



EVALUATION OF NUMERICAL APPROACHES FOR ANALYSING LATERALLY LOADED PILES

By

Ahmed Mahmoud Abd-El-Razek El-baz

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Civil Engineering-Public Works

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2019

EVALUATION OF NUMERICAL APPROACHES FOR ANALYSING LATERALLY LOADED PILES

By

Ahmed Mahmoud Abd-El-Razek El-baz

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Civil Engineering-Public Works

Under the Supervision of

Prof. MOHAMED A. EL-KHOLY

Dr. SHERIF A. AKL

Professor of Geotechnical Engineering and Foundations Engineering and Foundation Public Works Dept.

Associate Professor of Geotechnical Engineering and Foundation Public Works Dept.

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2019

EVALUATION OF NUMERICAL APPROACHES FOR ANALYSING LATERALLY LOADED PILES

By

Ahmed Mahmoud Abd-El-Razek El-baz

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

In Civil Engineering-Public Works

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. El-Kholy(Thesis Main Advisor)Dr. Sherif A. Akl(Advisor)Prof. Dr. Mostafa A. Abu Kiefa(Internal Examiner)Prof. Dr. Khalid M. ElZahaby(External Examiner)

(Chairman of Housing & Building National Research Center)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2019 Engineer's Name: Ahmed Mahmoud Abd El-Razek El-Baz

Date of Birth: 01/01/1991 Nationality: Egyptian

E-mail: <u>ahmed_abdelrazq91@yahoo.com</u>

Phone: 01010151018

Address: Talkha., Aldakahlia., Egypt

Registration Date: 01/03/2015 Awarding Date: 01/03/2019

Degree: Master of Science

Department: Civil Engineering-Public Works

Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Mohamed El-Kholy

Dr. Sherif A. Akl

Examiners: Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. El-Kholy (Thesis Main Advisor)

Dr. Sherif A. Akl (Advisor)

Prof. Dr. Mostafa A.Abu Kiefa (Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Khalid M. ElZahaby (External Examiner)
(Chairman of Housing & Building National Research Center)

Title of Thesis:

EVALUATION OF NUMERICAL APPROACHES FOR ANALYSING LATERALLY LOADED PILES

Key Words: Strain Wedge Model, Liquefaction, Soil structure interaction, Laterally Loaded

Pile

Summary:

In order to properly analyze a laterally loaded pile foundation in soil/rock, a nonlinear relationship needs to be applied that provides soil resistance as a function of p-y curves or pile deflection. This thesis indicates that p-y curves are not unique and change according to any variation in soil and pile properties.

This thesis evaluates one of the most popular softwares known to analyze laterally loaded piles, LPILE and compares between its performances and that of less known but more sophisticated software, SWM. Those programs which employ unique p-y curves (such as LPILE) do not provide realistic solution for varying soil pile condition. This thesis presents several case studies to highlight the problems with conventional p-y analysis, advantages of strain wedge(SW) model analysis, and factors affecting lateral performance (such as generic condition, depth effect, the shadow effect of adjacent piles in group, and behavior in liquefiable soil).

The SWM6.2 program focuses on some of soil mechanics principles that are accepted such as the analysis of stress soil and the relationship of (stress-strain-strength)comparing with other softwares that are based on few tests and developed empirically. This fundamental difference lead to several advantages of the SWM program over the typical LPILE program.



DISCLAIMER

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Ahmed Mahmoud Abd El-Razek El-Baz	Date:	/	/
Signature:			

DEDICATION

To the fountain of patience and optimism and hope

To each of the following in the presence of God and His Messenger, my mother dear

To those who have demonstrated to me what is the most beautiful of my brother's life

To the big heart my dear father

To the people who paved our way of science and knowledge

All our teachers Distinguished

To the taste of the most beautiful moments with my friends

I guide this Thesis

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest sense of Gratitude to Prof. Dr. Mohamed El-Kholy, Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundations, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, for his support, Outstanding guidance and encouragement throughout the entire time of this research.

