



# **EVALUATION OF SEISMIC RESISTANCE FOR AN OLD WATER STRUCTURE IN EGYPT USING DYNAMIC TESTING**

By

**Rana Nasser Ellaithy Hamed**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**

in

**STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2019

# **EVALUATION OF SEISMIC RESISTANCE FOR AN OLD WATER STRUCTURE IN EGYPT USING DYNAMIC TESTING**

By

**Rana Nasser Ellaithy Hamed**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
in

**STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

Under the supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Adel Yahya Akl**

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shawky Hashad**

.....

.....

Professor of structures  
Structural Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Professor  
Construction Research Institute  
National Water Research Center

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2019

# **EVALUATION OF SEISMIC RESISTANCE FOR AN OLD WATER STRUCTURE IN EGYPT USING DYNAMIC TESTING**

By

**Rana Nasser Ellaithy Hamed**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
in  
**STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

Approved by the  
Examining committee:

---

**Prof. Dr. Adel Yahiya Akl,**

**Thesis Main Advisor**

---

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shawky Hashad,**

**Advisor**

---

**Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad,**

**Internal Examiner**

---

**Prof. Dr. Eehab Ahmed Badr Eldeen Khalil,**  
Director of Construction Research Institute - NWRC

**External Examiner**

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2019

**Engineer's Name** : Rana Nasser Ellaithy Hamed  
**Date of Birth** : 17 / 05 / 1990  
**National** : Egyptian  
**E-mail** : [rana.nasser.ellaithy@gmail.com](mailto:rana.nasser.ellaithy@gmail.com)  
**Phone** : 01119308200 - 010622336993  
**Address** : Ashgar District - 6 of October  
city – Giza – Egypt.  
**Registration Date** : 1/10 /2013  
**Awarding Date** : / /2019  
**Degree** : Master of Science  
**Department** : Structure Engineering  
**Supervisors** : Prof. Dr. Adel Yahiya Akl  
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shawky Hashad



**Examiners** : Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad (Internal Examiner)  
Prof. Dr. Eehab Ahmed Badr Eldeen Khalil (External Examiner)  
Director of Construction Research Institute - NWRC  
Prof. Dr. Adel Yahiya Akl (Thesis Main Advisor)  
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shawky Hashad (Advisor)

**Title of Thesis** : EVALUATION OF SEISMIC RESISTANCE FOR AN OLD  
WATER STRUCTURE IN EGYPT USING DYNAMIC TESTING

**Key Words** : Dynamic analysis, Seismic analysis, Dynamic testing, Hydraulic  
structures, Dynamic characteristics, Seismic resistance.

**Summary**

Scope of the research is to propose a methodology for the assessment of the dynamic resistance of a hydraulic structure. This objective was reached by studying the effect of dynamic loads on the hydraulic structures; case study El-Ayat regulator. A hydraulic structure may expose to many types of dynamic loads such as earthquakes and vehicles flow. Effect of seismic load on hydraulic structures not only depends on to the value of the peak ground motion due to earthquake but also the dynamic characteristics of the structure. In this research; ANSYS Software, workbench module was used to create a finite element model for the structure. Field tests were held to determine the dynamic characteristics of the structure and their results were used to verify the numerical model by comparing the results of the tests with the model's results and updating the model. Finally, seismic analysis was held to the regulator using different Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) levels to reach the failure condition to evaluate the seismic resistance of the regulator. It was found that an earthquake with 7.3 magnitude on the moment magnitude (Mw) scale caused a stability failure for the regulator. And many other important results for the decision makers.

## **Disclaimer**

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Rana Nasser Ellaithy Hamed

Date:

Signature:

## Dedication

To my Lovely Family - the most supportive family one can ever have -; My Mother **Prof. Dr. Nadia Eshra**, My Father **Eng. Nasser Ellaithy**, My Lovely sisters **Issraa** and **Aalaa**, my soul mate and husband **Ahmad Abd Elazeez Eshra**, and my Grand Father **Ellaithy Hamed**. Thank you for being there every time I needed your support which actually without it, I never could finish this work or any other good step in my life.

