

A Comparative Study between Round Block Technique and Standard Wide Local Excision in Breast Cancer Patients

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
General Surgery*

By

Mohamed Shawky Mohamed

M.B., B.Ch

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Amr Abd El-Raouf Ali Abd El-Naser

Professor of General Surgery

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Dr. Dina Hany Ahmed

Assistant Professor of General Surgery

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

2019

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

*First thanks to **Allah** to whom I relate any success in achieving any work in my life.*

*I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr .Amr Abd El Raouf Ali Abd El Nasser**, professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Dr. Dina Hany Ahmed**, assistant professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made the completion of this work possible.*

Mohamed Shawky Mohamed

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Abbreviations	vi
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	11
Review of Literature	
📖 Anatomy of the Breast	12
📖 Pathology of Breast Cancer.....	29
📖 Breast Cancer Surgery: Standard Principles	48
Patients and Methods	67
Results	87
Discussion	113
Conclusion.....	118
Summary	119
References	121
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Molecular subtypes of breast cancer	39
Table (2):	AJC {TNM} classification of breast cancer	40
Table (3):	Breast cancer staging according to clinical lymph node status	41
Table (4):	Breast cancer staging according to distant metastases.....	44
Table (5):	Stage grouping system for carcinoma of the breast.....	45
Table (6):	Interpretation of NPI.....	47
Table (7):	Advantages and disadvantages of oncoplastic breast surgery as compared to breast conserving surgery.....	54
Table (8):	Indications and contraindications of oncoplastic breast surgery.....	55
Table (9):	Bi-level classification of oncoplastic surgeries	55
Table (10):	Breast cup size	62
Table (11):	Type of OPS – BCS for reconstruction of partial mastectomy defects with respect to the location of the tumor in the breast.....	63
Table (12):	Preoperative parameters of the study	88
Table (13):	Age categories of study population.....	89
Table (14):	BMI categories of the study	92
Table (15):	Number and percentage of the cases for every breast cup size in the study	94
Table (16):	Tumor characteristics of the study.....	96

List of Tables (Cont...)

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (17):	Pathologic characteristics of the tumors in the study	98
Table (18):	Operative parameters of the study	99
Table (19):	The mean post-operative stay for our study	101
Table (20):	Number and percentage of complications in our study	104
Table (21):	BREAST-Q questionnaire	106
Table (22):	Number of satisfied and unsatisfied patients in the 2 groups	107
Table (23):	Patients' satisfaction categories in both groups	109
Table (24):	Cosmetic outcomes sheet for our team and MDT evaluation	110
Table (25):	Mean satisfaction score according to our team and MDT evaluation	111
Table (26):	MDT evaluation categories in both groups	111

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	The mammary milk line	13
Figure (2):	Sagital section of female breast showing the extension of the breast between 2nd and 6th ribs	14
Figure (3):	Arterial blood supply of the breast	19
Figure (4):	Lymphatic supply of the breast	23
Figure (5):	Axillary lymph node groups.....	26
Figure (6):	Schematic drawing of breast and anterior and lateral cutaneous branches of fourth intercostal nerve innervating the nipple and areola	28
Figure (7):	Anatomical sites of breast cancer.....	31
Figure (8):	Morphologic patterns in non-invasive (in situ) carcinoma of breast.....	33
Figure (9):	Nottingham histological grade of breast cancer: criteria for scoring each grading parameter	46
Figure (10):	Level I OPS surgical concept.....	56
Figure (11):	Level I OPS: nipple recentralization	57
Figure (12):	Oncoplastic techniques according to the tumor location.....	64
Figure (13):	Round block technique: preoperative drawing.	73
Figure (14):	Tumor resection in round block technique.....	76
Figure (15):	Breast after tumor resection in round block technique.....	76