I would also like to express our gratitude and appreciation to Dr. Sherif A. AKL, Associate Professor, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, for all the help and guidance he provided throughout the entire time of this research., I would also like to thank the distinguished professors, Prof. Mostafa A. Abu Kiefa and Prof. Khalid M. ElZahaby, members of the Committee on the debate on its choice to accept discussion of this thesis.

I would like to thank our family, especially my parents, for their encouragement, patience, and assistance over the years. We are forever indebted to our parents, who have always kept me in their prayers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNO	WLEDGMENT	iii
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OI	F TABLES	vii
LIST OI	F FIGURES	. viii
	F SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	
	ACT	
	ER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	GENERAL OVERVIEW	
1.2	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES THE STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS	
1.3		
	ER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	INTRODUCTION	
2.2	LIMIT-EQUILIBRIUM SOLUTION	
2.2.1	BLUM – 1932	
2.2.2	BRINCH HANSEN	
2.2.3	BROMS METHOD	
2.3	SOIL-PILE INTERACTION (SPRING) METHODS	
2.3.1	BEAM ON AN ELASTIC FOUNDATION (WINKLER METHOD)	
2.3.2	THE P-Y METHOD WITH BEAM-COLUMN MODEL	
2.3.3	ANALYSIS WITH NONDIMENSIONAL METHOD – 1962	
2.3.4	CHARACTERISTIC LOAD METHOD – 1994	
2.3.5	MSHEET, SINGLE PILE MODULE – 2004	
2.4	ANALYTICAL METHODS	
2.4.1	ELASTIC CONTINUUM	49
2.5	NUMERICAL METHOD	70
2.5.1	THREE-DIMENSIONAL FINITE ELEMENT METHOD	70
2.6	THE STRAIN WEDGE (SW) MODEL ANALYSIS	81
2.6.1	INTRODUCTION	81
2.6.2	SOIL PASSIVE WEDGE CONFIGURATION IN UNIFORM SOIL	81
2.6.3	STRAIN WEDGE MODEL IN LAYERED SOIL	83
2.6.4	SOIL STRESS-STRAIN RELATIONSHIP	85
2.6.5	SHEAR STRESS ALONG PILE SIDES ($ au$)	87
2.6.6	SOIL PROPERTY CHARACTERIZATION IN STRAIN WEDGE MODE	

2.6.7	SOIL-PILE INTERACTION IN STRAIN WEDGE MODEL	93
2.6.8	PILE HEAD DEFLECTION	95
2.6.9	ULTIMATE RESISTANCE CRITERIA IN STRAIN WEDGE MODEL.	95
2.6.10	EFFECT OF SOIL AND PILE PROPERTIES ON P-Y CURVE	96
2.6.11	THE EFFECT OF UNDERLYING AND OVERLYING LAYERS OF ON P-Y CURVE	
2.6.12	THE LIQUEFACTION AND UNDRAINED RESPONSE EVALUATION SANDS FROM DRAINED FORMULATION	
2.6.13	LATERAL LOADED PILE RESPONSE IN LIQUEFIABLE SOIL	107
	ER (3) A COMPARISON BETWEEN STRAIN WEDGE (AND L-PILE COMPUTER PROGRAM	
3.1	INTRODUCTION	114
3.2	STRAIN WEDGE MODEL COMPUTER PROGRAM	114
3.2.1	OVERVIEW OF SW MODEL BASIC CONCEPTS	114
3.2.2	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	115
3.2.3	P-Y CURVES	115
3.2.4	PILE GROUP	115
3.2.5	THE PILE PROPERTIES	116
3.2.6	THE LOADS	116
3.2.7	SOIL PROPERTIES	117
3.2.8	SIMPLIFY THE PILE SETTLEMENT CURVE IN LAYERED SOIL	117
3.2.9	OUTPUT DATA	120
3.3	L-PILE PROGRAM	121
3.3.1	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	121
3.3.2	STRUCTURAL DIMENSIONS AND MATERIAL PROPERTIES	121
3.3.3	LATERAL LOAD TRANSFER RELATIONSHIPS	124
3.3.4	PILE BATTER AND GROUND SLOPE	125
3.3.5	SLOPE CONVENTIONAL LOADING ANALYSIS	125
3.3.6	SPECIAL ANALYSIS FOR CONVENTIONAL LOADING ANALYSIS	S. 126
3.3.7	LOAD AND RESISTANCE FACTOR DESIGN	127
3.3.8	COMPUTATION OF NONLINEAR EI ONLY	127
CHAPTI	ER (4) FACTORS AFFECTING P-Y METHOD PREDICT	ION
•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	128
4.1	INTRODUCTION	128
4.2	GENERIC CASE	128