I also dedicate this work to the precious souls that have departed our world, but still alive in our souls and mind and still supporting us from their world; Our Family's God Father (My Great Lovely Uncle) **Abd Elazeez Eshra**, My Beautiful Grandmothers **Amenah** and **Saadia**. "God please them all."

## **Acknowledgments**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisors Prof. Dr. Adel Akl, Prof. Dr. Mohamed Naeem and Prof. Dr. Ahmad Hashad, Prof. Dr. Medhat Aziz for their guidance, support, encouragement, valuable discussions, and review during the course of this work, and their great efforts to accomplish the thesis objectives.

I also wish to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Ehab Khalil, Prof. Dr. Kamal Ghamry, Dr. Dina Emara, Eng. Baher Shokry, Eng. Ahmad Ashraf, Eng. Amr Abdulbaset and Ansys student support team spatially Peteroznewman and Sandeep for their advice and their support

Finally, Thanks to my dear family who are always support me to success. I would not have achieved this work without their help and participation.

# Table of Contents

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>DEDICATION.....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGUERS.....</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>NOMENCLATURE.....</b>	<b>XIV</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>XVII</b>
<b>1. CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Statement of the problem .....	3
1.3. Objectives of the study .....	3
1.4. Scope of work .....	4
1.5. Case study .....	5
1.6. Thesis outline .....	6
<b>2. CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1. Introduction .....	7
2.2. Dynamic testing of hydraulic structures .....	7
2.3. Hydraulic structures modeling .....	10
2.3.1. Masonry structures modeling .....	9
2.3.2. Soil modeling and soil structure interaction.....	11
2.4. International guidelines for seismic resistance of hydraulic structures .....	13
2.5. Failure of dams and hydraulic structures due to earthquakes .....	17
<b>3. CHAPTER 3: Dynamic tests of El-Ayat Regulators .....</b>	<b>18</b>
3.1. Introduction .....	18
3.2. Physical description of the regulator .....	18
3.3. Tests Instrumentation and layout .....	23
3.3.1. Response sensors .....	25
3.3.2. Data Acquisition system .....	26
3.3.2.1. Signal Conditioners .....	26
3.3.2.2. Data Acquisition Card .....	27
3.4. Tests setup and procedure .....	28
3.5. Data collecting and storage .....	29



3.5.1. Acquisition Date Software PCD-30A .....	29
3.5.2. Data Analysis software (ME Scope and Seismo-Signal programmes).....	30
3.5.3. Sampling rates and Duration .....	31
3.5.3.1. Sampling Rate .....	31
3.5.3.1. Signal Filtration .....	32
3.6. Dynamic tests Results (Dynamic characteristics determination) .....	32
<b>4. CHAPTER 4: Finite Element Analysis of the Regulator .....</b>	<b>38</b>
4.1. Introduction .....	38
4.2. Model Description .....	38
4.2.1. Geometry .....	41
4.2.2. Meshing .....	45
4.2.3. Finite elements adopted to simulate the model's elements .....	46
4.2.4. Structural elements and surrounding soil material modeling .....	49
4.2.5. Adopted elements to simulate soil structure Interaction .....	50
4.2.6. Adopted elements to simulate boundary conditions and assigned loads .....	50
4.3. Analysis Applied to the model .....	51
4.3.1. Modal Analysis .....	51
4.3.2. Transient Analysis .....	52
<b>5. Chapter 5: FE Model Validation and Tuning .....</b>	<b>53</b>
5.1 Model Validation Using Mode Shapes Comparison .....	53
5.1.1 Model I .....	53
5.1.2 Model II .....	56
5.1.3 Model II .....	59
5.1.4 Model IV .....	62
5.1.5 Model V .....	65
5.1.6 Model VI .....	68
5.1.7 Model VII .....	71
5.1.8 Model VIII .....	74
5.1.7 Model IX .....	77
<b>6. CHAPTER 6: Determination of MDE for El-Ayat Regulator .....</b>	<b>80</b>
6.1. Introduction .....	80
6.2. Definition of the used earthquake record .....	80
6.3. Methodology .....	83

