



Effect of Citric Acid on Microhardness and Surface Roughness of Different Composite Resin Formulations

Thesis submitted to the Operative Dentistry Department,
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University,
in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Master
Degree in Operative Dentistry

By

Mona Akram Mohamed Goma
B.D.S., Ain Shams University, 2011

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

2019

Dr. Mokhtar Nagy Ibrahim

Professor of Operative Dentistry,
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Omaima Hassan Ghallab

Professor and Head of Operative Dentistry Department
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain shams University

Dr. Ahmed Ahmed Laithy Hassan

Lecturer of Operative Dentistry,
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

First, and foremost thanks are for Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful, all praises to Allah for the strengths and his blessings in completing this thesis.

This thesis was a dream that came true with Allah's grace and merci and the kind support of many individuals to whom I would like to extend my sincere gratitude.

I would like to beautifully remember **Dr. Mokhtar Nagy Ibrahim**, Professor of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, whom the door to his office was always open for me whenever I ran into a trouble spot. He consistently allowed this work to flow and steered me in the right direction whenever he thought I needed it.

I would like to express my deepest thanks, sincere respect and highest appreciation to Prof. **Dr. Omaima Hassan Ghallab**, Head of Operative dentistry department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University, for her highly appreciated guidance and endless support throughout this work. Her valuable experience and honorable supervision will always be remembered with a lot of gratitude. I cannot be more proud for being her student.

I would like also to thank **Dr. Ahmed Ahmed Laithy Hassan**, Lecturer of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of dentistry, Ain-Shams University, for sparing no effort or time in guiding me throughout this work. His enthusiasm and support helped me to pass all over all obstacles.

My deepest thanks and appreciation to all my professors and colleagues in the Operative Dentistry department for always supporting and guiding me.

Dedicated to

My Dad

Who is missing by his body but always present by his soul, the one who raised me to be a hard worker and always encouraged me.

My Mom

Who supported and inspired me in every possible way and endless prayers and to whom I owe too much.

My Sisters

My support system and backbone.

My Nephew

My source of joy and happiness.

My dear Friends

Whom I consider a second family, as they have always had my back whenever I needed help.

Kline team and Sheikh Zayed Dentistry Department

With whom I shared a lot of beautiful memories and hard times had become easier with their presence.

For all the love and support you all gave me, I hope I had made you proud.

List of Contents

	Page
List of Abbreviations	I
List of Tables	II
List of Figures	V
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	4
Aim of The Study	31
Materials and Methods	32
Results	47
Discussion	70
Summary and Conclusions	85
References	88
Arabic Summary	--

List of Abbreviations

AUDMA	Aromatic urethane dimethacrylate.
Bis-EMA	Ethoxylated version of Bis-GMA
Bis-GMA	Bisphenol A-glycidyl methacrylate
HA	Hydroxyapatite
TEGDMA	Tri Ethylene glycol dimethylacrylate
UDMA	Urethane dimethacrylate
RBC	Resin based Composite
VHN	Vicker's Hardness number.
Ra	Surface roughness value.
AFM	Addition fragmentation monomers
μm	Micrometer
ESEM	Environmental Scanning electron microscope

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
1	Composition and Description of materials used in the study	32
2	Composition of the immersion media	34
3	Variables of the study	36
4	Study design and Variables interaction for Surface Microhardness and Surface Roughness Tests	37
5	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values representing the effect of different pH values of citric acid solutions on Surface microhardness of composite resin formulations	48
6	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values of Surface Microhardness representing the effect of immersion time on Nanofilled resin composite groups	51
7	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values of Surface Microhardness representing the effect of time of immersion on Bulkfilled resin composite groups	52
8	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values of Surface Microhardness representing the effect of	55

	different resin composite materials on three days (time of immersion) groups	
9	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values of Surface Microhardness representing the effect of different resin composite materials on seven days (time of immersion) groups	56
10	Results of Three-way ANOVA for the effect of different variables on Surface Microhardness evaluation.	57
11	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values for the effect of different pH values of citric acid solutions on Surface Roughness of different resin composite formulations	59
12	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values for the effect of time of immersion on Surface roughness of Nanofilled resin composite groups	61
13	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values for the effect of time of immersion on Surface roughness of Bulkfill resin composite groups	62
14	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values for the effect of type of resin composite formulation at three days time of immersion groups on the surface roughness values	64

15	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values for the effect of type of resin composite formulation at seven days time of immersion groups on the surface roughness values	65
16	Three-way ANOVA for the effect of different variables on Surface Roughness values	66

