



# **Red Cell Distribution Width versus Procalcitonin as a Marker for Severe Sepsis**

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree  
in Intensive Care Medicine*

By

**Ahmed Atef Nagy El Desoki**

*MB.B.Ch Misr University for Science and Technology*

Under Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Sherif Wadie Nashed**

*Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care Medicine and Pain Management  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Mamdouh Esmat**

*Assistant Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care Medicine and Pain Management  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Tarek Mohamed Ahmed Ashour**

*Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care Medicine and Pain Management  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

2019

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالَ

سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا  
إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ  
الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

## Acknowledgments

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.*

*I have the greatest pleasure to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Sherif Wadie Nashed**, Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive care medicine and pain management, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University for his unlimited help, instructive guidance, valuable suggestions, criticism and supervision, as well as his kindness, continuous encouragement, continuous advice, faithful concern and energetic help to ensure that this work would reach an updated level.*

*I wish to express my profound gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Mamdouh Esmat**, Assistant Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive care medicine and pain management, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University for his kind help and assistance, valuable supervision, support, profuse knowledge, precious opinions and contributive comments that served much in the construction of this work.*

*Also, I want to express my great thanks to **Dr. Tarek Mohamed Ahmed Ashour**, Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive care medicine and pain management, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his guidance and help.*

*Last but not least, I dedicate this work to **my family**, whom without their sincere emotional support, pushing me forward this work would not have ever been completed*

**Ahmed Atef Nagy El Desoki**

# List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations.....	5
List of Tables .....	7
List of Figures .....	9
Introduction.....	- 1 -
Aim of the Work .....	11
Review of Literature .....	12
Sepsis and Septic Shock .....	12
Procalcitonin .....	33
Red cell distribution width.....	41
Patients and Methods .....	45
Results .....	51
Discussion.....	70
Summary .....	73
Conclusion .....	76
References .....	77
Arabic Summary	

# List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>AP-1</i> .....	<i>Activator protein 1</i>
<i>APACHE II</i> .....	<i>Acute Physiology, Age, Chronic Health Evaluation II</i>
<i>CBC</i> .....	<i>Complete blood count</i>
<i>CGRP</i> .....	<i>Glucocorticoid, calcitonin gene-related peptide</i>
<i>CT</i> .....	<i>Calcitonin</i>
<i>CVP</i> .....	<i>Central venous pressure</i>
<i>DAMPs</i> .....	<i>Damage-associated molecular patterns</i>
<i>DNA</i> .....	<i>Deoxyribonucleic acid</i>
<i>ELISA</i> .....	<i>Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay</i>
<i>ESI MS</i> .....	<i>Electrospray ionized mass spectroscopy</i>
<i>GCS</i> .....	<i>Glasgow Coma Scale</i>
<i>HIV/AIDS</i> .....	<i>Human Immunodeficiency Virus/ Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome</i>
<i>ICUs</i> .....	<i>Intensive care units</i>
<i>IL-6</i> .....	<i>Interleukin-6</i>
<i>LPS</i> .....	<i>Lipopolysaccharide</i>
<i>MAP</i> .....	<i>Mean arterial pressure</i>
<i>MCV</i> .....	<i>Mean corpuscular volume</i>
<i>MODS</i> .....	<i>Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome</i>
<i>mRNA</i> .....	<i>Messenger Ribonucleic acid</i>
<i>NO</i> .....	<i>Nitric oxide</i>
<i>PAMPs</i> .....	<i>Pathogen-associated molecular patterns</i>

## List of Abbreviations *cont...*

Abb.	Full term
<i>PCR</i> .....	<i>Polymerase chain reaction</i>
<i>PCT</i> .....	<i>Procalcitonin</i>
<i>PRRs</i> .....	<i>Pathogen-recognition receptors</i>
<i>qSOFA</i> .....	<i>Quick sequential organ failure assessment score</i>
<i>RDW</i> .....	<i>Red blood cell distribution width</i>
<i>SBP</i> .....	<i>Systolic blood pressure</i>
<i>SIRS</i> .....	<i>Systemic inflammatory response syndrome</i>
<i>SOAP</i> .....	<i>Sepsis Occurrence in Acutely Ill Patients</i>
<i>SOFA</i> .....	<i>Sequential Organ Failure Assessment</i>
<i>SSC</i> .....	<i>Surviving Sepsis Campaign</i>
<i>TNF-<math>\alpha</math></i> .....	<i>Tumor Necrosis Factor</i>
<i>WBC</i> .....	<i>White blood cells</i>

