

Evaluation of the usefulness of sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) and acute physiology and chronic health evaluation III (APACHE III) scoring systems in outcomes prediction of critically ill cirrhotic patients

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
In Critical Care Medicine*

By

Ahmed Nasser Abdel Fattah Mahmoud
(M.B., B.ch)

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mervat Mohamed Marzouk

*Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Prof. Dr. Mervat Mohamed Eldmarawy

*Professor of Intensive Care
Theodor Bilharz Research Institute*

Prof. Dr. Sameh Salem Hefny

*Assistant Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Dr. Rania Mahrous Aly

*Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

2019

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببناك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



Acknowledgment

*First and forever, thanks to **ALLAH**, Almighty for giving me the strength and faith to complete my thesis and for everything else.*

*Then I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mervat Mohamed Marzouk**, Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Ain Shams University, for his great support all through the whole work, for valuable guidance, and follow up of the progress of this work. I have been greatly honored by his supervision.*

*I would like also to thank with all appreciation **Prof. Dr. Mervat Mohammed Eldamarawy**, Professor of Critical Care Medicine, Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, for her continuous help in following up the progress of the work, her continuous support and encouragement were really invaluable.*

*Profound and ultimate gratitude are expressed to **Prof. Dr. Sameh Salem Hefny** Assistant Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Ain Shams University, for the efforts and time he has devoted to accomplish this work.*

*I would like also to thank with all appreciation **Dr. Rania Mahrous Ali**, Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Ain Shams University, for his great support and encouragement.*

*I would like to thank my **Parents** and my **Wife**, who sacrificed a lot for me for their continuous support, endless help and encouragement.*

✍ Ahmed Nasser Abdelfattah

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Abbreviations	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	3
Review of Literature	
Liver Cirrhosis	4
Scoring Systems in Cirrhosis	24
Patients and Methods	33
Results	37
Discussion	63
Conclusion.....	70
Recommendations	71
Summary	72
References	75
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Effective measures in preventing liver decompensation in patients with cirrhosis.....	18
Table (2):	APACHEIII scoring system	31
Table (3):	The sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score.....	32
Table (4):	Demographic data in APACHEIII group.....	38
Table (5):	Clinical characteristics of APACHEIII-matched group	39
Table (6):	Laboratory parameters of APACHEIII-matched group	42
Table (7):	Show SOFA score for APACHEIII-matched group	44
Table (8):	ROC Curve interpretation of APACHEIII-matched group	46
Table (9):	Demographic data of SOFA-matched group	47
Table (10):	Clinical characteristics of SOFA-matched group	48
Table (11):	Laboratory parameters of SOFA-matched group	51
Table (12):	Significant of M.V and Vasopressor in outcome prediction.....	53
Table (13):	Show APACHEIII score for SOFA-matched group	54
Table (14):	ROC Curve interpretation of SOFA-matched group	56
Table (15):	Demographic Data of all cases	57
Table (16):	Clinical characteristics of both groups	57
Table (17):	Other clinical characteristics of both groups.....	60
Table (18):	Significant of APACHEIII and SOFA scores in outcome prediction.....	60
Table (19):	ROC Curve interpretation for APACHEIII and SOFA scores	62

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	APACHEIII Diagram.....	30
Figure (2):	Impact of UOP on outcome in APACHEIII-matched group	40
Figure (3):	Impact of GCS (visual-speech-motor) on outcome in APACHEIII-matched group	40
Figure (4):	Impact of total GCS on outcome in APACHEIII-matched group	41
Figure (5):	Impact of Paco2 and S.BUN on outcome in APACHEIII –matched group.....	43
Figure (6):	Impact of s.creatinine,s.albumin and s.bilirubin on outcome in in APACHEIII –matched group	43
Figure (7):	Significant of APACHEIII score in outcome prediction in APACHE-matched group	45
Figure (8):	Significant of SOFA score in outcome prediction in APACHE-matched group.....	45
Figure (9):	ROC curve of APACHEIII-matched group.....	46
Figure (10):	Impact of MBP on outcome in SOFA matched-group.....	49
Figure (11):	Impact of GCS on outcome in SOFA matched-group.....	49
Figure (12):	Impact of UOP on outcome in SOFA-matched group	50
Figure (13):	Impact of s,creatinine and s.bilirubin on outcome in SOFA –matched group	52
Figure (14):	Impact of FIO2 on outcome.....	52
Figure (15):	Impact of M.V and Vasopressor on outcome in SOFA- matched group	53

List of Figures (cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (16):	Significant of APACHEIII score in outcome prediction in SOFA-matched group.....	55
Figure (17):	Significant of SOFA score in outcome prediction in SOFA –matched group.....	55
Figure (18):	ROC curve of SOFA- matched group.....	56
Figure (19):	Impact of MBP and GCS of all cases on outcome	58
Figure (20):	Impact of UOP of all cases on outcome	59
Figure (21):	Significant of APACHE III and SOFA scores in outcome prediction	61
Figure (22):	ROC curve of all cases.....	62

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>APACHE</i>	<i>Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation</i>
<i>AUC</i>	<i>Area under curve</i>
<i>ECM</i>	<i>Extracellular Matrix</i>
<i>FiO2</i>	<i>Fraction of inspired oxygen</i>
<i>GCS</i>	<i>Glasgow coma scale</i>
<i>HS</i>	<i>Highly significant</i>
<i>ICU</i>	<i>Intensive care unit</i>
<i>MBP</i>	<i>Mean arterial pressure</i>
<i>NPV</i>	<i>Negative predictive value</i>
<i>NS</i>	<i>Non significant</i>
<i>PaO2</i>	<i>Arterial partial pressure of oxygen</i>
<i>PBC</i>	<i>Primary biliary cirrhosis</i>
<i>PPV</i>	<i>Positive predictive value</i>
<i>ROC curve</i>	<i>Receiver operating characteristic curve</i>
<i>RR</i>	<i>Respiratory rate</i>
<i>S</i>	<i>Significant</i>
<i>SD</i>	<i>Standard deviation</i>
<i>SOFA</i>	<i>Sequential organ failure assessment</i>
<i>UOP</i>	<i>Urine output</i>

INTRODUCTION

*A*ccurate prognostic predictors are crucial for patients admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU). Prognostic scoring systems are useful for clinical management such as predicting a survival rate, making decisions, and facilitating explanation of disease severity by clinical physicians (*Fu et al., 2014*).

