (10) | Astigmatism in relation to OBL formation among the studied eyes. | 68 |
| (11) | Refraction among the studied group. | 69 |
| (12) | Preoperative flat keratometry (k1) in relation to OBL formation among the studied eyes. | 70 |
| (13) | Preoperative steep keratometry (k2) regarding OBL formation among the studied eyes. | 71 |
| (14) | Corneal pachymetry in relation to OBL formation among the studied eyes. | 73 |

| (15) | Distribution of central corneal thickness among the studied groups. | 75 |
|------|---|----------|
| (16) | a-Preoperative corneal flap parameters in relation to OBL formation among the studied eyes. b-Preoperative corneal flap thickness and diameter in relation to OBL formation among the studied eyes. | 76 77 |
| (17) | Distribution of canal length (mm)among the studied groups. | 78 |
| (18) | Logistic regression of OBL formation depending on presence of risk factors among the studied eyes. | |

List of Figures

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|----------|---|-------------|
| (1) | Energy levels of electrons in an atom. | 7 |
| (2) | Ground state and excited state of atoms. | 8 |
| (3) | Schematic diagram of (a) stimulated emission (b) spontaneous emission (c) spontaneous absorption and emission. | 9 |
| (4) | Schematic diagram of a laser gain medium with the resonance cavity. | 10 |
| (5) | The electromagnetic waves emitted by: the ordinary lamp produced the white light (a),the source of the monochromatic light (b),and laser (c). | 11 |
| (6) | (a) ordinary light (b) laser light. | 12 |
| (7) | a: Highly focused FSL pulses. b: Formation of cavitations bubbles. c: Cavitation bubbles enlarge and coalesce to allow separation of tissue. | 15 |
| (8) | Photodistruption process by FSL. | 17 |
| (9) | Low frequency versus high frequency laser application. | 18 |
| (10) | Commercially available femtosecond lasers in refractive surgery. | 19 |
| (11) | (a) Visumax and (b) Femtec curved docking systems. Note the limbal suction in the Visumax device. | |
| (12) | a- Suction cone of FS200 for vacuum. b-applanation cone of FS200 for docking. | 22 |
| (13) | Interface of FSL flap creation. | 23 |

| | Schematic representation of the excimer laser | |
|-------|---|----|
| (14) | flattening: (a) To the centre cornea for myopia | 23 |
| (17) | correction, (b) To the periphery cornea for | |
| | hyperopia correction. | |
| (15) | Opaque bubble layer formation. | 26 |
| (16) | Representative photographs of no OBL (a), a hard OBL (b), and a soft OBL (c). | 27 |
| (17) | OBL that may interfere with eye tracking system. | 28 |
| (= 1) | Schematic diagrams describing a proposed | |
| | mechanism of increased or decreased risk of | |
| | OBL. (a) Corneal parameters hypothesized to | 29 |
| (18) | lead to decreased risk of OBL. (b) Corneal | |
| | parameters hypothesized to lead to increased risk | |
| | of OBL. | |
| | (a) Role of large white-to-white diameter in | |
| (10) | process of OBL formation. (b) Role of small | 30 |
| (19) | white-to-white diameter in process of OBL | |
| | formation) | |
| (20) | Shows wider canal settings reduce risk of OBLs. | 31 |
| (21) | Slit lamp photograph showing trace interface | 32 |
| (21) | haze after FSL-assisted LASIK. | |
| (22) | Rainbow glare after FSL-assisted LASIK. | 33 |
| (23) | DLK after FSL-assisted LASIK. | 33 |
| | Schematic illustrations of (a) the 2 lamellar cuts | |
| | in SMILE and (b) a single cut in FSL-assisted | |
| | LASIK. Note that in LASIK, the single FSL cut | |
| (24) | results in irregularities that match when the flap | |
| (24) | is replaced as long as it is in its original position. | 36 |
| | In SMILE, the 2 FSL cuts result in differences | |
| | between the surfaces once the lenticule is | |
| | removed. | |
| | Slit-lamp photograph of 2 intrastromal corneal | |
| (25) | ring segments placed into intrastromal tunnels | 37 |
| (43) | created by a FSL in a patient with keratoconus | |
| | and contact lens intolerance. | |

| (26) | (Left) Perfect FS laser-created intrastromal arcuate incisions immediately postop for treatment of 1.0 D of residual corneal cylinder three months after multifocal IOL cataract surgery. (Right). Same eye on first postoperative | 38 |
|------|--|----|
| | day with almost no visible evidence of the incisions. | |
| (27) | Customized trephination patterns with FSL-enabled keratoplasty. a, Standard "butt-joint"; b, "top-hat"; c, "mushroom"; d, "zig-zag"; e, "Christmas tree". | 39 |
| (28) | Schematic overview displaying (a) a virgin cornea (b) PK (c) DALK, (d) DSAEK. | 41 |
| (29) | Intracor procedure(a) Intrastromal-only cuts.(b)It is possible to visualize the incisions in the slit-lamp. | 44 |
| (30) | Flexivue microlens design. | 45 |
| (31) | Flexivue Microlens, within a 0.5 mm central perforation. | 45 |
| (32) | Raindrop inlay under LASIK flap. | 46 |
| (33) | KAMARA inlay design. | 47 |
| (34) | (a) Photograph of a KAMRA inlay in a patient eye. (b) The inner diameter is 1.6 mm which acts as a pinhole. | 47 |
| (35) | Pentacam used for corneal tomography. | 50 |
| (36) | Printout of 4 refractive maps to asses corneal tomography before surgery of one of our patients including axial sagittal curvature map, anterior elevation map, posterior elevation map and corneal thickness map. | 52 |