# List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table 1:</b>	Diagnostic criteria of SIRS (sepsis 1).....	13
<b>Table 2:</b>	Diagnostic criteria of sepsis (sepsis 2) .....	14
<b>Table 3:</b>	Quick sequential organ failure assessment score.....	16
<b>Table 4:</b>	Quick sequential organ failure assessment score (qSOFA). .....	17
<b>Table 5:</b>	APACHE II.....	21
<b>Table 6:</b>	Risk factors for developing an infection.....	23
<b>Table 7:</b>	Surviving sepsis campaign 1-hour bundle.....	30
<b>Table 8:</b>	Relation between RDW anf MCV in diagnosis of variant types of anemia .....	43
<b>Table 9:</b>	Demographic characteristics and sources of infection among the studied cases .....	51
<b>Table 10:</b>	Fate of the studied cases .....	52
<b>Table 11:</b>	Laboratory and clinical findings among the studied cases .....	54
<b>Table 12:</b>	Comparison according to fate regarding demographic characteristics.....	55
<b>Table 13:</b>	Comparison according to fate regarding Procalcitonin (ng/mL) .....	56
<b>Table 14:</b>	Comparison according to fate regarding RDW (%).....	57
<b>Table 15:</b>	Comparison according to fate regarding SOFA mortality (%) .....	58
<b>Table 16:</b>	Comparison according to fate regarding APACHE mortality (%).....	59
<b>Table 17:</b>	Correlations of discharge day among survived cases .....	60

## List of Tables *cont...*

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table 18:</b>	Correlations of mortality day among died cases .....	61
<b>Table 19:</b>	Diagnostic performance of different variables at day-1 in predicting death .....	64
<b>Table 20:</b>	Diagnostic characteristics of suggested cutoff points at day-1 in predicting death.....	65
<b>Table 21:</b>	Diagnostic performance of different variables at day-5 in predicting death following day-5.....	66
<b>Table 22:</b>	Diagnostic characteristics of suggested cutoff points at day-5 in predicting death.....	67
<b>Table 23:</b>	Diagnostic performance of different variables at day-10 in predicting death following day-10 .....	68
<b>Table 24:</b>	Diagnostic characteristics of suggested cutoff points at day-10 in predicting death.....	69



# List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure 1:</b>	Pathophysiology of sepsis .....	26
<b>Figure 2:</b>	Fate of procalcitonin .....	36
<b>Figure 3:</b>	Procalcitonin algorithm in sepsis .....	39
<b>Figure 4:</b>	Fate of the studied cases. ....	53
<b>Figure 5:</b>	Kaplan meire curve for mortality rate among the studied cases.....	53
<b>Figure 6:</b>	Comparison according to fate regarding source of infection. ....	55
<b>Figure 7:</b>	Comparison according to fate regarding Procalcitonin. ....	56
<b>Figure 8:</b>	Comparison according to fate regarding RDW. ....	57
<b>Figure 9:</b>	Comparison according to fate regarding SOFA mortality.....	58
<b>Figure 10:</b>	Comparison according to fate regarding APACHE mortality.....	59
<b>Figure 11:</b>	Correlation between mortality day and Procalcitonin at day-1.....	62
<b>Figure 12:</b>	Correlation between mortality day and RDW at day-1.....	62
<b>Figure 13:</b>	Correlation between mortality day and SOFA mortality at day-1 .....	63
<b>Figure 14:</b>	Correlation between mortality day and APACHE mortality at day-1. ....	63
<b>Figure 15:</b>	ROC curve for different variables at day-1 in predicting death.....	64
<b>Figure 16:</b>	ROC curve for different variables at day-5 in predicting death.....	66
<b>Figure 17:</b>	ROC curve for different variables at day-10 in predicting death.....	68

## INTRODUCTION

Severe sepsis and septic shock are major healthcare problems, affecting millions of individuals around worldwide each year, killing one in four (and often more), and increasing in incidence (*Annane et al., 2005*).

It is very important that clinicians have the tools to identify and diagnose sepsis promptly because early diagnosis and treatment may lead to improvement in both mortality and morbidity. Gold standards for the diagnosis of infection do not exist, but procalcitonin is known to be among the most promising sepsis markers in critically ill patients, can complement clinical signs and routine laboratory variables that are suggestive of sepsis (*Tang et al., 2007*).

The use of procalcitonin in developing countries such as Egypt, however, remains very expensive and hardly accessible in all ICUs. The red blood cell distribution width (RDW) represents an index of the heterogeneity of the erythrocytes (anisocytosis), which is calculated by dividing the standard deviation of erythrocyte volume by the mean corpuscular volume and multiplying by 100 to express the result as a percentage (*Morris et al., 2001*).

## **AIM OF THE WORK**

**T**he aim of the study was evaluation the red cell distribution width as a prognostic marker of sepsis and as a predictor of mortality compared with procalcitonin.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### Sepsis and Septic Shock

#### Incidence:

The global epidemiological onus of sepsis is difficult to ascertain. It is estimated to affect more than 30 million people worldwide every year, potentially leading to 6 million deaths (*Fleischmann et al., 2016*).

