



## **Detailed Crustal Structure Study of Sinai Peninsula, Egypt**

A Thesis submitted for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree  
(Ph.D.) of Science in Geophysics

*By*

**Ahmad Mohammad Faried Mansour**

(Assistant Researcher – Egyptian National Seismological Network –  
National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics)

*To*

**Geophysics Department  
Faculty of Science  
Ain Shams University**

*Supervised by*

**Prof. Abd Elnaser Mohammed  
Abd Elhalim Helal**

Professor of Geophysics  
Geophysics Department-Faculty of Science  
-Ain Shams University

**Prof. Ahmed Hosny Ali**

Professor of Seismology  
Seismology Department -National  
Research Institute of Astronomy and  
Geophysics

**Assoc. Prof. Karam Samir Ibrahim  
Farag**

Associate Professor of Geophysics  
Geophysics Department- Faculty of Science  
-Ain Shams University

**Assoc. Prof. Mahmoud Salah  
El-Hadidy**

Associate Professor of Seismology  
Seismology Department -National Research  
Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics

**Cairo – 2019**



## **Approval Sheet**

### **Detailed Crustal Structure Study of Sinai Peninsula, Egypt**

A Thesis submitted for award the degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
(Ph.D.) in Science, Geophysics

*By*

**Ahmad Mohammad Faried Mansour**

B.Sc. in Geophysics -Faculty of Science, Mansoura University- 2008

M.Sc. in Geophysics- Geology Department- Faculty of  
Science – Mansoura University-2013

**To**

**Geophysics Department  
Faculty of Science  
Ain Shams University**

#### **Advisors**

#### **Approved**

**Prof. Abd Elnaser Mohammed Abd Elhalim Helal** (Ain Shams University)

**Prof. Ahmed Hosny Ali** (NRIAG)

**Assoc. Prof. Karam Samir Ibrahim Farag** (Ain Shams University)

**Assoc. Prof. Mahmoud Salah El-Hadidy** (NRIAG)

Head of Geophysics Department

.....

**Prof. Dr. Samy Hamed Abdel-Naby**



## **Supervisors**

### **Prof. Abd Elnaser Mohammed Abd Elhalim Helal**

Professor of Geophysics  
Department of Geophysics - Faculty of Science - Ain Shams  
University

### **Prof. Ahmed Hosny Ali**

Professor of Seismology  
General Seismological Lab- Department of Seismology -  
NRIAG

### **Assoc. Prof. Karam Samir Ibrahim Farag**

Associate Professor of Applied Geophysics,  
Department of Geophysics - Faculty of Science - Ain Shams  
University

### **Assoc. Prof. Mahmoud Salah El-Hadidy**

Associate Professor of Seismology  
General Seismological Lab- Department of Seismology -  
NRIAG



## *Acknowledgements*

First and foremost, I express my honest gratitude to the most-merciful & almighty *Allah*, who granted me believe and patience to carry out this research.

A great debt goes to Prof. Abd El-Nasser Helal (Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University) for his supervision, support and guidance throughout this study. I would like to express my sincere gratitude for my supervisor Prof. Ahmed Hsni (Seismology department, National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics, NRIAG) for choosing the point of research, and his continuous support, also gratefulness extends to ass. Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El-Hadidy (Seismology department, NRIAG) for helping choosing to the point of research. My sincere thanks go to ass. Prof. Dr. Karam Farag (Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University) for his great efforts, reviewing the manuscript and helping me in this work.

Great gratitude to ass. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Farouk for giving the tomography code and the initial velocity model and his helpful comments and advises for inversion calculation. Also, the author would like to thank RNDr. František Gallovič, (Geophysics Department, faculty of mathematics and physics MFF, University of Charles, Prague) for his hosting and helping me to learn the principals of Location enhancement. My deep thanks to Prof. Dr. Oldřich Novotný University of Charles, for his helping, and providing me with the code of Wadati enhancement.

I wish also to thank the missions' sector in the ministry of higher education and scientific research for funding my research accommodation in Czech. Many thanks to all the staff of the seismology Department at National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics. I want to thank all the Department of Geophysics, Faculty of science, Ain Shams University.

I dedicate this dissertation to my parents and my sisters and would like to express my deepest appreciation and thanks to all of them for their continuous support in all my life, their love and devotion kept me going and I am extremely grateful to them for their encouragement and support.

Finally, I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to my wife for her patience, Support and understanding. I thank my child (Mohammad), for everything he did (make me busy when I want to get rest), but after all you are the best thing in my life, (I love You).

