



**A Comparative Study between Ultrasound Guided
Trans-muscular Quadratus Lumborum Block Versus
Ultrasound Guided Transversus Abdominis Plane
Block as postoperative analgesia in
Laparoscopic Bariatric Surgery**

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master degree
in Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management

By

Peter Nashaat Ramzy Youwakiem

M.B.B.Ch, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Moustafa Kamel Reyad

Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Abd El Aziz Abdallah Abd El Aziz Abo Zeid

Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

DR Mariam Kamal Habib Basta

Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

2019



Acknowledgments

First of all, all gratitude is due to **God** almighty for blessing this work, until it has reached its end, as a part of his generous help, throughout my life. Really, I can hardly find the words to express my gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Moustafa Kamel Reyad**; Professor of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, continuous help, encouragement throughout this work and tremendous effort he has done in the meticulous revision of the whole work. It is a great honor to work under his guidance and supervision.

I would also like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. Abd El Aziz Abdallah Abd El Aziz Abo Zeid**; Lecturer of Anesthesiology; Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his continuous directions and support throughout the whole work.

I am thankful to **Dr. Mariam Kamal Habib Basta**; Lecturer of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management for her earnest efforts and sincere support that extended throughout this work.

I dedicate this work to my family and friends; because without their ongoing, sincere support and encouragement, the whole work would not have ever been completed.

 *Peter Nashaat*

List of Contents

<i>Subject</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
List of Abbreviations.....	i
List of Tables.....	ii
List of Figures	vii
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	3
Review of Literature	
Pathophysiology of obesity	4
Co-morbidities associated with obesity	7
Non-surgical and surgical management of obesity....	13
Overview of Ultrasound-Guided Quadratus Lumborum Block	24
Overview of Transversus Abdominis plane block.....	32
Pharmacology of Local Anesthetics	39
Patients and methods	50
Results.....	57
Discussion	74
Conclusion.....	80
Summary	81
References	83
Arabic Summary	—

List of Abbreviations

<i>Abbr.</i>	<i>Full-term</i>
ASA	: American society of anesthesiologists
BMI	: Body mass index
CNS	: Central nervous system
EtCO₂	: End tidal CO ₂
GA	: General Anesthesia
HR	: Heart rate
HRQOL	: Health related quality of life
IV	: Intravenous
LBW	: Lean body weight
LSG	: Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy
LV	: Left ventricle
MAP	: Mean arterial blood pressure
OHS	: Obstructive hypo ventilation syndrome
OSA	: Obstructive sleep apnea
PABA	: Para-aminobenzoic acid
PACU	: Post anesthesia care unit
PM	: Psoas major
QLB	: Quadratus lumborum block
QOL	: Quality of life

List of Abbreviations

RYGB	: Roux-en-Y gastric bypass
SpO2	: Oxygen saturation
TAP	: Transversus abdominis plane
TQL	: Trans-muscular quadratus lumborum
US	: Ultra-sound
VAS	: Visual Analog Scale

List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (1):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to demographic data.	57
Table (2):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to intraoperative heart rate expressed as mean $\neq$ SD.	61
Table (3):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to intraoperative mean arterial blood pressure expressed as mean $\neq$ SD.	62
Table (4):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to intraoperative SpO ₂ expressed as mean $\neq$ SD.	63
Table (5):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to intraoperative end-tidal CO ₂ expressed as mean $\neq$ SD.	64
Table (6):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to postoperative heart rate expressed as mean $\neq$ SD.	65
Table (7):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to postoperative mean arterial blood pressure expressed as mean $\neq$ SD.	66
Table (8):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to postoperative SpO ₂ expressed as mean $\neq$ SD.	67

Table (9):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to postoperative respiratory rate expressed as mean $\pm$ SD.....	68
Table (10):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to postoperative VAS score expressed as median and Interquartile range.	69
Table (11):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to time of first analgesic requirement (min.).....	70
Table (12):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to frequency of administration of pethidine.....	71
Table (13):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to mean IV pethidine consumption.....	72
Table (14):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to complications expressed as numbers at %.....	73