4.3	EFFECT OF PILE GROUP ON P-Y CURVE	134
4.4	EFFECT OF SOIL LIQUEFACTION	143
CHAPTI	ER 5: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	156
5.1	SUMMARY	156
5.2	CONCLUSIONS	157
5.2.1	THE GENERIC CONDITION	158
5.2.2	THE EFFECT OF PILE GROUP	158
5.2.3	THE LIQUEFIABLE SOIL	159
REFERI	ENCES	161

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Techniques used to analysing laterally loaded piles	7
Table 2-2. Representative Values of ε ₅₀	27
Table 2-3. Suitable value of ks and kc	
Table 2-4. Suitable value of ε ₅₀	29
Table 2-5. Nondimensional Coefficients for p-y Curves for Sand	35
Table 2-6. Representative Values of k (Ib/cu in.) for Sand	
Table 2-7 Comparison of Characteristic load method and p-y analyses	
Table 2-8 Relation cone resistance and pressure meter modulus for different typ	
soil	48
Table 2-9 Rheological coefficients for different types of soil	48
Table 2-10. Typical Values of Pile Flexibility Factor K _R for Various Soils	50
Table 2-11. Relationship between the values of equation (2.109) parameters	88
Table 2-12. Roundness Classes (Powers 1953)	102
Table 4. 1 Results of pile group calculation	143
Table 4. 2 Summary of Measured SPT Values	
Table 4.3 An accurate description of soil layers	
Table 4. 4 Stratigraphic model accepted for settlement valuation	147
Table 4. 5 Various load Cases for Case three	149
Table 4. 6 Comparison of Pile Load Test Results in Both of Field and SWM Prog	ram
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	154

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1 a) The soil wedge which causes the passive resistance. b) The schematization with the actual pile and the pile in the ideal loading situation (Plane 1022)	ation
(Blum,1932)	8 ionts
(Brinch Hansen, 1961)FIGURE 2. 3 Lateral earth pressure distribution in clay (Broms, 1964a)	
FIGURE 2. 4 The ability of "Ultimate Lateral Load" of Short Piles in Cohesive	
(Broms, 1965)	12 (065)
FIGURE 2.6 Lateral Deflection at Ground Surface of Piles in Cohesive Soils (Br	1 <i>3</i>
1965)	13
FIGURE 2.7 The ability of Ultimate Lateral Load of Short Piles in Cohesionless	
(Broms, 1965)	
FIGURE 2.8 The ability of Ultimate Lateral Load of long Piles in Cohesionless	
(Broms, 1965)	
FIGURE 2.9 Lateral Deflection at Ground Surface of Piles in Cohesionless	Soils
(Broms, 1965)	
Figure 2.10 Beam on an elastic Foundation (Winkler, 1867)	
Figure 2.11 A laterally loaded pile modeled with a bed of springs (Winkler, 1867)	
Figure 2.12 Model of a pile subjected to lateral loading with p-y curves (Ensoft,	
2004)	
Figure 2.13 Distribution of soil stresses surrounding a pile: (a) before, and (b)	
lateral deflection (after Thompson, 1977)	
FIGURE 2.14 Model of pile under lateral loading with p-y curves (Reese, 1986)	
FIGURE 2.15 The allocation of unit stresses against a pile before and after la	teral
deflection (Reese, 1986)	21
FIGURE 2.16 (a)Pipe pile and soil elements (b) Conceptual p-y curve (Reese, 1980)	-
FIGURE 2.17 Section through pile (Reese and Sullivan 1980)	
FIGURE 2.18 Mohr-Coulomb diagram (Reese and Sullivan 1980)	
FIGURE 2.19 Forces acting on pile (Reese and Sullivan 1980)	
FIGURE 2.20 Supposed passive wedge type of failure for clay (Reese and Sull	
1980)	
FIGURE 2.21 Section through pile (Reese and Sullivan 1980)	
FIGURE 2.22 Mohr-Coulomb diagram representing states of stress of soil flo	
around a pile (Reese and Sullivan 1980)	
FIGURE 2.23 Assumed passive wedge type of failure (Reese and Sullivan 1980)	
FIGURE 2.24 The features shape of p-y curve for (a) Static loading in Soft clay	-
Cyclic loading in Soft clay (Matlock, 1970)	
FIGURE 2.25 (a) The features of p-y curve for static loading in stiff clay under	
water table, (b) the constants value As and Ac. (Matlock, 1970)	
FIGURE 2.26 The features of shape of the p-y curve for cyclic loading in Stiff	•
below the water table. (Matlock, 1970)	JI