6.4. Scaling of the record.....	83
6.5 Time – History analysis .....	85
6.5.1. 0.05 g model .....	85
6.5.2. 0.10 g model .....	89
6.5.3. 0.15 g model .....	93
6.5.4. 0.15g Edgcumbe earthquake model .....	101
<b>7. CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>105</b>
7.1. General .....	105
7.2. Summary .....	105
7.3. Conclusion .....	105
7.4. Recommendation for further work .....	106
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Appendix I .....</b>	<b>110</b>

## List of Tables

Table 2.1	: Comparison between different international guide-lines and recommendations on seismic and dynamic analysis of dams	14
Table 3.1	: General Dimensions of El-Ayat Intermediate Regulator ...	19
Table 3.2	: Construction Materials of the Regulator's Elements .....	19
Table 3.3	: The current condition of the structural elements of the regulator .....	21
Table 3.4	: Accelerometer specifications .....	25
Table 3.5	: Signal conditioner specifications .....	26
Table 3.6	: PCD-320A specification .....	27
Table 3.7	: The obtained mode shape from the field test .....	37
Table 4.1	: General Dimensions of El-Ayat Intermediate Regulator ....	43
Table 4.2	: Summary of Physical and Mechanical Properties of Structural Elements' Materials .....	49
Table 4.3	: Ultimate friction factors and adhesion for dissimilar materials .....	50
Table 5.1	: Comparison between the obtained mode shape from the field test and FEM (Model I) .....	53
Table 5.2	: Comparison between the obtained mode shape from the field test and FEM (Model II) .....	56
Table 5.3	: Comparison between the obtained mode shape from the field test and FEM (Model III) .....	59
Table 5.4	: Comparison between the obtained mode shape from the field test and FEM (Model IV)	62
Table 5.5	: Comparison between the results of the four FE models .....	65
Table 5.6	: Comparison between the obtained mode shape from the field test and model V .....	65
Table 5.7	: : Comparison between the obtained mode shape from the field test and model VI .....	68

Table 5.8	: : Comparison between the obtained mode shape from the field test and model VII .....	71
Table 5.9	: : Comparison between the obtained mode shape from the field test and model VIII .....	74
Table 5.10	: Comparison between the obtained mode shape from the field test and model IX .....	77
Table 6.1	: Properties of Aqaba earthquake record .....	82
Table 6.2	: Normal stresses in the structural elements of 0.05 g model	88
Table 6.3	: Comparison between the strength of the structural elements materials' and the stresses due to the excitation (0.05 g model) .....	88
Table 6.4	: Normal stresses in the structural elements of 0.1g model	92
Table 6.5	: Comparison between the strength of the structural elements materials and the stresses due to the excitation (0.1 g model) .....	92
Table 6.6	: Normal stresses in the structural elements of 0.15 g model	96
Table 6.7	: Comparison between the strength of the structural elements materials and the stresses due to the excitation (0.15 g model) .....	96
Table 6.8	: Normal stresses in the structural elements of 0.15g model, Edgecumbe earthquake .....	104
Table I.1	: Ultimate friction factors and adhesion for dissimilar materials .....	111
Table I.2	: The maximum and minimum stresses in X- direction for the models with free end face soil .....	112
Table I.3	: The Maximum and minimum stresses in X- Direction for the models with kH 50% KV elastic support end face soil ..	114
Table I.4	: The maximum and minimum stresses in X- Direction for the models with kH 30% KV elastic Support end face soil ..	116
Table I.5	: Difference between using Mohr – Coulomb soil model and linear orthotropic soil model on normal stresses .....	119

