Thoracic Endovascular Aortic Repair in Management of Aortic Dissection

Essay

Submitted for partial fulfillment of master degree in General Surgery

*By*Al Moataz Bellah Fawzy

M.B,B. CH Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Mahmoud Sobhy Khattab

Professor of Vascular Surgery
Faculty of Medicine -Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Ismail Mohamed

Assistant Professor of Vascular Surgery Faculty of Medicine -Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2019



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to ALLAW, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Sobhy khattab**, Professor of vascular surgery - Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Mohamed Esmail** lecturer of vascular Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

.

Almoataz bellah Fawzy Mohamed

List of Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iv
Introduction	3
Aim of Work	8
Chapter (1): Anatomy	9
Chapter (2): Acute Aortic Syndrome (AAS)	23
Chapter (3): Pathology and Etiopathogenesis	28
Chapter (4): Clinical Management	34
Chapter (5): Prognosis	52
Chapter (6): Proposed Role of Endovascular for Type A Dissection	-
Chapter (7): Endovascular Repair in Retrogrammer Type A Dissection	
Chapter (8): The Different Modalities for the Management of Type B	
Chpater(9): Adverse effects of TEVAR techni	que 79
Chapter (10): Advices for Endovascular techn	iques 85
Summary	91
Conclusion	96
References	97
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Abbr. Full-term

AAA.....: Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm

AAD....: Ascending aortic dissection

AAS....: Acute Aortic Syndrome

ACS....: Acute coronary syndrome

BMT: Best medical therapy

CI: Confidential interval

CT: Computed tomography

CXR: Chest X-ray

DSA: Digital subtraction angiography

FDA: Food and Drug Administration

FL: False lumen

HR....: Heart rate

ICP: Intracranial pressure

IDE....: Investigational device exemption

IMA: Inferior mesenteric artery

IMH: Intramural hematoma

INSTEAD: Investigation of stent grafts in patients with type

B aortic dissection

IRAD: International Registry of Aortic Dissection

IV: Intravenous

IVUS....: Intravenous ultrasound

MRA....: Magnetic resonance arteriography

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging

OMT....: Optimal medical treatment

OS: Open surgery

PAU: Penetrating aortic ulcer

RTAAD.....: Retrograde type A aortic dissection

SCA....: Subclavian artery

SMA: Superior mesenteric artery

SVC....: Superior vena cava

TAAD....: Type A aortic dissection

TBAD....: Type B aortic dissection

TEE....: Transesophageal echocardiography

TEVAR....: Thoracic endovascular aortic repair

TTE....: Transthoracic echocardiography

List of Tables

Table N	0.	Title	?		Ą	Page I	Vo.
Table (1):	Comparative surgery					•	. 67
` ′	Strategies and			-	_	•	72

List of Figures

Figure No	e. Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	The ascending and arch of the aorta	12
Figure (2):	The descending aorta, K	14
Figure (3):	Blood supply of the spinal cord	16
Figure (4):	Segmental system	19
Figure (5):	Classification of aortic syndromes	23
Figure (6):	Stanford and Debakey cassificatio	25
Figure (7):	Evolution of intramural hematoma.	30
Figure (8):	Types of organ malperfusion caus dissections	
Figure (9):	Meta-analysis of multiple studies of accuracy of different modalities for dissection	aortic
Figure (10):	Comparison between different moda TTE/TEE-transthorcic/ transesopg echocardiography	hageal
Figure (11):	Contrast enhanced CT of the chest	39
Figure (12):	CT reconstruction displaying PAU arch	
Figure (13):	MRI of a descending aortic dissection	on41
Figure (14):	Types of endoleaks, the regenuniversity of Michigan, cardiovacentre	ascular

antroduction

Figure (15):	Computed tomographyrevealing the entry tear in the middle of ascending aorta with patent false aorta	59
Figure (16):	The 36-month CT angiography depicting bird-beak sign	60
Figure (17):	Markers on the screen demonstrating the landing target	88
Figure (18):	Heart a systole occurs immediately after adenosine bolus adminstration	89

Abstract

Background: Aortic dissection is a fatal disease in spite of it's low incidence (4.7-6/100.000) Thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) plays an important role in management of type B aortic repair.

Aim of work: to assess the role of TEVAR in complication and uncomplicated type B aortic dissection Conclusion: TEVAR is a less invasive technique than other surgical modalities for aortic dissection, and it carries great potential as a reliable management modality for acute dissection. However, it needs furthermore studies regarding long-term outcome especially after uncomplicated TBAD.