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Fat tissue	5
Figure (2):	WHO classification of obesity	6
Figure (3):	Probe position for anterior QLB	27
Figure (4):	Ultrasound images of anterior QLB.....	27
Figure (5):	Probe position for subcostal QLB.....	28
Figure (6):	Ultrasound images of subcostal QLB	28
Figure (7):	Lateral QLB.	29
Figure (8):	Ultrasound images of lateral QLB	29
Figure (9):	Ultrasound images of posterior QLB.....	30
Figure (10):	Ultrasound images of intramuscular QLB	31
Figure (11):	Positioning of the patient and ultrasound probe for TAP block (posterior approach)....	35
Figure (12):	Ultrasound-guided posterior TAP block.....	36
Figure (13):	Positioning of the patient and ultrasound probe for TAP block (subcostal approach)...	37
Figure (14):	Ultrasound image of abdominal layers for subcostal approach.	37
Figure (15):	Basic local anesthetic structure	39
Figure (16):	Mechanism of action of local anesthetics	41
Figure (17):	VAS.....	52
Figure (18):	Bar chart between group TQL and group TAP according to age (years).	58

Figure (19):	Bar chart between group TQL and group TAP according to sex.....	58
Figure (20):	Bar chart between group TQL and group TAP according to BMI.....	59
Figure (21):	Bar chart between group TQL and group TAP according to ASA.	59
Figure (22):	Bar chart between group TQL and group TAP according to duration of surgery.	60
Figure (23):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to intraoperative heart rate.....	61
Figure (24):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to intraoperative mean arterial blood pressure.	62
Figure (25):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to intraoperative SpO2.....	63
Figure (26):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to intraoperative end-tidal CO2.....	64
Figure (27):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to postoperative heart rate.....	65
Figure (28):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to postoperative mean arterial blood pressure.	66
Figure (29):	Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to postoperative SpO2.....	67

Figure (30): Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to postoperative respiratory rate.	68
Figure (31): Comparison between group TQL and group TAP according to postoperative VAS score.	69
Figure (32): Bar chart between group TQL and group TAP according to time of first analgesic requirement (min.).	70
Figure (33): Bar chart between group TQL and group TAP according to frequency of administration of pethidine.	71
Figure (34): Bar chart between group TQL and group TAP according to IV pethidine consumption.	72
Figure (35): Bar chart between group TQL and group TAP according to complications.	73

Abstract

Background: A variety of unwanted post-operative consequences, including patient suffering, respiratory distress complications, delirium, myocardial ischemia, prolonged hospital stay and an increased likelihood of chronic pain are due to poorly controlled acute pain after laparoscopic abdominal surgery.

Aim of the Work: is to compare the analgesic efficacy of ultrasound-guided TQL with TAP block during laparoscopic bariatric surgery and to improve the outcome of the patients undergoing laparoscopic bariatric surgery under general anesthesia who suffer from postoperative pain and also in the early postoperative period regarding pain relief, decreasing postoperative opioid requirements, provision of comfort, early mobilization and improved respiratory functions. **Patients and Methods:** The study was conducted on 40 randomly chosen patients in Ain Shams University Hospitals after approval of the medical ethical committee. They were allocated in two groups of 20 patients each: Group TQL (n=20): received combined general anesthesia with TQL block. Group TAP (n=20): received combined general anesthesia with TAP block. **Results:** TQL block has more analgesic efficacy than TAP block. The first call for rescue analgesia (Mepridine), total mepridine consumption and pain scores (visual analog score at rest and movement) indicated the superiority of the analgesic technique TQL block. **Conclusion:** TQL with general anesthesia was more effective technique in providing analgesia after laparoscopic bariatric surgery without associated hemodynamic instability in comparison to TAP block with general anesthesia and also the first call for rescue analgesia (Mepridine), total Mepridine consumption and pain scores (visual analog score at rest and movement) indicated the superiority of the analgesic technique TQL block.