Ahmad M. Faried



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>Subject</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>XII</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>XIII</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>XIV</b>

### **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

1.1 General Background.....	1
1.2 Study Area and Importance.....	2
1.3 Scope and Objectives of the Present Work.....	4
1.4 Methodology .....	6

### **CHAPTER 2: GEOLOGY AND PREVIOUS CRUSTAL STUDIES**

2.1 Geomorphology.....	9
2.2 General Background .....	10
2.3 Tectonic Setting.....	11
2.3.1 Introduction .....	11
2.3.2 Northern Red Sea .....	14
2.3.3 Gulf-of-Suez .....	15
2.3.4 Gulf-of-Aqaba–Dead Sea Transform Fault.....	17
2.4 Seismicity.....	18

2.4.1 Northern Red Sea.....	19
2.4.2 Gulf-of-Suez.....	21
2.4.3 Gulf-of-Aqaba.....	23
2.5 Previous Crustal Studies.....	29

### **CHAPTER 3: SINAI PENINSULA SEISMICITY RELOCATION**

3.1 Introduction .....	46
3.2 Seismicity Data .....	47
3.2.1 Egypt's Seismological Stations.....	47
3.2.2 Saudi Seismological Stations.....	49
3.2.3 Levant Seismological Stations.....	49
3.3 Methodology.....	50
3.3.1 Least-Square Method.....	51
3.3.2 Wadati Method .....	53
3.3.3 Re-location by Hypoinverse-2000 .....	57
3.4 Results.....	58
3.5 Conclusion.....	62

### **CHAPTER 4: 3D CRUSTAL TOMOGRAPHIC MODEL**

4.1 Introduction.....	67
4.2 Methodology.....	69
4.3 Seismic Data.....	72
4.4 Tomographic Procedures.....	73
4.4.1 Initial Model .....	74
4.4.2 Inversions Procedures .....	76

4.4.2.1 Applying Tomotools Program .....	76
4.4.2.2 Damping parameter .....	76
4.4.2.3 Checkerboard Test and Grid Pattern .....	78
4.5 Results.....	82
4.6 Discussion and Conclusions.....	93

## **CHAPTER 5: REVISION ON FOCAL MECHANISM USING NEW VELOCITY STRUCTURE**

5.1 Introduction.....	108
5.2 Previous studies .....	109
5.3 Objective and Data outfitting.....	115
5.4 Methodology.....	120
5.5 Results.....	123
5.6 Discussion and Conclusions.....	128

## **CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

6.1 Summary.....	130
6.2 Conclusions.....	136
6.3 Recommendations and Future Studies.....	137
<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	141
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	162
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b> .....	175

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b><u>Figure</u></b>	<b><u>Figure Caption</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
1.1	The study area (red square) and earthquakes epicenters	3
2.1	A satellite image of the study area (red square) .....	9
2.2	Geological map of the study area with the main tectonic features, major folds in Sinai are Maghara (M), Yellleg (Y), Halal (H), Ramon (R), Kurnub (K) and Qatan (Q). (combined and modified after Moustafa, 2010 and Sobh et al., 2019).....	13
2.3	Schematics of the general tectonic setting of Gulf-of-Suez (after Meshref, 1990).....	16
2.4	Location of the accommodation zone segmentations and the rift in the Northern Red Sea. Closed squares represent the location of the cells of magmatic extension as a way for establishment of the spreading axis (after Metwally, 2016).....	20
2.5	Rose diagram showing trends of faults in Gulf-of-Suez (after Metwally,2016).....	22
2.6	Observed seismicity within Gulf-of-Suez between 1997 and 2014.....	22
2.7	The location map of earthquake swarms of 1983, 1990 and 1993(after Abou Karaki et al., 1993).....	25
2.8	Rose diagram showing trends of faults in Gulf-of-Aqaba (after Metwally, 2016).....	25
2.9	Historical and instrumental seismicity of Northeast Egypt (from 2000 BC till 2016) and main tectonic (after Gorshkov et al., 2019).....	26
2.10	Earthquake locations and Egyptian geological survey EGS faults in the study area from 1997 to 2014.....	27

2.11	The present-day stress field distribution in Egypt (after Hussein et al, 2013).....	28
3.1	Seismological stations used in re-location from Egypt, KSA and Levant.....	50
3.2	Quakes located only by the Egyptian National Seismic Network (ENSN), red dot represents dataset of the seismic activity in study area from 1997 to 2014.....	51
3.3	Re-picking all the 1814 earthquakes, trying to increase the number of phases for each event in order to improve reliable locations to all seismic data and to apply Wadati enhancement.....	52
3.4	The resulted average RMS error after using three commoner velocity models.....	53
3.5	Iterative approach for the optimal level of Wadati STD error (Max=2).....	55
3.6	Final Wadati enhancement after contributing regional phases .....	56
3.7	The enhancement in the location through re-picking, Wadati and Hypoinverse re-location stages.....	57
3.8	The final location of the dataset.....	59
3.9	The largest 50 events in dislocation.....	60
3.10	The obtained RMS, Horizontal (RH) and vertical (RV) errors enhancements throughout the re-location steps....	60
3.11	Final horizontal and vertical dislocation histogram for the dataset.....	61
3.12	Latitude and longitude profiling for the dataset.....	61
3.13	The final locations of the dataset and the seismological stations with ray paths involved in every epicentral solution.....	63
3.14	The enhanced seismicity for the Gulf-of-Suez from 1997 to 2014.....	64