FIGURE 2.27 The features of shape p-y curve for static loading in stiff clay of	
water table. (Matlock, 1970)	
FIGURE 2.28 The features of shape of the loading of p-y curve for cyclic in stif	
on the water table. (Matlock, 1970)	
FIGURE 2.29 The features of shape of the curves p-y for static and cyclic load	ing in
sand. (Matlock, 1970)	
FIGURE 2.30 (a) The Values of the coefficients refers to Ac and As	, (b)
Nondimensional coefficient B for the resistance of soil versus Depth. (Matlock,	
-	35
FIGURE 2.31 Typical pile-supported bent (Reese and Matlock 1966)	
FIGURE 2.32 Simplified structure showing coordinate systems and sign conve	
(Reese and Matlock 1966)	38
FIGURE 2.33 Set of pile resistance functions for a given pile (Reese and Matlock	1966)
Figure 2-34 Computed values of deflection and maximum bending moment f	or an
example problem - (Reese, Isenhower, & Wang, 2006, p410)	41
Figure 2-35 Load-deflection curves: (a) Clay; (b) Sand (Duncan et al., 1994)	
Figure 2-36 Moment-deflection curves: (a) Clay; (b) Sand (Duncan et al., 1994)	
Figure 2-37 Load-moment curves: (a) Clay; (b) Sand (Duncan et al., 1994)	
Figure 2-38 Comparison of measured and calculated deflections and moments fo	
pile in stiff clay[Measured values from Reese et al. (1975)]:(a)deflection;(b) Mo	
(Duncan et al., 1994)	
Figure 2-39 Comparison of measured and calculated deflections and moments fo	
pile in sand[Measured values from Cox et al. (1974)]: (a) Deflection; (b) Mo	
(Duncan et al., 1994)	
Figure 2-40 Bilinear spring curves that can be used as input in the single pile m	
in MSheet – Picture by J. Ruigrok	
Figure 2-41 Ménard pressure meter test (Roctest) (A): An impression of the cylin	
probe in the soil. (B): A typical graph that is obtained with the test (Louis Me	
1955)	
FIGURE 2.42 Floating pile focuses on the acting on (a) pile, (b) soil adjacent t	
(Poulos, 1971a)	
FIGURE 2.43 Socketed pile. (Poulos, 1971a)	
FIGURE 2.44 (a) Values of I _{ph} - free-head floating pile, constant soil modulu	
Values of $I_{\rho M}$ and $I_{\theta H}$ - free-head floating pile, constant soil modulus. (Poulo	, , ,
Davis, 1980)	
FIGURE 2.45 (a) Values of $I_{\theta M}$ - free-head floating pile, constant soil modulu	
Yield-displacement factor F_{ρ} - free-head floating pile, uniform ES and P_{ν} . (Poulo	
Davis, 1980)	
FIGURE 2.46 (a) Yield-rotation factor F_{θ} - free-head floating pile, uniform ES at	
(b) effect of L/d on F _ρ . (Poulos and Davis, 1980)	
FIGURE 2.47 (a) Influence factor $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant soil model in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile, constant section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head floating pile in the second section $I_{\rho F}$ - fix	dulue
(b) Yield-deflection factor $F_{\rho F}$ - fixed-head pile, uniform ES and P_y . (Poulos and I	
1980)	
FIGURE 2.48 (a) Maximum moment in free-head pile, (b) Fixing moment at he	
fixed-head pilefixed-head pile	
11xcu-ncau pnc	J /