Key words: US-Guided Trans-muscular Quadratus Lumborum Block, US-Guided TAP Block, analgesia, Laparoscopic Bariatric Surgery

Introduction

According to the National Institutes of Health, obesity is a medical condition that has a negative impact on health. It shows an increased risk for mortality in addition to medical, social and psychological co-morbidities as increased risk for coronary artery diseases, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, gall bladder diseases, obstructive sleep apnea and socio-economic and psychological impairment (*Bray et al., 2000*).

Non-surgical management can effectively induce 5%-10% weight loss and improve health in severely obese individuals resulting in cardio-metabolic benefit. Bariatric surgery procedures are indicated for patients with clinically severe obesity; currently, these procedures are the most successful and durable management of obesity. The best choice for any bariatric procedure depends on the individualized goals of the therapy, available local expertise, patient preference and personalized risk satisfaction. In general, laparoscopic bariatric surgical procedures are preferred over open bariatric procedures due to lower early postoperative morbidity and mortality (*Jeffry et al., 2013*).

In obese patients, comfort, early mobilization and improving respiratory functions without causing sedation or respiratory compromise are the goals of postoperative pain

management. Safe analgesic managements among obese patients are difficult according to the pathophysiology of obesity and the associated co-morbidities with the high incidence of obstructive sleep apnea. Advice on general management includes multimodal analgesic therapy, preference for regional techniques, avoidance of sedatives, non-invasive ventilation with supplemental oxygen and early mobilization (**Schug and Raymann, 2011**).

The Transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block was first described by Rafi in 2001, the block has been used since that time as a part of a multimodal regional anesthesia for postoperative pain relief. TAP block showed less consumption of analgesics and less pain in the first two hours up to six hours postoperatively in laparoscopic surgeries (**Xiang et al., 2014**).

The Quadratus lumborum block (QLB) was first described by Blanco in 2007. Due to the spread of local anesthetics through the quadratus lumborum plane beyond the Transversus abdominis plane to the thoracic paravertebral space, that may produce more analgesic effect and prolong the action of the injected local anesthetics. Previous studies showed that both TAP block and QLB may reduce opioids requirements in the postoperative period. However, studies comparing between trans-muscular quadratus lumborum and transversus abdominis plane blocks are of limited number (**Rafa, 2015**).

Aim of the Work

The aim of this work is to compare the analgesic efficacy of ultrasound-guided trans-muscular Quadratus lumborum block with Transversus abdominis plane block during laparoscopic bariatric surgery. The efficacy profile is aiming to improve the outcome of the patients undergoing laparoscopic bariatric surgery under general anesthesia who suffer from postoperative pain and in the early postoperative period regarding pain relief, decreasing postoperative opioid requirements, provision of comfort and improved respiratory functions.

Pathophysiology of obesity

Obesity is defined as deviation of 15% to 25% above average body weight due to excess deposition of adipose tissues. Obesity affects about 25% to 40% of population leading to serious comorbidities (*Angel et al., 2000*).

Adipose tissue is one of the largest organs of the human body. About 10% to 15% of the body weight of a normal man and about 20% to 25% of a normal woman. Although most of the adipose fat is subcutaneous, considerable amounts are also found in mesentery, omentum and retroperitoneal area. Fat tissue (**Figure 1**) is a specialized form of connective tissue which consists of fat cells embedded in a cartilaginous framework (stroma) that provides support to the cells, as well as blood capillaries and nerve fibers that permeate the tissue. Primitive primordial cells are the source of fat cells that are associated with the capillary network. A variety of nutritional and hormonal factors are responsible for differentiation of these cells into fat-storing adipocytes. Fat cells are the largest cells in the body and they are capable of storing and releasing fat according to the nutritional requirement of the body. Triglyceride forms more than 90% of fat cell mass that represents an efficient and compact way of storing calories (*Angel et al., 2000*).