Keywords: Thoracic, Endovascular Aortic Repair, Aortic, Dissection

E-mail: Almoatazbellahfawzy@gmail.com

Introduction

Ithough Aortic dissection is an uncommon disease, its outcome is frequently fatal with many patients die prior to diagnosis or before presentation to the hospital, also physical findings may be absent or if present could be a diverse range of other conditions (*Hagan et al.*, 2000).

High clinical suspicion, CT, MRI and transesophageal echocardiography are fair accurate modalities for diagnosis, while the diagnosis is missed in up to 38% of patients on initial evaluation (*Hagan et al.*, 2000).

Aortic dissection is divided into acute & chronic types, depending on the duration of symptoms. Acute Aortic dissection is present when diagnosis is made within 2 weeks after the initial onset of symptoms. Chronic is when initial symptoms are more than 2 weeks duration, above one third of patients with Aortic dissection categorized as chronic, where the commonest site of initial dissection is the ascending Aorta (*Debakey et al.*, 1965).

Anatomically, dissection can be classified either by the Debakey, or Stanford classification (*Debakey et al.*, 1965; *Dailey et al.*, 1970).

The Debakey I involves both ascending & descending Aorta, Debakey II only ascending, Debakey III only descending, while Stanford classification has two types, Type A involving the ascending Aorta regardless the entry site, where type B involving Aorta distal to organ of left subclavian artery (*Dailey et al.*, 1970).

Men are more affected, with a male to female ratio ranges from 2:1 to 5:1 in different series (*Wilson et al., 1982*).

The most common predisposing factor is hypertension (Spittel et al., 1993).

While Aortic diseases such as dilatation, aneurysm, chromosomal aberrations as Turners syndrome, aortic arch hypoplasia, coarctation of the Aorta, bicuspid Aortic valve & hereditary connective tissue disease as Marfan syndrome & Ehler Danlos syndrome are well known predisposing factors (*Eisenberg et al.*, 1993).

Aortic dissection may be formed via an intimal rupture resulting in cleavage formation & propagation of dissection into the media, or due to intramural hemorrhage followed by hematoma formation in the media and intima perforation. Given that ntimal flap is the most characteristic feature of Aortic dissection (*Wilson et al.*, 1982).

Manifestations can be in the form of pain or manifestations related to organ system involvement, while pain is the most common presenting symptom (*Hagan et al.*, 2000).

More than one third of the patients exhibits signs & symptoms related to organ system involvement (*Khan et al.*, 2001).

The most common mechanism of organ system involvement is the development ischemia caused by the obstruction of branch artery originating from the Aorta (*Khan et al.*, 2001).

Medical, surgical & endovascular treatments are options for management of Aortic dissection, Historically in the early 1960s *Wheat et al.* (1965) introduced drug therapy for Aortic dissection using Reserbine & Guanethedine, Now a combination of B blocker & vasodilator (ie, Sodium Nitroprusside) is standard drug treatment. While surgical intervention is indicated in all patients with proximal dissections sparing patients having serious conditions that contraindicates surgery (*Borst and Laas*, 1993).

TEVAR also plays an important role in management of proximal Aortic dissection (Stanford A) where 10-30 % of cases are not accepted for surgery and 30-50% are technically amenable for TEVAR which reveals promising

early results in type A Aortic dissection who are poor candidates for surgical repair (*Nienaber et al.*, 2016).

Meanwhile, 30 days mortality rate reaches 11% whereas the 1 year mortality rate might reach 33% for those treated with TEVAR (*Mark et al.*, 2012).

On the other hand, surgical treatment offers 30 days mortality rate 11-14 % in young patients (20-40 years old), while this increases with age to reach 25% in octogenerics (*Rylski et al.*, 2014).

Although the role of TEVAR in the treatment of uncomplicated acute type B Aortic dissection remains controversial, it turn to be a viable choice in treatment of acute type B associated with life threating complications, with a dramatic improvement of perioperative results & 30-days mortality less than 10% (Zeeshan et al., 2010).

Furthermore, **TEVAR** is associated with fewer permanent complications & excellent long-term survival, & it is often sufficient to relieve malperfusion, considering immediate concomitant procedures in addition to later reintervention may be needed (*Steuer et al.*, 2011).

AIM OF WORK

o review the recent guidelines, role and possibilities of TEVAR in the management of the Aortic dissection in comparison to the other surgical and medical modalities of treatment which are generally practiced.