



Physics Department
Faculty of Science
Physics Department

ANALYTICAL STUDY OF THERMOLUMINESCENCE GLOW CURVES FOR LUMINESCENT DOSIMETRIC MATERIAL

A Thesis Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for
the degree of

Master of Science in physics

By

Mohamed Rabie Abdl Wahab El Kinawy

Physics Department

Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Egypt

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Nabil Ali El-Faramawy

Professor of Nuclear and Radiation Physics
Physics Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University.

Dr. Hassan Fathy El-Nashar

Associate Professor in Theoretical Physics
Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain
Shams University.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*First, I give thanks to **God** for protection and ability to do work, I thank all who in one way or another contributed in the completion of this thesis.*

*I would like to express my sincere gratitude and render my warmest thanks to my advisors and supervisors, **Prof. Dr. Nabil Ali El-Faramawy** and **Dr. Hassan Fathy El-Nashar**, Physics department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams Univeristy who made this work possible after God's wish. Their friendly guidance and advice have been invaluable throughout all stages of the work, I would also wish to express my gratitude to them for extended discussions and valuable suggestions which have contributed greatly to the improvement of the thesis.*

Besides, I would like to thank my parents, my brother and my sisters for their wise counsel and sympathetic ear. You are always there for me.

Finally, there are my friends, who were of great support in deliberating over our problems and findings, as well as providing happy distraction to rest my mind outside of my research.

CONTENTS	
LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
SUMMARY	2
CHAPTER 1: THEORETICAL ASPECTS	
1.1. Luminescence	5
1.1.1 Types of Luminescence	7
Photoluminescence	7
Fluorescence	7
Phosphorescence	9
Cathodoluminescence	10
Radioluminescence	10
Electroluminescence	11
Chemiluminescence	12
Bioluminescence	12
Triboluminescence	12
Sonoluminescence	13
1.2. Thermoluminescence	13
1.3. Basic Concepts of Thermoluminescence	16
1.3.1. Energy bands and localized levels: crystalline materials	17
1.3.2. Non-crystalline materials	19
1.3.3. Traps and recombination centers	20
1.3.4. Recombination processes	22

1.4. Models for Thermoluminescence	23
1.4.1. The OTOR model	24
1.4.1.1. First-Order kinetics model	29
1.4.1.2. Second-Order kinetics model	30
1.4.1.3. General-Order kinetics model	31
1.4.2. Mixed-Order kinetics model	33
1.5. TL Glow Curve Analysis	37
1.5.1. Empirical methods	37
1.5.2. Initial rise (IR) method	38
1.5.3. Various heating rate method	39
1.5.4. Isothermal decay method	40
1.5.5. Methods based on the shape of glow peaks	42
1.5.6. Curve Fitting Method	45
Chapter 2: Review of Literature	
2.1 Glow Curve Analysis Methods and Kinetic Parameters Evaluation	48
2.2 Applications of Computerized Glow Curve Deconvolution (CGCD) in the Field of TL	62
Chapter 3: ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES	
3.1. Computerized Glow Curve Deconvolution	76
3.1.1. First-Order deconvolution equations	78
3.1.2. Second-Order deconvolution equations	79
3.1.3. General-Order deconvolution equations	81
3.1.4. Deconvolution equations based on Lambert	82

function	
3.2. CGCD MATLAB Code	83
3.3. Nelder-Mead Algorithm	85
3.4. Assessing the Quality of Fitting	86
3.5. Testing the Developed MATLAB Code	86
Chapter 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1. Mathematical Considerations in the TL Equations	90
4.1.1 First order kinetics model	92
4.1.2 Second-order kinetics	94
4.1.3 General order kinetics	96
4.2 Formation of a TL Glow-Peak	97
4.3 Testing the Developed Software and the Obtained TL Deconvolution Equations	102
4.3.1 Deconvolution of GLOCANIN reference glow curve	102
4.3.2 Deconvolution of TL glow curves of Al ₂ O ₃ doped with Cr ₂ O ₃	113
4.4 Investigations of the Kinetic Energy Parameters of Irradiated (La) Doped Phosphate Glass	115
4.4.1 Material and methods	115
4.4.2 TL glow curve analysis	117
4.4.2.1 New developed deconvolution software	117
4.4.2.2 Peak Shape (PS) Method	117
4.4.3 Glow curve structure	119
4.4.4 Kinetic parameters	121