List of Figures

Figure no.	Title	Page no.
1	a. Nano filled composite resin: Filtek™ Z350XT b. Filtek™ Bulk Fill: Bulk fill composite resin	39
2	Split Teflon mold	39
3	Resin Composite specimen packed inside the mold.	39
4	500 mg weight	40
5	Automatic electronic incubator	40
6	Individual Labelled Falcon tube containing specimen	40
7	Labelled Falcon tubes containing specimens	40
8	Pen type PH-2011 RoHS ATC digital pH meter	41
9	Digital Display Vickers Micro-hardness Tester	42
10	USB Digital Microscope	44
11	Environmental Scanning electron microscope	45
12	Bar chart representing the effect of different pH values of citric acid solutions on surface Microhardness in terms of VHN of different composite resin formulations	49

Figure no.	Title	Page no.
13	Bar chart representing effect of time of immersion on Surface Microhardness of Resin Composite formulations	52
14	Bar chart representing effect of different Resin Composite materials on Surface Microhardness	56
15	Bar chart representing effect of different pH of citric acid solutions on Surface roughness of resin composite formulations	59
16	Bar chart representing effect of time of immersion on Surface Roughness of Resin composite formulations	62
17	Bar chart representing the effect of different Resin Composite materials on Surface Roughness	65
18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. ESEM microphotograph showing Bulkfill resin Composite Disc (4000 X) b. ESEM microphotograph showing Conventional Nanofilled resin composite disc, immersed in Distilled water (control group). (4000 X) 	67
19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. ESEM micrograph showing Bulkfill resin Composite Disc immersed citric acid solution of (5.5 pH value). (Seven days group). (16000 X) 	68

Figure no.	Title	Page no.
	b. ESEM microphotograph showing Conventional Nanofilled resin composite disc, immersed citric acid solution of (5.5 pH value) (seven days group). (16000 X)	
20	3D analysis of the surface roughness of Bulkfill resin Composite formulation	69
21	3D analysis of the surface roughness of Conventional Nanofilled resin Composite formulation	69

The use of light-cured resin-based composite restorations has recently become popular, including their use on teeth in the posterior region, due to their suitable mechanical behavior and their attractive aesthetic features. The growing interest in nanotechnology and its use in resin composites was based on the desire to utilize the ability of nano-sized particles to alter the structure of the composite. This in turn may improve mechanical, chemical and optical properties and develop a resin composite that can perform optimally in all parts of the mouth ⁽¹⁾. Consequently, **Mitra et al., 2003** ⁽²⁾ introduced novel nanofillers and then utilized various methacrylate resins and curing technologies to develop nanocomposites, that served too much in modern dentistry especially in the posterior region.

The bulk-fill resin based composites, has been introduced in the past few years. They were an attempt to speed up the restoration process by enabling up to 4- or 5-mm thick increments to be cured in one step, thus skipping the time-consuming layering process. Bulk-fill resin based composites were also marketed as restoratives that are well suited for patients with limited compliance. Moreover, the rheology of these materials is thought to have changed, thus allowing a better adaption to the cavity walls and resulting in a self-leveling effect ^(3,4).

One of the most important properties that determine the durability of dental materials in the oral cavity is their resistance to dissolution or disintegration. Acid erosion has a clinical significance because acidic conditions can occur orally either due to the ingestion of acidic foods or the degradation of polysaccharides to acids in stagnant areas of the mouth ⁽⁵⁾. This quality is strongly influenced not only by the intrinsic characteristics of the materials, but also by the environment to

which they are exposed to. It's known that the oral cavity is a complex, aqueous environment where the restorative material is in contact with saliva. In addition to low pH conditions that influence the material's mechanical and physical characteristics ⁽⁶⁾.

The erosive activity of citric, malic, phosphoric, and other acids as ingredients of beverages and foodstuffs has been demonstrated in many in vitro, in situ, and in vivo studies ⁽⁷⁻⁹⁾. Most of the acidic foodstuff contain citric acid as the main ingredient ⁽¹⁰⁾, denoting the importance to select different ranges of pH values of this acid and study their effects on the Microhardness and the surface roughness of dental resin composite restorations.

Regarding the surface hardness, it represents the material's strength to its surface plastic deformation. A material's hardness is the result of interaction of the properties such as strength, ductility, malleability, to cutting and abrasion. A decrease in the microhardness value may indicate a superficial degradation, and therefore a change in its roughness, which collaborates with the accumulation of plaque and consequently the deposition of lactic acid, hence jeopardizing the restoration's longevity ⁽¹¹⁾.

Roughening can be a consequence of the chemical dissolution of restorative materials by exposure to chemicals from acidic drinks and acidic food items. Residual surface roughness of restorations encourages plaque accumulation and consequently causes damage of the periodontium. Also, roughness of the surface of the restoration increases its susceptibility to discoloration ^(11, 12).

Both the surface roughness as well as the hardness of the composite resin are affected by its characteristics, such as the type of