| (37) Pneumatic suction ring of FS200 of one of our patient. (38) Suction ring centration before docking. (39) (a&b) Laser system started to create flap. (c) OBL formed intraoperatively. (40) OBL formed intra-operatively. (a) Treatment report of one of our patients including refraction, optical zone, ablation profile and keratometric readings. (41) (b) The treatment report of same patient including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset (white arrow) who developed OBL. | | | |
|---|------|--|----|
| (38) Suction ring centration before docking. (a&b) Laser system started to create flap. (c) OBL formed intraoperatively. (40) OBL formed intra-operatively. (a) Treatment report of one of our patients including refraction, optical zone, ablation profile and keratometric readings. (41) (b) The treatment report of same patient including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | (37) | e | 53 |
| (39) (a&b) Laser system started to create flap. (c) OBL formed intra-operatively. (40) OBL formed intra-operatively. (a) Treatment report of one of our patients including refraction, optical zone, ablation profile and keratometric readings. (41) (b) The treatment report of same patient including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | | patient. | |
| OBL formed intraoperatively. (40) OBL formed intra-operatively. (a) Treatment report of one of our patients including refraction, optical zone, ablation profile and keratometric readings. (41) (b) The treatment report of same patient including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | (38) | Suction ring centration before docking. | 54 |
| (40) OBL formed intra-operatively. (a) Treatment report of one of our patients including refraction, optical zone, ablation profile and keratometric readings. (41) (b) The treatment report of same patient including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | (20) | (a&b) Laser system started to create flap. (c) | 54 |
| (a) Treatment report of one of our patients including refraction, optical zone, ablation profile and keratometric readings. (41) (b) The treatment report of same patient including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | (39) | OBL formed intraoperatively. | |
| including refraction, optical zone, ablation profile and keratometric readings. (41) (b) The treatment report of same patient including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | (40) | OBL formed intra-operatively. | 55 |
| including refraction, optical zone, ablation profile and keratometric readings. (41) (b) The treatment report of same patient including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | | (a) Treatment report of one of our patients | |
| profile and keratometric readings. (41) (b) The treatment report of same patient including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | | 1 ' ' | 56 |
| (41) (b) The treatment report of same patient including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | | _ | 30 |
| including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | | profile and keratometric readings. | |
| including flap diameter, thickness, corneal thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | (41) | (b) The treatment report of same national | |
| thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, hinge angle and laser parameters. Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | (41) | 1 1 | |
| hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | | including flap diameter, thickness, corneal | |
| hinge angle and laser parameters. (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | | thickness side cute angle, corneal canal length, | 57 |
| (42) Treatment report of one our patient with thick cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | | | |
| cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | | | |
| Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | (42) | Treatment report of one our patient with thick | 74 |
| Treatment report of another patient in the present study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | (42) | cornea (white arrow) who developed OBL. | |
| (43) study with increased corneal canal length offset 79 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | |
| (white arrow) who developed OBL. | (43) | study with increased corneal canal length offset | 79 |
| | | (white arrow) who developed OBL. | |

List of Graphs

| Graph No. | Title | Page No. |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| (1) | Pie diagram showing sex distribution among the studied group. | 61 |
| (2) | Box-plot for comparison between patients with OBL and patients without OBL regarding age. | 66 |
| (3) | Column chart for comparison between patients with OBL and patients without OBL regarding sex. | |
| (4) | Column chart for comparison between OBL group and non-OBL group regarding distribution of flat keratometry (K1). | |
| (5) | Column chart for comparison between OBL group and non-OBL group regarding distribution of steep keratometry (K2). | |
| (6) | Box-plot for comparison between OBL group and non-OBL group regarding central corneal thickness | |
| (7) | Column chart for comparison between OBL group and non-OBL group regarding distribution of central corneal thickness. | |
| (8) | Column chart for comparison between OBL group and non-OBL group regarding distribution of canal length. | |

INTRODUCTION

efractive surgery had undergone a remarkable evolution during the last 25 years. The introduction of excimer laser in practice of refractive surgery was an exciting innovation (Alio., 2014).

Laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) is a widely correcting the refractive accepted method for (Mastropasqua et al., 2017). In recent years, the use of bladeless LASIK surgery utilizing a femtosecond laser (FSL) (named for its ultrashort pulses, with duration of few femtoseconds) for lamellar flap creation, as an alternative option to the mechanical microkeratome (MK) (Chen et al., 2017).

A second laser involved in the procedure, the excimer provides the ablation and has also evolved significantly over the course of the past 10 years. Excimer lasers for refractive surgery can provide customized ablation, including aspheric ablation profiles, wavefront-guided, or topography-guided treatments (Kasetsuwan et al., 2016).

FSL becomes one of the most important advances in refractive surgery as it increases the predictability, precision and accuracy of LASIK flap creation. Also, it allows for flap customization (thickness, diameter, side cut angle) and is better for thin cornea, high spherical ametropia and high astigmatism (Aristeidou et al., 2015).