3.15	The enhanced seismicity for the Gulf-of-Aqaba from 1997 to 2014.....	65
3.16	The enhanced seismicity for the Northern Red Sea from 1997 to 2014.....	66
4.1	Illustration of the 3D ray tracing algorithm by Zhao, (1991) and Zhao et al. (1992), (after Zhao, 2015).....	72
4.2	Conrad and Moho Depth distributions respectively (after Abdelwahed et al., 2013).....	75
4.3	Applying different damping values on the data inversion and the resulting normalization and residuals .....	77
4.4	Damping values against both model and data variations statistically.....	78
4.5	Map for the chosen spatial configuration of 3D grid. The red points represent the earthquakes locations and triangles represent the station locations.....	79
4.6	Checkerboard resolution result for a depth of 0.0 km.....	79
4.7	Checkerboard resolution result for a depth of 03 km.....	80
4.8	Checkerboard resolution result for a depth of 06 km.....	80
4.9	Checkerboard resolution result for a depth of 10 km.....	80
4.10	Checkerboard resolution result for a depth of 15 km.....	80
4.11	Checkerboard resolution result for a depth of 20km.....	81
4.12	Checkerboard resolution result for a depth of 25 km.....	81
4.13	Checkerboard resolution result for a depth of 30 km.....	81
4.14	Checkerboard resolution result for a depth of 35 km.....	81
4.15	Checkerboard resolution result for a depth of 40 km.....	82
4.16	Velocity perturbation percentage of the compressional and shear waves velocities ( $V_P$ and $V_S$ ) and its' Poisson ratio ( $V_P/V_S$ ), respectively, observed at the depth of 0.0 km.....	83

4.17	Velocity perturbation percentage of the compressional and shear waves velocities ( $V_P$ and $V_S$ ) and its' Poisson ratio ( $V_P/V_S$ ), respectively, observed at the depth of 03 km.....	84
4.18	Velocity perturbation percentage of the compressional and shear waves velocities ( $V_P$ and $V_S$ ) and its' Poisson ratio ( $V_P/V_S$ ), respectively, observed at the depth of 06 km.....	85
4.19	Velocity perturbation percentage of the compressional and shear waves velocities ( $V_P$ and $V_S$ ) and its' Poisson ratio ( $V_P/V_S$ ), respectively, observed at the depth of 10 km.....	86
4.20	Velocity perturbation percentage of the compressional and shear waves velocities ( $V_P$ and $V_S$ ) and its' Poisson ratio ( $V_P/V_S$ ), respectively, observed at the depth of 15 km.....	87
4.21	Velocity perturbation percentage of the compressional and shear waves velocities ( $V_P$ and $V_S$ ) and its' Poisson ratio ( $V_P/V_S$ ), respectively, observed at the depth of 20 km.....	88
4.22	Velocity perturbation percentage of the compressional and shear waves velocities ( $V_P$ and $V_S$ ) and its' Poisson ratio ( $V_P/V_S$ ), respectively, observed at the depth of 25 km.....	89
4.23	Velocity perturbation percentage of the compressional and shear waves velocities ( $V_P$ and $V_S$ ) and its' Poisson ratio ( $V_P/V_S$ ), respectively, observed at the depth of 30 km.....	90
4.24	Velocity perturbation percentage of the compressional and shear waves velocities ( $V_P$ and $V_S$ ) and its' Poisson ratio ( $V_P/V_S$ ), respectively, observed at the depth of 35 km.....	91
4.25	Velocity perturbation percentage of the compressional and shear waves velocities ( $V_P$ and $V_S$ ) and its' Poisson ratio ( $V_P/V_S$ ), respectively, observed at the depth of 40 km.....	92
4.26	Profile (A1), location map and anomalies illustration of the velocity perturbations for $V_P$ , $V_S$ and $V_P/V_S$ ratio, downwards respectively. Dark stars are the enhanced locations of earthquakes, the velocity perturbations are represented by a scale bar on the right side.....	102
4.27	Profile (A2), location map and anomalies illustration of the velocity perturbations for $V_P$ , $V_S$ and $V_P/V_S$ ratio,	