4.4.5 Linearity	124
4.4.6 Fading	126
Conclusions	130
References	134
Appendices	149
Arabic Summary	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1.1)	Energy level diagram illustrating the fluorescence emissions. 1) resonance fluorescence, 2) fluorescence with Stokes shift, 3) and 4) fluorescence with anti-Stokes shift.	8
Figure (1.2)	Energy level diagram illustrating the phosphorescence emissions. 1) excitation transition, 2) transition between E and M are due to thermal energy, 3) long lived phosphorescence.	9
Figure (1.3)	Electronic transition in (crystalline) semiconductors and insulators: (a) ionization; (b) and (e) electron and hole trapping; (c) and (f) electron and hole release; (d) and (g) indirect recombination; (h) direct recombination.	20
Figure (1.4)	Energy band model showing the electronic transitions in a TL material according to OTOR model: a) generation of electrons and holes; b) electron and hole trapping; c) electron release due to thermal stimulation; d) electron-hole recombination. Level T is an electron trap, level R is a recombination center, and E_f is the Fermi level.	24
Figure (1.5)	Glow curves of mixed order kinetics. $E = 1$ eV, $s' = 10^{-5}$ cm ³ s ⁻¹ , $h = 10^{16}$ cm ⁻³ , $N = 10^{18}$ cm ⁻³ and $\beta = 1$ Ks ⁻¹ . Glow curves 1–8 are for $n_0/N = 9, 4, 3, 1, 0.25, 0.1, 0.01$ and 0.001 , respectively. These correspond to $\alpha = 0.9, 0.8, 0.75, 0.5, 0.2, 0.091, 0.0099$ and 0.000999 , respectively. The intensities of the glow curves 5–8 are multiplied by the factors shown respectively above each curve.	36
Figure (1.6)	A TL glow curve approximated to two right	43

	angled triangles illustrates the parameters used in TL glow-curve analysis based on glow-peak shape. The half width is represented by ω , the first half of ω is τ and the second half is δ .	
Figure (3.1)	The flow chart of the developed MATLAB code.	84
Figure (4.1)	The contribution of the two terms, f_1 and f_2 , to form a first-order glow-peak resultant normalized peak obtained by f_1 .times f_2 . The value of E used to simulate the peak is $\epsilon = 1.183$ eV.	99
Figure (4.2)	The involvement of the two terms, f_1 and f_4 , to shape a second-order glow-peak The resultant normalized peak obtained by f_1 .times f_4 . The value of E used to simulate the peak is $\epsilon = 1.183$ eV.	100
Figure (4.3)	The role of the two terms, f_1 and f_6 , on producing a general-order ($b = 1.5$) glow-peak, which is a normalized peak once f_1 .is multiplied by f_6 . The value of E used to simulate the peak is $\epsilon = 1.183$ eV.	101
Figure (4.4)	The deconvolution of the RefGlow.001 glow-curve of the GLOCANIN project [<i>Bos et al. (1993) and (1994)</i>] using the developed TL expressions.	103
Figure (4.5)	The deconvolution of the RefGlow.002 glow-curve of the GLOCANIN project [<i>Bos et al. (1993) and (1994)</i>] using the developed TL expressions.	104
Figure (4.6)	The deconvolution of the RefGlow.007 glow-curve of the GLOCANIN project [<i>Bos et al. (1993) and (1994)</i>] using the developed TL expressions.	105
Figure (4.7)	The deconvolution of the RefGlow.009 glow-curve of the GLOCANIN project [<i>Bos et al. (1993) and (1994)</i>] using the developed TL expressions.	106