FIGURE 2.49 (a) Values of $\Gamma_{\rho H}$ -free-head floating pile, linearly-varying soil modulus,
(b) Values of $\Gamma_{\rho M}$ and $\Gamma_{\theta H}$ -free-head floating pile, linearly-varying soil modulus.
(Poulos and Davis, 1980)
FIGURE 2.50 (a) Values of Γ _{θM} -free-head floating pile, linearly-varying soil modulus,
(b) Yield-displacement factor F_{ρ} -free-head floating pile, linearly-varying Es and Py.
(Poulos and Davis, 1980)
FIGURE 2.51 (a) Yield-rotation factor F' ₀ -free-head floating pile, linearly-varying ES
and P_y ., (b) Values of $\Gamma_{\rho F}$ -fixed-head floating pile, linearly-varying soil modulus.
(Poulos and Davis, 1980)
varying ES and P _y ., (b) Ultimate lateral resistance of unrestrained rigid piles. (Poulos
and Davis, 1980)
FIGURE 2.53 (a) Maximum moment in free-head pile-linearly-varying soil modulus,
(b) Fixing moment in fixed-head pile-linearly-varying soil modulus. (Poulos and Davis,
1980)
FIGURE 2.54 (a) Influence factors $I_{\rho H}$ for free-head socketed piles in uniform soil, (b)
Influence factors $I_{\rho M}$ and $I\theta H$ for free-head socketed piles in uniform soil. (Poulos and
Davis, 1980)
FIGURE 2.55 (a) Influence factors $I_{\theta M}$ for free - head socketed piles in uniform soil,
(b) Influence factor $I_{\rho F}$ for fixed-head socketed piles in uniform soil. (Poulos and Davis,
1980)
FIGURE 2.56 (a) Values of $\Gamma_{\rho H}$ for free - head socketed pile, linearly varying ES, (b)
Values of $\Gamma_{\rho M}$ and $\Gamma_{\theta H}$ -free-head socketed piles, linearly varying Es. (Poulos and
Davis, 1980)
FIGURE 2.57 (a) Values of $\Gamma_{\theta M}$ for free-head socketed pile, linearly varying Es, (b)
Values of $\Gamma_{\rho F}$ -fixed-head socketed pile, linearly varying Es. (Poulos and Davis, 1980)
FIGURE 2.58 Fixing moment at pile head-socketed pile, in uniform soil (Poulos and
Davis, 1980)
FIGURE 2.59 Fixing moment at pile tip-socketed pile, in uniform soil, (a) Resulting
from applied horizontal load, (b) Resulting from moment. (Poulos and Davis, 1980) 65
FIGURE 2.60 Maximum moment in free head-socketed piles(horizontal load only)-
uniform soil, (a) Free-head, pinned-tip, (b) Free-head, Fixed-tip. (Poulos and Davis,
1980)
FIGURE 2.61 Tip force-free-head-socketed piles in uniform soil, (a) Horizontal load
only, (b) Horizontal load and moment. (Poulos and Davis, 1980)
FIGURE 2.62 (a) Tip Force-Fixed-head-socketed piles in uniform soil, (b) Influence
factors $T_{\theta H}$ for tip rotation of free-head, pinned-tip socketed pile in uniform soil.
(Poulos and Davis, 1980)
FIGURE 2.63 (a) Influence factors $T_{\theta M}$ for tip rotation of free-head, pinned-tip
socketed pile in uniform soil, (b) Influence factors The for laterally-loaded fixed-head,
pinned-tip socketed pile in uniform soil. (Poulos and Davis, 1980)
Figure.2-64. Definition of ρ_c and G_c (M. F. Randolph, 1981)
Figure 2.65 View of the Three-Dimensional Mesh with Pile Displaced Laterally (Data
from Kooijman, 1989)72
11 UIII INUU; 11007)