Several predictive scoring systems have been developed and validated in general intensive care unit populations, to evaluate the severity of illness and prognosis.

Although some prognostic models have also been validated in cirrhotics admitted to ICU because there has been renewed interest in critically ill cirrhotics due to increasing use of sophisticated (but more expensive) technology and medical care: e.g. trans jugular intrahepatic Porto systemic shunt placement in uncontrolled gastrointestinal bleeding(GIB) and bio artificial livers in liver failure. In addition, liver transplantation can offer long-term survival. These new therapeutic possibilities require reliable prognostic factors to construct useful therapeutic algorithms for critically ill cirrhotics. Conversely, it is useful to have some basis to assess when ICU therapy may be futile (*Cholongitas et al., 2006*).

The APACHE III score, one of the widely used scoring systems, is known for its accuracy in predicting mortality.

However, the APACHE III scoring system was initially developed for various diseases and not exclusively for liver-related diseases. By contrast, the SOFA score, another widely used scoring system, is superior to the APACHE III scoring system for assessing specific organ dysfunction including cirrhosis (*Fu et al., 2014*).

This study is going to discuss the different clinical characteristics and Outcomes of cirrhotic patients assessed by SOFA compared with these assessed by APACHE III scores.

AIM OF THE WORK

To determine the accuracy of APACHE III and SOFA scores in outcome prediction for cirrhotic patients.

LIVER CIRRHOSIS

Definition:

The term cirrhosis was first introduced by *Laennec in 1826*. It is derived from the Greek term *scirrhus* and is used to describe the orange or tawny surface of the liver seen at autopsy (*Wolf, 2004*).

It is defined histologically as a diffuse hepatic process characterized by fibrosis and the conversion of normal liver architecture into structurally abnormal nodules with a disturbed intrahepatic circulation (*Wolf, 2004*).

Cirrhosis is the final stage of several chronic hepatic diseases and it is characterized by the presence of fibrosis and morphologic conversion from the normal hepatic architecture into structurally abnormal nodules (*Daniel et al., 2006*).

Pathogenesis and Pathophysiology:

Hepatic fibrosis is the result of the wound-healing response of the liver to repeated injury (*Friedman, 2003*). After an acute liver injury (e.g. viral hepatitis), parenchymal cells regenerate and replace the necrotic or apoptotic cells. This process is associated with an inflammatory response and a limited deposition of ECM (Extracellular Matrix). If the hepatic injury persists, then eventually the liver regeneration fails, and

hepatocytes are substituted with abundant ECM, including fibrillar collagen. The distribution of this fibrous material depends on the origin of the liver injury. In chronic viral hepatitis and chronic cholestatic disorders, the fibrotic tissue is initially located around portal tracts, while in alcohol-induced liver disease; it locates in pericentral and perisinusoidal areas. As fibrotic liver diseases advance; progression from collagen bands to bridging fibrosis to frank cirrhosis occurs (*Ramon and David, 2005*).

Hepatocytes are targets for most hepatotoxic agents, including hepatitis viruses, alcohol metabolites, and bile acids (*Higuchi and Gores 2003*). Damaged hepatocytes release fibrogenic mediators and induce the recruitment of white blood cells by inflammatory cells. Apoptosis of damaged hepatocytes stimulates the fibrogenic actions of liver myofibroblasts (*Canbay et al., 2004*).

Etiology of Liver cirrhosis:

(1) Presinusoidal:

- Idiopathic portal fibrosis.

(2) Parenchymal fibrosis:

A. Drugs and toxins

- Alcohol.
- Methotrexate.
- Vitamin A.

- Amiodarone.
- α methyl dopa.

B. Infections

- Chronic hepatitis B & C.
- Brucellosis.
- Echinococcosis.
- Congenital or tertiary syphilis.

C. Autoimmune disease

1. Autoimmune hepatitis.

D. Vascular abnormalities

1. Chronic passive congestion.
2. Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia.

E. Metabolic / genetic diseases

1. Wilson disease.
2. Genetic hemochromatosis.
3. α 1 antitrypsin deficiency.
4. Carbohydrate metabolism disorders.
5. Bile acid disorders
6. Lipid metabolism disorders
7. Urea cycle defects
8. Porphyria

9. Amino acid disorders

F. Biliary obstruction

1. Primary & secondary biliary cirrhosis.
2. Cystic fibrosis.
3. Biliary atresia.
4. Congenital biliary cysts.

G. Idiopathic / miscellaneous

1. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis.
2. Indian Childhood cirrhosis.
3. Polycystic liver disease.

(3) Post sinusoidal fibrosis:

Veno-occlusive disease

Budd chiari syndrome (*Friedman, 2007*).

Classification of cirrhosis:

I) Morphological classification:

The morphologic spectrum can be divided on the basis of nodule size into micronodular, macronodular, and mixed patterns.

1- Micronodular cirrhosis:

It is characterized by a preponderance of uniform small nodules and is usually accompanied by narrow fibrous septa.