



Faculty of Science
Department of Mathematics

EFFECT OF TIME-ORDERING ON HADRON- NUCLEUS SCATTERING

A THESIS

Submitted to Faculty of Science-Ain Shams University, for M. Sc. Degree
(Applied Mathematics)

By

Aya Mohammed Abdel-Rhman Ismael

B. Sc. (2012), Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Mohamed Hassan

Professor Emeritus of Applied Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Dr. Zeinab S. Hassan

Assistant professor of Applied Mathematics
Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Tarek Nasr El- Din Salama

Assistant professor of Applied Mathematics
Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

2019

CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
ABSTRACT	i
SUMMARY	ii
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	xi
CHAPTER I: Introduction	
1.1: General view	1
1.2. Nucleon-nucleon amplitude phase-variation.....	9
1.3. Multiple scattering theory of Glauber	11
1.4. The time- ordering effect.....	15
1.4.1. Time-ordering in proton-nucleus elastic scattering	17
1.5. Layout of the thesis	19
CHAPTER II: Hadron-Deuteron Elastic Scattering	
Time-Ordering Effect	
2.1. Hadron-deuteron elastic scattering.....	21
2.2. Results and discussion	30
CHAPTER III: Time-Ordering Effect in Proton-Three- Nucleon Nuclei Elastic Scattering	
3.1. $p-{}^3\text{He}$ (${}^3\text{H}$) elastic scattering	50
3. 2. Results and discussion	56
CHAPTER IV: Time-Ordering Effect in $p-{}^4\text{He}$ Elastic Scattering	
4.1. $p-{}^4\text{He}$ elastic scattering amplitude.....	75

	Page
4.2. Results and discussion.....	86
CHAPTER V: General Discussion and Conclusions ..	
5.1. χ^2 - Method	104
5.2. Conclusions.....	105
REFERENCES	112
ARABIC SUMMARY	-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude indebted to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Mohammed A. Hassan, Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for suggesting this line of research, kind supervision, systematic guidance and valuable help from the beginning of this thesis. With his generous and fruitful discussions this work has been completed.

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Tarek Nasr El-Din, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his great help and discussions he offered during preparation of thesis, his great efforts to explain and his cooperation for follow-up through this work.

I would like to express my thanks to Dr. Zeinab S Hassan, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for her great help and valuable advice.

I thank my family: my parents and my sisters who encouraged me and prayed for me throughout the time of my research and always have loved me unconditionally. And special thanks to my mother who I wish you would be with me now.

ABSTRACT

In the study of particle-nucleus or nucleus-nucleus scattering in the framework of multiple scattering theory of Glauber, the commutativity of the profile function operators Γ_i is assumed. This approximation may ignore the actual situation in the multiple scattering processes. Therefore, in this work the noncommutative profile function operators are considered. The results of $p-d$, $p-^3\text{He}$ and $p-^4\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross sections at intermediate energies of order 1 GeV show that the consideration of noncommutativity of the profile function operators is important to obtain a good fit with the experimental data. This means that the time-ordering of collisions in multi-scattering processes must be considered.

SUMMARY

This thesis is concerned with the study of the effect of the time-ordering in multi-scattering processes in particle-nucleus elastic scattering at intermediate and high energy in the framework of multiple scattering theory of Glauber. The nuclei 2H , 3H , 3He , and 4He are taken as a targets. The time-ordering plays important role to obtain a good fit with the experimental data of particle-nucleus elastic scattering differential cross section at the minima. This effect is represented by a phase factor in particle-nucleon elastic scattering amplitude of second, third, and fourth collision and so on. Including the time-ordering in the calculations of the results of the particle-nucleus elastic scattering differential cross section, the agreement with the experimental data is improved at different minima for the mass number of the target nucleus $A > 2$. The obtained results are, also, compared with the results of phase-variation of particle-nucleon elastic scattering amplitude suggested by Franco and Yin. The thesis consists of five chapters, list of references, list of figures, list of tables and English and Arabic summaries.

In chapter I, a general view of the subject in published literatures is presented for a wide range of years, 1959-2018, Sec. 1.1 particle-nucleon amplitude phase-variation is presented in Sec. 1.2. A brief formulation of multiple scattering theory of Glauber is given in Sec. 1.3. Finally, in Sec. 1.4 the time-ordering effect is introduced and explained.

Chapter II is concerned with the time-ordering effect on the elastic scattering differential cross section of proton-deuteron ($p - d$)

at 1 and 11.9 GeV, antiproton-deuteron ($\bar{p} - d$) at 1.271 GeV and π^- - meson-deuteron ($\pi^- - d$) at 8.862 GeV. At first, the mathematical formula of elastic scattering amplitude in the framework of Glauber multiple scattering theory is obtained, section 2.1. we take the D-state effect into account. In section 2.2 the results of calculations are presented and discussed. The results show that the effect of time-ordering is not clear. Therefore, we could not obtain a conclusion about the time-ordering effect in the case of hadron- 2H scattering.