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (16):	Skin de-epithelialization in round block technique.....	77
Figure (17):	Radivac placing in round block technique.....	78
Figure (18):	Donut mammoplasty skin closure.....	79
Figure (19):	Donut mammoplasty before and after.	80
Figure (20):	SWLE through periareolar incision: preoperative drawing.	81
Figure (21):	SWLE: skin incision.	81
Figure (22):	SWLE: Tumor dissection.....	82
Figure (23):	SWLE: After tumor resection.....	83
Figure (24):	SWLE: Skin closure.....	83
Figure (25):	Mean age of the study.....	88
Figure (26):	Age categories of study population.	89
Figure (27):	Co-morbidities of study population in group (A).	90
Figure (28):	Co-morbidities of study population in group (B).	91
Figure (29):	Mean BMI of the study.....	92
Figure (30):	BMI categories of the study.....	93
Figure (31):	Number and percentage of the cases for every breast cup size in the study.....	95
Figure (32):	Mean distance from the tumor and NAC.	97
Figure (33):	Mean operation time of the study.	99
Figure (34):	Re-excision rate after frozen section result in both groups.	100

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (35):	Mean post-operative stay for our study.	102
Figure (36):	Post-operative hematoma in donut mammoplasty.	103
Figure (37):	Complications of study population in group (A).	104
Figure (38):	Complications of study population in group (B).	105
Figure (39):	Ratio of satisfied and unsatisfied patients in the 2 groups.	107
Figure (40):	Mean patients' satisfaction score in the 2 groups.	108
Figure (41):	Patients' satisfaction categories in both groups.	109
Figure (42):	Mean MDT evaluation score in the 2 groups.	112
Figure (43):	Ratio of satisfying and unsatisfying result in the 2 groups according to MDT evaluation.	112
Figure (44):	MDT evaluation categories in both groups.	112

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>BCS</i>	<i>Breast conserving surgeries</i>
<i>BIRADS</i>	<i>Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System</i>
<i>BRCA-1</i>	<i>Breast cancer antigen 1</i>
<i>BRCA-2</i>	<i>Breast cancer antigen 2</i>
<i>CIS</i>	<i>Carcinoma in situ</i>
<i>DCIS</i>	<i>Ductal carcinoma in situ</i>
<i>DM</i>	<i>Diabetes Mellitus</i>
<i>EGFR</i>	<i>Epidermal growth factor receptor</i>
<i>ER</i>	<i>Estrogen receptor</i>
<i>HER2</i>	<i>Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2</i>
<i>HTN</i>	<i>Hypertension</i>
<i>IDC</i>	<i>Invasive ductal carcinoma</i>
<i>IHD</i>	<i>Ischemic heart disease</i>
<i>ILC</i>	<i>Invasive lobular carcinoma</i>
<i>INF</i>	<i>Infra-mammary fold</i>
<i>LCIS</i>	<i>Lobular carcinoma in situ</i>
<i>NPI</i>	<i>Nottingham prognostic index</i>
<i>OPS</i>	<i>Oncoplastic surgeries</i>
<i>PGR</i>	<i>Progesterone receptors</i>
<i>RBT</i>	<i>Round block technique</i>
<i>SD</i>	<i>Standard deviation</i>
<i>SWLE</i>	<i>Standard wide local excision</i>
<i>WHO</i>	<i>World Health organization</i>

ABSTRACT

Background: The goal of optimizing the cosmetic and oncologic outcomes of BCS has been addressed in recent years by the emergence of the field of oncoplastic surgery, that originally defined as an assortment of volume replacement techniques performed by plastic surgeons to replace all or part of the resected breast volume with myocutaneous tissue flaps. The definition of oncoplastic surgery has more recently been expanded to include a wide range of volume displacement or volume redistribution procedures performed by breast surgeons and general surgeons to optimize breast shape and breast volume following breast cancer surgery.

Aim of the Work: To assess the round block technique as regard oncological safety, surgical outcomes and patients' satisfaction comparing results with standard wide local excision.

Patients and Methods: This is a prospective randomized study to assess the round block technique as an oncological procedure for management of early breast cancer near to nipple-areola complex as regard oncological safety, surgical outcomes and patients' satisfaction comparing results with standard wide local excision. Twenty breast cancer patients are subdivided into group (A) 10 females which underwent round block technique and group (B) 10 females which underwent standard wide local excision. Patient and tumor criteria including age, co morbidities, tumor size and distance between tumor and nipple-areola complex were considered to be non-significant between 2 groups so the only difference is the surgical technique.