The true incidence of sepsis in any given country is unknown. The reported incidence is dependent on the specific definition used, the infecting organism, the reporting mechanism and the requirement for either organ support or intensive care. These factors result in marked differences between estimates and discrete geographical locations. Most data describing the incidence of sepsis are from high-income countries, where 2.8 million deaths per year are attributable to sepsis (*Adhikari et al., 2010*).

Sepsis is one of the most prevalent causes of mortality in intensive care units (ICUs), and its incidence increased by more than double over the last 10 years (*Kumar et al., 2011*).

**Definitions:****Older definitions**

A 1991 consensus conference developed initial definitions that focused on the then-prevailing view that:

**Sepsis 1** resulted from a host's systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) to infection (**table 1**). Sepsis complicated by organ dysfunction was termed severe sepsis, which could progress to septic shock, defined as “sepsis-induced hypotension persisting despite adequate fluid resuscitation.” (*Bone et al., 1992*).

**Table 1:** Diagnostic criteria of SIRS (sepsis 1) (*Bone et al., 1992*)

<b>SIRS (systemic inflammatory response syndrome) two or more of:</b>
• Temperature >38°C or <36°C
• Heart rate >90/min
• Respiratory rate >20/min or PaCO <sub>2</sub> <32 mm Hg (4.3 kPa)
• White blood cell count >12000/mm <sup>3</sup> or <4000/mm <sup>3</sup> or >10% immature bands

**Sepsis 2:** A second international sepsis definitions conference was convened in 2001, and the results were published in 2003. The 2001 consensus retained the definitions of sepsis as SIRS due to infection (presumed or confirmed) and severe sepsis as sepsis associated with acute organ dysfunction (**table 2**)

**Table 2:** Diagnostic criteria of sepsis (sepsis 2) (*Gül et al., 2017*)

<b>Diagnostic criteria for sepsis</b>
<b>Infection</b>
Documented or suspected and some of the following:
<b>General parameters</b>
Fever (core temperature $>38.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
Hypothermia (core temperature $<36^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
Heart rate $> 90$ bpm or $> 2$ SD above the normal value for age
Tachypnea: $>30$ bpm
Altered mental status
Significant edema or positive fluid balance ( $>20$ mL $\text{kg}^{-1}$ over 24 h)
Hyperglycemia (plasma glucose $>110$ mg $\text{dL}^{-1}$ or $7.7$ mM $\text{L}^{-1}$ ) in the absence of diabetes
<b>Inflammatory parameters</b>
Leukocytosis (white blood cell count $>12,000/\mu\text{L}$ )
Leukopenia (white blood cell count $<4,000/\mu\text{L}$ )
Normal white blood cell count with $>10\%$ immature forms Plasma C reactive protein $>2$ SD above the normal value Plasma procalcitonin $>2$ SD above the normal value
<b>Hemodynamic parameters</b>
Arterial hypotension (systolic blood pressure $<90$ mmHg, mean arterial pressure $<70$ , or a systolic blood pressure decrease $>40$ mmHg in adults or $<2$ SD below normal for age)
Mixed venous oxygen saturation $>70\%$ Cardiac index $>3.5$ l $\text{min}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2}$
<b>Organ dysfunction parameters</b>
Arterial hypoxemia ( $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 <300$ )
Acute oliguria (urine output $<0.5$ ml $\text{kg}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ or $45$ mM $\text{L}^{-1}$ for at least 2 h)
Creatinine increase $\geq 0.5$ mg $\text{dL}^{-1}$
Coagulation abnormalities (international normalized ratio $>1.5$ or activated partial thromboplastin time $>60$ s)
Ileus (absent bowel sounds)
Thrombocytopenia (platelet count $<100,000/\mu\text{L}$ )
Hyperbilirubinemia (plasma total bilirubin $>4$ mg $\text{dL}^{-1}$ or $70$ mmol $\text{L}^{-1}$ )
<b>Tissue perfusion parameters</b>
Hyperlactatemia ( $>3$ mmol $\text{L}^{-1}$ )
Decreased capillary refill or mottling

## Newer definitions

**Sepsis 3:** According to the third international consensus of definition of sepsis and septic shock (2016).

**Sepsis** is a life threatening organ dysfunction due to a dysregulated host response to infection

Sepsis clinical criteria: organ dysfunction is defined as an increase of 2 points or more in the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) SCORE (**Table 3**).

\* For patients with infections, an increase Of 2 SOFA points gives an overall mortality rate of 10 %

*(Vincent et al., 1998)*

A higher SOFA score is associated with an increased probability of mortality (*Vincent et al., 1998*).

The score grades abnormality by organ system and accounts for clinical interventions. However, laboratory variables, namely, PaO<sub>2</sub>, platelet count, creatinine level, and bilirubin level, are needed for full computation. Furthermore, selection of variables and cutoff values were developed by consensus, and SOFA is not well known outside the critical care community. Other organ failure scoring systems exist, including systems built from statistical models, but none are in common use (*Vincent et al., 2014*).