

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-Call 1600-2

COERCE CORRECTO





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



CORRECT CORRECTOR



جامعة عين شمس التمثية الالكتاءني والمكاوفيلم

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



COEFFEC CARBURATOR





بعض الوثائق

الأصلية تالفة



COLEGO COLEGORIO






بالرسالة صفحات

لم ترد بالأصل



COEFECT CARGINATION



B18816

STUDIES ON LAND EVALUATION AND LAND USE OF SOME SOILS IN NORTH DELTA

BY

MAHMOUD MOHAMED ALY EL-TOUKHY

B.Sc. Agric. (Soils), Cairo Univ., 1974. M.Sc. Agric. (Soils), Cairo Univ., 1987.

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment for the Requirements of the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE (SOILS)

Dept. of Soil Science and Agric. Chem.
Faculty of Agriculture
Zagazig University
(Benha Branch)

To my dear son, Amr

APPROVAL SHEET

TITLE :STUDIES ON LAND EVALUATION AND LAND USE OF SOME SOILS IN NORTH DELTA.

NAME: MAHMOUD MOHAMED ALY EL-TOUKHY

THESIS Submitted in Partial Fulfilment for the Requirements of the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN SOIL SCIENCE

This thesis has been approved by:

Prof. Dr.

Prof. Dr.

Prof. Dr.

Prof. Dr.

R.S. Chastal

M. A. Asis

t. M. Habele

Hassan H. Abbar

Date: / /1995.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his deep appreciation and sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. F.M. Habib and Prof. Dr. H.H. Abbas, Professors of soil science, Faculty of Agriculture at Moshtohor for their supervision, sincere guidance and continuous encouragement during the course of study.

The author likes also to thank Prof. Dr. F.M. Hawela, Prof. of soil science, Soil and Water Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, for his supervision and continuous help.

Thanks are also due to all the staff members of Soil and Water Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center.

CONTENTS

				Page
1.	INTROD	UCTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
2.	REVIEW	OF LIT	ERATURE	3
		<u> </u>		-
3.	MATERI.	ALS AND	METHODS	47
4.	RESULT	S AND D	ISCUSSION	60
	4.1		logical characterization of the studied	
				60
		4.1.1		60
		4.1.2	Fluvio-marine deposits	72
	4.2		Recent Nile alluvial deposits	87
	7.2	4.2.1	hysical properties	96 96
		4.2.2	Calcium carbonate	103
		4.2.3	organic matter	103
		4.2.4	Gypsum content	103
		4.2.5	Bulk density	104
		4.2.6	Total soil porosity	111
		4.2.7	Soil hydraulic conductivity	112
		4.2.8	Soil moisture characteristics	112
	4.3	Soil c	hemical properties	114
		4.3.1	Distribution of soluble salts	114
		4.3.2	Cation exchange capacity (CEC) and	
			exchangeable cations	121
	4.4	Status	of macro nutrients	126
		4.4.1	Nitrogen	126
		4	.4.1.1 Total nitrogen	126
			.4.1.2 Available nitrogen	131
		4.4.2	Phosphorus	132
		4	.4.2.1 Total phosphorus	132
		4 4 3	Potassium	133 134
		4	.4.3.1 Total potassium	134
			.4.3.2 Available potassium	
	4.5	Status		135
		4.5.1	Total iron	135
		4.5.2	Available iron	145
		4.5.3	Total manganese	147
		4.5.4	Available manganese	151
		4.5.5	Total zinc	154
		4.5.6	Available zinc	158
		4.5.7	Total copper	
		4.5.8	Available copper	163
		4.5.9	Total boron	165
		4.5.10	Available boron	169

	Page
4.6.1 Qualitative rating of land	171
capability classification 4.6.1.2 The FAO land suitability	171
classification	178
4.6.2 Quantitative rating of land	178
1963)	182
4.6.2.2 Sys and Verheye's system (1978)	184
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	192
6. REFERENCES	200
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

			Page
Table	(1)	Some climatological data of Damietta, Baltim, Rosetta and Sakha meteorological stations (1978-1987)	5
Table	(2)	The calculated aridity degrees	8
Table	(3)	Structure of land suitability classification	32
Table	(4)	Limitation levels and their rating	38
Table	(5)	Examples of limitations (type and degree) in land capability classification of the USDA	55 ·
Table	(6)	Summary for the structure of land suitability classification (FAO, 1976)	57
Table	(7)	Criteria used for assigning values to factors A, B, C, X, and Y in determining land productivity indices	59
Table	(8)	Particle size distribution, texture class, CaCO ₃ , O.M, and gypsum contents of the soil profiles represnting the studied geomorphic units	97
Table	(9)	Minimum, maximum and average values of particle size distribution, CaCO ₃ , organic matter and gypsum of the studied geomorphic uints	101
Table	(10)	Some soil physical properties of the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	106
Table	(11)	Minimum, maximum and average values of bulk density, total porosity, hydraulic conductivity, soil moisture contents at field capacity and wilting point, and available water % in the three geomorphic units	109
Table	(12)	Correlation coefficients between some soil physical properties and some soil constituents of the studied soil profiles	110
Table	(13)	Chemical analysis of the soil saturation extract of the profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	115

Page			
122	Cation exchange capacity (CEC) and exchangeable cations of the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	(14)	Table
	Total and available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units.	(15)	Table
	Total and available iron, manganese, zinc, copper and boron in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units.	(16)	Table
141	Weighted mean, trend and specific range of iron in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	(17)	Table
143	Correlation coefficients between some soil constituents and total and available micronutrients in the studied geomorphic units .	(18)	Table
148	Weighted mean, trend and specific range of manganese in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	(19)	Table
156	Weighted mean, trend and specific range of zinc in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	(20)	Table
161	Weighted mean, trend and specific range of copper in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	(21)	Table
166	Weighted mean, trend and specific range of boron in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	(22)	Table
173	Land evaluation of the studied area following qualitative systems	(23)	Table
181	Percentage rating of indivedual formula factors, land productivity indices and master productivity rating (MPR) of the studied soils	(24)	Table
182		(25)	Table

Table (26) Intensity of limitations and suitability classes of the studied soils (calculated	
classes of the studied soils (calculated according to Sys & Verheye, 1978) Table (27) Land evaluation of the studied area follow-	Page
	187
	191
	·

.

.