Results: The round block technique and SWLE have the same results regarding operative time, intra-operative blood loss and post operative complications with the advantage to RBT because of its better cosmetic outcomes and lower re-excision rates. So, the round block technique is superior to SWLE in selected cases.

Conclusion: The round block technique has comparable operative parameters to SWLE with no evidence of increased surgical complications. With a lower re-excision rates and better cosmeses were observed in the round block patients as it is scarless operation without nipple and areola shift suggesting that the round block technique is superior to SWLE in selected cases.

Keywords: *Breast Cancer - Standard Wide Local Excision - Round Block Technique*

INTRODUCTION

Breast is an important part of the female body due to its anatomical, physiological and aesthetic role. A proportionately developed breast is an important feminine feature and a sign for fertility and sexuality. It plays a great role in female's self-confidence especially when their role in society has expanded immensely (*Urban et al., 2013*).

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women all over the world representing 18% of all women reported cases of cancer. It represents the leading cause of women mortality as representing 23% of all women cancer deaths (*Akram et al., 2017*).

According to national cancer institute, Breast is the most common site of cancer in women in Egypt as it accounts for about 38.8% of total malignancies among Egyptian females; it is an important cause of mortality among women (*Ibrahim et al., 2014*).

The diagnosis of breast cancer is based on history taking, both primary tumor and regional lymph node clinical examination, imaging investigations and pathological confirmation of the diagnosis. Staging of breast cancer is assessed according to TNM system that depends on the case of primary tumor, regional lymph nodes and distant metastasis (*Senkus et al., 2015*).

Following the diagnosis of breast cancer, the patient finds herself in a new and unfamiliar landscape. This creates different levels of stress that vary from patient to another (*Senkus et al., 2015*).

This stress is not only because of facing mortality but also surgical treatment of breast cancer may significantly and often permanently alter her perception of her physical, emotional, and sexual wholeness (*Holmes et al., 2012*).

Halsted radical mastectomy was established for the first time in 1880s as a surgical management of breast cancer by removing breast enbloc, pectoralis muscles and axillary lymph nodes with good deal of skin. It was a disfiguring surgery with a lot of morbidities and complications (*Plesca et al., 2016*).

In 1972 Madden modified radical mastectomy was done by conserving both pectoral muscles along with radiotherapy achieving similar oncologic results of radical mastectomy but less morbidities. 1970s in coincidence of more wide spread of mammography use that permitted the diagnosis of breast cancer at earlier stages, that allowed Veronesi to remove only the tumor with free margins plus axillary dissection along with radiotherapy achieving same survival rates as more aggressive surgeries (*Plesca et al., 2016*).

However, breast conserving techniques remained as a partial mutilation, where asymmetries and deformities were not considered relevant as oncological outcomes were more important than psychological and aesthetic damage (*Rodriguez et al., 2018*).

The goal of optimizing the cosmetic and oncologic outcomes of breast conserving surgeries has been addressed in recent years by the emergence of the field of oncoplastic surgeries. Originally oncoplastic surgeries are defined as an assortment of volume replacement techniques performed by plastic surgeons to replace partially or totally resected breast (*Lim et al., 2017*).

But now it includes the use of plastic techniques to achieve resection of the tumor with safety margins maintaining good cosmetic outcomes and symmetrizing surgeries for the contralateral breast if needed, the technique used depends on several factors such as tumor location, size, tumor to breast ratio and patients' desires (*Piper et al., 2015*).

Round block technique, also known as Benelli or Doughnut mastopexy has been reported to be a useful oncoplastic technique well suited for women with relatively smaller breast size and minimal ptosis who may not require contralateral breast surgery for symmetrisation. Being a slightly less complicated procedure compared to other oncoplastic techniques, round block may have fewer of the possible complications associated with oncoplastic surgery (*Lai et al., 2016*).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this work was to assess the round block technique as regard oncological safety, surgical outcomes and patients' satisfaction comparing results with standard wide local excision.