## List of Figure

Figure 1.1	: El-Ayat Regulator location on Giza Canal at 63.2 km .....	3
Figure 2.1	: DySSA1.1 Interface [15] .....	8
Figure 2.2	: Finite Element Model of Dam – Foundation System [16] ....	9
Figure 2.3	: Dynamic on-site test of the S. Marcello Pistoiese Bridge, mode 1 [19] .....	10
Figure 2.4	: 3-d finite element model of the bridge [20] .....	11
Figure 3.1	: General Sketch for Elayat Intermediate Regulator .....	20
Figure 3.2	: General view of the upstream side of the regulator .....	21
Figure 3.3	: The bridge slab from a vent .....	22
Figure 3.4	: Cracks and erosions of pier no. (1) .....	22
Figure 3.5	: Cracks of Pier No. (2) .....	22
Figure 3.6	: Cracks and Erosion of Pier No. (3) .....	22
Figure 3.7	: Repair of Pier No. (4) .....	23
Figure 3.8	: Cracks and Erosion of the right abutment in the upstream side .....	23
Figure 3.9	: Accelerometers Distribution on the first bay of the regulator	24
Figure 3.10	: Data Acquisition System Configuration .....	24
Figure 3.11	: Piezoelectric Accelerometer .....	25
Figure 3.12	: Signal Conditioner Unit .....	26
Figure 3.13	: Connected Data Acquisition Cards .....	27
Figure 3.14	: The temporary bump installation .....	28
Figure 3.15	: The truck passing over the temporary bump .....	29
Figure 3.16	: Sensor Interface Hardware and Software .....	30
Figure 3.17	: Acceleration - time domain of cumulative forced vibration of the barrage due to two trucks excitation- vertical channels	33

Figure 3.18	: Acceleration - time domain of cumulative forced vibration of the barrage due to two trucks excitation- horizontal channels .....	34
Figure 3.19	: FFT amplitudes in frequency domain of cumulative forced vibration of the barrage due to two trucks excitation- vertical channels .....	35
Figure 3.20	: FFT amplitudes in frequency domain of cumulative forced vibration of the barrage due to two trucks excitation- horizontal channels .....	36
Figure 3.21	: The mode shape obtained from ME scope .....	37
Figure 4.1	: Flow chart of the steps to perform the modal analysis using ANSYS .....	39
Figure 4.2	: Flow chart of the steps to perform the transient analysis using ANSYS .....	40
Figure 4.3	: General view of El-Ayat Intermediate Regulator .....	41
Figure 4.4	: General layout for El-Ayat Intermediate Regulator .....	42
Figure 4.5	: The solid Elements model of the structural element of the regulator before adding the surrounding soil .....	44
Figure 4.6	: The solid Elements model of the regulator with the surrounding soil .....	44
Figure 4.7	: Finite element model of the regulator's structural elements ..	45
Figure 4.8	: Finite element model of the regulator with the surrounding soil .....	46
Figure 4.9	: SOLID185, Homogeneous Structural Solid Geometry [35] ..	47
Figure 4.10	: SOLID186 Homogeneous Structural Solid Geometry [35] ...	48
Figure 4.11	: SOLID187 Geometry [35] .....	48
Figure 4.12	: SURF154 Geometry [35] .....	51
Figure 5.1	: The first mode shape of the barrage in field test and model I	54
Figure 5.2	: The second mode shape of the barrage in field test and model I .....	55
Figure 5.3	: The first mode shape of the barrage in field test and model II	57

Figure 5.4	: The second mode shape of the barrage in field test and model II .....	58
Figure 5.5	: The first mode shape of the barrage in field test and model III .....	60
Figure 5.6	: The second mode shape of the barrage in field test and model III .....	61
Figure 5.7	: The first mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model IV .....	63
Figure 5.8	: The second mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model IV .....	64
Figure 5.9	: The first mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model V	66
Figure 5.10	: The second mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model V .....	67
Figure 5.11	: The first mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model VI .....	69
Figure 5.12	: The second mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model VI .....	70
Figure 5.13	: The first mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model VII .....	72
Figure 5.14	: The second mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model VII .....	73
Figure 5.15	: The first mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model VIII .....	75
Figure 5.16	: The second mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model VIII .....	76
Figure 5.17	: The first mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model IX .....	78
Figure 5.18	: The second mode shape of the barrage in field test vs. model IX .....	79
Figure 6.1	: Epicenter location of Aqaba earthquake [37] .....	81
Figure 6.2	: Distance between Aqaba earthquake epicenter and El-Ayat regulator .....	81