In chapter III, we consider the effect of time – ordering of collision in the calculations of $p-^3He$, $p-^3H$ elastic scattering differential cross sections. The $p-^3He$, $p-^3H$ elastic scattering amplitudes are obtained in section 3.1. The results are obtained at the energies 0.6, 0.715 and 1 GeV and discussed, Sec. 3.2. Also, the results of Franco-Yin phase variation are presented for the same reactions and energies. We concluded that the inclusion of the time-ordering is important to obtain a good fit with the experimental data, especially, at the first and second minima where the Franco-Yin phase variation fails to fit the data at the two minima together.

In chapter IV we tried to confirm the previously obtained results concerning the importance of time-ordering effect in multi-scattering of proton with a nucleus. Therefore, $p-^4He$ elastic scattering at the energies 0.8, 1, 1.728 GeV is studied. In section 4.1 $p-^4He$ elastic scattering amplitude with time-ordering effect is obtained. The results of calculations of $p-^4He$ elastic scattering differential cross section at the considered energies are presented and discussed in section 4.2. Also, the results of

Franco-Yin phase variation are presented at the same energies. In conclusion, the consideration of noncommutativity of the profile function operators is important to obtain a good fit with experimental data. This means that the time-ordering of collisions in multi-scattering processes must be considered.

In chapter V, we explain the difference between the time-ordering approach and the phase variation of nucleon-nucleon amplitude suggested by Franco and Yin. The accuracy of the results is discussed by using χ^2 -method. Finally, in conclusion, the importance of time-ordering in multi-scattering processes is confirmed.

The results of this thesis are published in Indian Journal of Physics (M. A. Hassan, T. N. E. Salama, Z. S. Hassan and A. M. Ismael, Indian J. Phys. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12648-018-01368-5> (2019))

List of Figures

	Page
Fig. 2.1(a): $p-^2H$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 1 GeV with D-state, time- ordering effects and $\gamma_j = 0$. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jk} = 5, 10, -5, 0 (Gev/c)^{-2}$, respectively. The experimental data is taken from [7, 17, 26].	34
Fig. 2.1(b): $p-^2H$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 11.9 GeV with D-state, time- ordering effects and $\gamma_j = 0$. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jk} = 5, 10, -5, 0 (Gev/c)^{-2}$, respectively. The experimental data is taken from [6].	35
Fig. 2.1(c): $\bar{p}-^2H$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 1.271 GeV with D-state, time- ordering effects and $\gamma_j = 0$. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jk} = 5, 10, -5, 0 (Gev/c)^{-2}$, respectively. The experimental data is taken from [33].	36
Fig. 2.1(d): $\pi^- - ^2H$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 8.862 GeV with D-state, time- ordering effects and $\gamma_j = 0$. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jk} = 5, 10, -5, 0 (Gev/c)^{-2}$, respectively. The experimental data is taken from [6].	37
Fig. 2.2(a): Same as Fig. 2.1(a) without D-state effect.	38
Fig. 2.2(b): Same as Fig. 2.1(b) without D-state effect.	39
Fig. 2.2(c): Same as Fig. 2.1(c) without D-state effect.	40
Fig. 2.2(d): Same as Fig. 2.1(d) without D-state effect.	41
Fig. 2.3(a): Same as Fig. 2.1(a) for Franco-Yin phase variation and without time-ordering ($\gamma_{jk} = 0$). Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_j = 5, 10, -5, 0 (Gev/c)^{-2}$, respectively.	42
Fig. 2.3(b): Same as Fig. 1.2(b) for Franco-Yin phase variation and without time-ordering ($\gamma_{jk} = 0$). Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_j = 5, 10, -5, 0 (Gev/c)^{-2}$, respectively.	43