model(Data from Kooijman, 1989)
Figure 2.67 Yield surfaces in the deviatoric plane for the Extended Drucker-Prager
model (Data from Kooijman, 1989)73
Figure 2.68 Load vs Displacement, VM model (1 in.=2.54cm, 1 kip = 4.45kN) (Data
from Kooijman, 1989)
Figure 2.69 Accumulated Plastic Strain, VM model at 1.5 in. Pile head deflection (1
in.=2.54cm) (Data from Kooijman, 1989)
Figure 2.70 P-y Curves from COM624, Clay Soil (l in.=2.54cm, 1 lb.=4.45N,
1psi=6.9kPa) (Data from Kooijman, 1989)
Figure 2.71 Load vs Displacement, EDP models (1in.=2.54cm, 1 kip=4.45kN) (Data
from Kooijman, 1989)
Figure 2.72 Accumulated Plastic Strain, EDP model (C=2psi, Φ =23 $^{\rm 0}$) at 1.5 in. pile head
deflection (1 in.=2.54cm) (Data from Kooijman, 1989)
Figure 2.73 Interface Stresses, EDP models at 1.5 in. Pile head deflection (1in.=2.54cm,
1 psi = 6.9kpa) (Data from Kooijman, 1989)
FIGURE 2.74 The Basic Strain Wedge in Uniform Soil (Ashour et al. 1998)
FIGURE 2.75 The Deflection Pattern of Laterally Loaded Long Pile and Associate
Strain Wedge (Ashour et al. 1998)
FIGURE 2.76 The pattern of Linearized Deflection of Pile Embedded in Soil via Using
the model of Multisublayer strain Wedge (Ashour et al. 1998)
FIGURE 2.77 THE interaction of Soil and Pile in the technique of Multisublayer: (a)
the pattern of Pile Deflection: (b) the allocation of Soil Reaction along Pile; (c) Soil -
Pile Modeling (Ashour et al. 1998)
FIGURE 2.78 Proposed Geometry of Compound Passive Wedge (Ashour et al. 1998)
EICUPE 2.70 (c) The Wester Distance (d) and in all Males Circles of Assistance (d) The
FIGURE 2.79 (a) The Wedge Distortion; (b) combined Mohr Circle of strain; (c) The
link between the distortion of Wedge and the deflection of the Pile (Ashour et al. 1998)
FIGURE 2.80 The link between the variation of the horizontal stress, and mobilized
friction, the level of the Stress, the angle (Ashour et al. 1998)
FIGURE 2.81 The developing link between stress and strain in the soil: (a) Developed
Hyperbolic Stress Strain link in Soil; (b) Variation of the fitting Parameter λ the level
of versus Stress (SL) (Ashour et al. 1998)
FIGURE 2.82 The Displacement curve of the Employed Side Shear Stress in the clay:
(a) Coyle-Reese Shear Stress Transfer Curve (t- z curve); (b)Normalized t-z Curves
(Ashour et al. 1998)
FIGURE 2.83 The Nonlinear change of the level of Shear Stress (SLt) along the depth
of the soil Depth at Constant strain ε (Ashour et al. 1998)91
FIGURE 2.84 The Relationship between ε 50 University Coefficient(CU) and the Void
Ratio (e) (Norris 1986)
FIGURE 2.85 The link between Plasticity Index (PI) and φ (Design 1996)
FIGURE 2.86 Relationship between ε 50 and Undrained Shear Strength, SU (Evans
and Ducan1982)92
FIGURE 2.87 Relationship between Effective Stress and Total Stress Conditions
(Ashour et al. 1998)