Figure (4.8)	The deconvolution of a single SOK simulated glow peak using the developed TL deconvolution expressions. The FOM value was 4.57E-07 %.	110
Figure (4.9)	The deconvolution of a single GOK ($b = 1.5$) simulated glow peak using the developed TL deconvolution expressions. The FOM value was 1.04E-05 %.	110
Figure (4.10)	The deconvolution of relatively separated SOK simulated glow peaks using the developed TL expressions. The FOM value was 3.97E-05 %.	111
Figure (4.11)	The deconvolution of separated GOK simulated glow peaks using the developed TL expressions. The FOM value was 7.54E-05 %.	111
Figure (4.12)	The deconvolution of closely overlapped SOK simulated glow peaks using the developed TL expressions. The FOM value was 1.58E-06 %.	112
Figure (4.13)	The deconvolution of overlapped GOK simulated glow peaks using the current developed TL expressions. The FOM value was 0.0153 %.	112
Figure (4.14)	Fitting of TL glow curve of $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ nanoparticles containing molar compositions of Cr_2O_3 of 1.5 % wt using the developed TL expressions. The FOM value is 1.1372 %.	114
Figure (4.15)	Fitting TL glow curve of $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ nanoparticles containing molar compositions of Cr_2O_3 of 5.0 % wt using the developed TL expressions. The FOM value is 1.9521 %.	114
Figure (4.16)	CGCD analysis of the obtained TL glow curves of the glass samples doped with 0.0 (a), 0.2 (b), 0.5 (c), and 0.7 (d) % La (wt) using the developed TL expressions.	116
Figure (4.17)	TL glow curves of glass samples doped with 0.5 % La (wt) irradiated with beta particles with a dose range of 66.6 mg - 33.3 Gy.	125
Figure (4.18)	The normalized maximum intensity (I_M/mass of the sample) of each peak composing the glow curves measured from the glass samples doped	126

	with 0.5 % of La (wt) exposed to beta radiation with an applied dose in the range of 83.25 mGy - 33.3 Gy.	
Figure (4.19)	The change of the measured TL glow curve of $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$: La (0.5%, by weight) with storage times of 0.0, 4, 24, 48 and 672 hours between the end of irradiation and start of TL measurement.	127
Figure (4.20)	The normalized maximum intensity of peaks 2, 3, 4, and 5 of the glow curve measured from $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$: La (0.5%, by weight) with storage times of 0.0, 4, 24, 48 and 672 hours after the end of irradiation.	128

LIST OF TABLES

Table (4.1)	Comparison of the FOM [%] values obtained in the current work with those FOM values obtained in <i>Bos et al. (1993)</i> and <i>(1994)</i> , GlowFit program [<i>Puchalska and Bilski (2006)</i>] and those of <i>Kitis et al. (1977)</i> .	106
Table (4.2)	Obtained activation energies, from our analysis, of the reference glow curves in comparison to those reported in <i>Kitis et al. (1977)</i> , <i>Bos et al. (1993)</i> and <i>(1994)</i> .	107
Table (4.3)	Kinetic parameters obtained using CGCD method of the glow curves obtained from the glass samples doped with 0.0, 0.2, 0.5, and 0.7 % of La (by wt).	122
Table (4.4)	Kinetic parameters of glow curves obtained from glass samples dope with 0.5 % La (wt) obtained using TLAnal [<i>El-Kheshen et al. (2018)</i>], PS method, and current work CGCD.	123
Table (4.5)	Kinetic parameters of glow curves obtained from glass samples dope with 0.5 % La (wt) obtained using TLAnal [<i>El-Kheshen et al. (2018)</i>], PS method, and current work CGCD.	123
Table (4.6)	Constants of fitting the normalized I_M vs. storage time with one-phase exponential decay function of peaks 2, 3, 4, and 5.	129

Summary

Summary

This study is divided into two parts: the first one is to analytically study the analysis of the data obtained from thermoluminescent materials (glow curves), and the second part is to investigate the thermoluminescence (TL) dosimetric properties of phosphate glass samples doped with different concentrations of Lanthanum (La).

There exist many methods to analyze TL glow curves. However, the Computerized Glow Curve Deconvolution (CGCD) method is the most popular used. Despite its success, this method has some theoretical, mathematical, and computational problems. These problems pose a limit and precautions to the usage of CGCD in the field of thermoluminescence dosimetry (TLD). Thus, the first part of this study attempts to discuss and suggest new solutions to the computational problem. Some TL theoretical models and the kinetic parameters evaluation methods are also presented.

Within the framework of the one trap-one recombination (OTOR) level model, the exact equations that describe the intensity of a single TL glow peak of different order kinetics are considered. A reformulation of the expressions of the intensities of TL glow peaks in terms of the peak intensity I_M , peak position T_M , and the activation energy E , for each order of kinetics is achieved. A MATLAB code was developed. This code utilizes the new obtained TL deconvolution equations, to computationally deconvolute the TL glow curves. The code can also use the original equations of the OTOR model with free parameters frequency factor s , E , initial concentration of trapped electrons n_0 , and heating rate β . The code was tested by analyzing the reference glow curves of the GLOCANIN program. The obtained results