Fig. 2.3(c):	Same as Fig. 1.2(c) for Franco-Yin phase variation and without time-ordering ($\gamma_{jk} = 0$). Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_j = 5, 10, -5, 0$ (Gev/c) ⁻² , respectively.	44
Fig. 2.3(d):	Same as Fig. 1.2(d) for Franco-Yin phase variation and without time-ordering ($\gamma_{jk} = 0$). Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_j = 5, 10, -5, 0$ (Gev/c) ⁻² , respectively.	45
Fig. 2.4(a):	Same as Fig. 2.3(a) without D-state effect.	46
Fig. 2.4(b):	Same as Fig. 2.3(b) without D-state effect.	47
Fig. 2.4(c):	Same as Fig. 2.3 (c) without D-state effect.	48
Fig. 2.4(d):	Same as Fig. 2.3 (d) without D-state effect.	49
Fig. 3.1(a):	p - ³ He elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.6 GeV. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jk} = 5, 10, -5, 0$ (Gev/c) ⁻² , respectively. The experimental data are taken from [11], [21] and [4, 21]. $\gamma_j = 0$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = 0$ for all curves.	59
Fig. 3.1(b):	p - ³ He elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.715 GeV. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jk} = 5, 10, -5, 0$ (Gev/c) ⁻² , respectively. The experimental data are taken from [11], [21] and [4, 21]. $\gamma_j = 0$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = 0$ for all curves.	60
Fig. 3.1(c):	p - ³ He elastic scattering differential cross section at 1 GeV. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jk} = 5, 10, -5, 0$ (Gev/c) ⁻² , respectively. The experimental data are taken from [11], [21] and [4, 21]. $\gamma_j = 0$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = 0$ for all curves.	61
Fig. 3.1(d):	p - ³ H elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.6 GeV. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jk} = 5, 10, -5, 0$ (Gev/c) ⁻² , respectively. The experimental data are taken from [11], [21] and [4, 21]. $\gamma_j = 0$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = 0$ for all curves.	62
Fig. 3.2(a):	p - ³ He elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.6 GeV. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jkl} = 5, 10, -5, 0$ (Gev/c) ⁻² , respectively. The experimental data are taken from [11], [21] and [4, 21]. $\gamma_j = 0$ and $\gamma_{jk} = 0$ for all curves.	63

	Page
Fig. 3.2(b): $p-^3\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.715 GeV. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jkl} = 5, 10, -5, 0 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$, respectively. The experimental data are taken from [4], [11] and [21]. $\gamma_j = 0$ and $\gamma_{jk} = 0$ for all curves.	64
Fig. 3.2(c): $p-^3\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 1 GeV. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jkl} = 5, 10, -5, 0 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$, respectively. The experimental data are taken from [4], [11] and [21]. $\gamma_j = 0$ and $\gamma_{jk} = 0$ for all curves.	65
Fig. 3.2(d): $p-^3\text{H}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.6 GeV. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_{jkl} = 5, 10, -5, 0 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$, respectively. The experimental data are taken from [4], [11] and [21]. $\gamma_j = 0$ and $\gamma_{jk} = 0$ for all curves.	66
Fig. 3.3(a): Same as Fig. 3.1(a) for Franco-Yin phase variation where $\gamma_{jk} = 0$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = 0$. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_j = 5, 10, -5, 0 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ respectively.	67
Fig. 3.3(b): Same as Fig. 3.1(b) for Franco-Yin phase variation where $\gamma_{jk} = 0$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = 0$. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_j = 5, 10, -5, 0 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ respectively.	68
Fig. 3.3(c): Same as Fig. 3.1(c) for Franco-Yin phase variation where $\gamma_{jk} = 0$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = 0$. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_j = 5, 10, -5, 0 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ respectively.	69
Fig. 3.3(d): Same as Fig. 3.1(d) for Franco-Yin phase variation where $\gamma_{jk} = 0$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = 0$. Solid, dashed, dot-dashed and dot-dot-dashed curves represent the results with $\gamma_j = 5, 10, -5, 0 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ respectively.	70
Fig. 3.4(a): $p-^3\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.6 GeV. Solid and dashed curves represent the results with and without time-ordering, respectively, where $\gamma_j = 0$, $\gamma_{jk} = -2 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = -6 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$.	71

- Fig. 3.4(b):** $p-^3\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.715 GeV. Solid and dashed curves represent the results with and without time-ordering, respectively, where $\gamma_j = 0$, $\gamma_{jk} = -2 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = -6 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$. 72
- Fig. 3.4(c):** $p-^3\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 1 GeV. Solid and dashed curves represent the results with and without time-ordering, respectively, where $\gamma_j = 0$, $\gamma_{jk} = -2 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = -6 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$. 73
- Fig. 3.4(d):** $p-^3\text{H}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.6 GeV. Solid and dashed curves represent the results with and without time-ordering, respectively, where $\gamma_j = 0$, $\gamma_{jk} = -2 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ and $\gamma_{jkl} = -6 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$. 74
- Fig. 4.1(a):** $p-^4\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.8 GeV considering time-ordering effect. Solid curve with $\gamma_{jk} = 5 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$, dashed curve with $\gamma_{jk} = 10 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$, dot-dashed curve with $\gamma_{jk} = -5 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$, and dot-dot-dashed curve without time-ordering effect. In all curves $\gamma_j = \gamma_{jkl} = \gamma_{jklm} = 0$. The experimental data is taken from [54]. 89
- Fig. 4.1(b):** Same as Fig. 4.1(a) except proton energy is 1 GeV. The experimental is taken from [55]. 90
- Fig. 4.1(c):** Same as Fig. 4.1(a) except proton energy is 1.728 GeV. The experimental data is taken from [54]. 91
- Fig. 4.2(a):** $p-^4\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.8 GeV considering time -ordering effect. Solid curve with $\gamma_{jkl} = 5 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$, dashed curve with $\gamma_{jkl} = 10 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$, dot-dashed curve with $\gamma_{jkl} = -5 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$, and dot-dot-dashed curve without time-ordering effect. In all curves $\gamma_j = \gamma_{jk} = \gamma_{jklm} = 0$. The experimental data is taken from [54]. 92
- Fig. 4.2(b):** Same as Fig. 4.2(a) except proton energy is 1 GeV. The experimental data take from [55]. 93
- Fig. 4.2 (c):** Same as Fig. 4.2(a) except proton energy is 1.728 GeV. The experimental data is taken from [54]. 94

	Page
Fig. 4.3(a): $p\text{-}^4\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.8 GeV considering time-ordering effect. Solid curve with $\gamma_{jklm} = 5 \text{ (Gev/c)}^{-2}$, dashed curve with $\gamma_{jklm} = 10 \text{ (Gev/c)}^{-2}$, dot-dashed curve with $\gamma_{jklm} = -5 \text{ (Gev/c)}^{-2}$, and dot-dot-dashed curve without time-ordering effect. In all curves $\gamma_j = \gamma_{jk} = \gamma_{jkl} = 0$. The experimental data is taken from [54].	95
Fig. 4.3(b): Same as Fig. 4.3(a) except proton energy is 1 GeV. The experimental data taken is from [55].	96
Fig. 4.3(c): Same as Fig. 4.3(a) except proton energy is 1.728 GeV. The experimental data is taken from [54].	97
Fig. 4.4(a): $p\text{-}^4\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.8 GeV. Solid curve considering time-ordering effect, with $\gamma_{jk} = -3.7$, $\gamma_{jkl} = -4.7$, $\gamma_{jklm} = 10 \text{ (Gev/c)}^{-2}$ and dashed curve without time-ordering effect. The experimental data is taken from [54].	98
Fig. 4.4(b): Same as Fig. 4.4(a) except proton energy is 1 GeV. The experimental data is taken from [55].	99
Fig. 4.4(c): Same as Fig. 4.4(a) except proton energy is 1.728 GeV. The experimental data is taken from [54].	100
Fig. 4.5(a): $p\text{-}^4\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 0.8 GeV considering Franco phase variation effect. Solid curve with $\gamma_j = 5 \text{ (Gev/c)}^{-2}$, dashed curve with $\gamma_j = 10 \text{ (Gev/c)}^{-2}$, dot-dashed curve with $\gamma_j = -5 \text{ (Gev/c)}^{-2}$, and dot-dot-dashed curve without any effects. In all curves $\gamma_{jk} = \gamma_{jkl} = \gamma_{jklm} = 0$. The experimental data is taken from [54].	101
Fig. 4.5(b): Same as Fig. 4.5(a) except proton energy is 1 GeV. The experimental data is taken from [55].	102
Fig. 4.5(c): Same as Fig. 4.5(a) except proton energy is 1.728 GeV. The experimental data is taken from [54].	103
Fig. 5.1(a): $p\text{-}^3\text{He}$ elastic scattering differential cross section at 715 MeV. Dashed, dot-dashed and Solid curves represent the results without any effect, the results with Franco- Yin phase variation effect, and the results with time-ordering effect, respectively. The experimental data is taken from [4], [11] and [21].	107

	Page
Fig. 5.1(b): Same as Fig. 5.1(a) at 1 GeV. The experimental data is taken from [4], [11] and [21].	108
Fig. 5.2(a): Same as Fig. 5.1(a) for $p-^4\text{He}$ at 1 GeV. The experimental data is taken from [55].	109
Fig. 5.2(b): Same as Fig. 5.1(a) for $p-^4\text{He}$ at 1.728 GeV. The experimental data is taken from [54].	110

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1: Coefficients of the parameterized deuteron wave function components m_j , C_j and D_j [52].	27
Table 2: Parameters of quadruple form factors $S_0(\mathbf{q})$ and $S_2(\mathbf{q})$ [53].	28
Table 3: Hadron-nucleon parameters [1, 6, 10, 20, 34].	31
Table 4: Nucleon- Nucleon parameters.	56
Table 5: χ^2 values. $\chi^2_{\text{exp-G}}$, $\chi^2_{\text{exp-(G+PV)}}$ and $\chi^2_{\text{exp-(G+TO)}}$ are χ^2 values of Experiment- Glauber model without correction, Experiment- Glauber model with phase variation effect and finally Experiment- Glauber model with time-ordering correction.	106