



Induced Membrane (Masquelet) technique in treatment of long bone defects

A Systematic Review / Meta-Analysis

*Submitted for partial fulfillment of
master degree in Orthopaedic Surgery*

By

Abdelrahman Khalifa Abdelsayed Attalah

M.B.B.CH., Faculty of medicine, Ain-Shams University.

Under Supervision of

Prof. Salah Abdelgawad Abou Seif

Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Rayan Ahmed

Lecturer of Orthopaedic Surgery

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

*Faculty of Medicine,
Ain Shams University*

2019

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببنا أنك لا تعلم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**,
the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound
gratitude to **Prof. Salah Abdelgawad Abou Seif**,
Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain
Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision,
valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which
made possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude
and thanks to **Dr. Ahmed Rayan Ahmed**, Lecturer of
Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams
University, for his kind care, continuous supervision,
valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance
throughout this work.*

Abdelrahman Khalifa Abdelsayed Attalah

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Abbreviations	vii
Introduction	1
Aim of the Study	4
Review of Literature	
▪ Etiology of Bone Defects	5
▪ Treatment Modalities of Bone Defects	16
▪ Induced Membrane (Masquelet) Technique	22
▪ Bone Cement	33
▪ Surgical Technique	41
Materials and Methods	54
Results	82
Discussion	109
Conclusion	113
Summary	114
References	117
Arabic Summary	—

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Components of acrylic bone cement. ⁽³⁷⁾	33
Table (2):	Summary of the studies.....	75
Table (3):	Meta analysis for the rate of infection eradication.	83
Table (4):	Tests of heterogeneity for studies included in meta-analysis of rate of infection eradication	83
Table (5):	Meta analysis for the rate of union.....	87
Table (6):	Tests of heterogeneity for studies included in meta-analysis of rate of union.....	87
Table (7):	Meta analysis for the time to healing.....	91
Table (8):	Tests of heterogeneity for studies included in meta-analysis of the time to healing.	91
Table (9):	Meta-analysis of rate of superficial surgical site infection.....	95
Table (10):	Publication bias assessment for studies included in meta-analysis of rate of superficial surgical site infection.	95
Table (11):	Meta-analysis of rate of deep surgical site infection.....	99
Table (12):	Publication bias assessment for studies included in meta-analysis of rate of deep surgical site infection.	99
Table (13):	Meta-analysis of rate of disordered wound/soft tissue healing.....	103
Table (14):	Publication bias assessment for studies included in meta-analysis of rate of disordered wound/soft tissue healing.....	103

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (15):	Meta-analysis of rate of failure requiring re-intervention.....	107
Table (16):	Publication bias assessment for studies included in meta-analysis of rate of failure requiring re-intervention.	107

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Types of bone defects.	5
Figure (2):	Anatomical classification of adult osteomyelitis.	9
Figure (3):	Enneking classification of local procedures.	10
Figure (4):	Showing types of hypervascular nonunions	12
Figure (5):	Avascular nonunions	12
Figure (6):	Vascularized fibular graft with peroneal vessels fixed in tibial defect.	20
Figure (7):	Immunohistochemical staining of the encapsulation membrane sampled at time of cement explantation from a sheep metatarsal defect	27
Figure (8):	Immunohistochemical staining of the encapsulation membrane sampled at time of cement explantation from a sheep metatarsal defect	29
Figure (9):	Representative radiogram of maintenance of graft volume	31
Figure (10):	a) Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) view of cured PMMA.	34
Figure (11):	Set up for fatigue test for bone cement with cyclic load.	35
Figure (12):	fatigue strength of different antibiotic loaded cement.	36
Figure (13):	Intimate contact of PMMA to bone in the metaphysis	37
Figure (14):	Structure of gentamicin which belongs to the aminoglycosides group	39

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (15):	During the first stage of the Masquelet technique and after thorough debridement, the edges of the bone fragments are healthy with a viable bleeding.	42
Figure (16):	Bone defect in the humeral diaphysis in which previous autograft failed,.....	43
Figure (17):	X-ray showing cement spacer in bone defect around interlocking nail. Notice anterior caps in lateral view (arrows).	44
Figure (18):	Intraoperative photograph of the proximal tibia demonstrating the mature induced membrane and cement spacer at the time of spacer removal.....	46
Figure (19):	Showing the RIA system.....	47
Figure (20):	Showing the intramedullary graft obtained from reamer irrigator aspirator system.	48
Figure (21):	Showing intraoperative fluroscopic sizing of the femoral medulla to select appropriate reamer head.....	49
Figure (22):	Intraoperative photograph showing insertion of the morcellized graft in the induced membrane.....	51
Figure (23):	Intraoperative photograph of the proximal tibia.....	51
Figure (24):	PRISMA flowchart for study selections.....	56
Figure (25):	Preoperative X-ray (Gustilo IIIc fracture) in Apard case series.....	58
Figure (26):	Infected bone defect in the femoral diaphysis after an open fracture in AZI et al case series.....	59

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (27):	1 AP (A) and lateral (B) radiographs of a type IIIA open femur fracture at admission in Donegan et al case series	60
Figure (28):	bone defect of the humeral diaphysis in karager case series	61
Figure (29):	Case, 48 years old, male amputation of upper arm in kawakami case series.	62
Figure (30):	Male, 53 years in Masquelet case series with tibia fracture after a bike accident (AO 42 C3, Gustillo-Anderson 3b)	63
Figure (31):	Case No. 6. In Alian C Masquelet study	65
Figure (32):	Example patient treated with the two-step Masquelet technique In Moghaddam case series.....	67
Figure (33):	Postoperative follow-up: Consolidation in moghaddam case series	68
Figure (34):	Case BK in Olesen case series	69
Figure (35):	Case One In Ronega Case Series	70
Figure (36):	The view shows the injury radiograph of a grade 3B open distal tibia fracture in Taylor et al case series.....	71
Figure (37):	Radiographs showing patient 12 - male, 55 yrs with chronic osteomyelitis in wang case series.....	72
Figure (38):	Radiographs showing callus formation three months after the grafting, in Wang et al case series.	73
Figure (39):	Loss of humeral substance as a result of gun shot injury in Zappaterra case series.....	74
Figure (40):	Radiographic image after placement of the cement.in zappaterra case series	74

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (41):	Shows bone consolidation in Zappaterra case series after a mean of 16 months.	74
Figure (42):	Forest plot for the rate of infection eradication.....	84
Figure (43):	Funnel plot for the rate of infection eradication.....	85
Figure (44):	Forest plot for the rate of union	88
Figure (45):	Funnel plot for the rate of union.....	89
Figure (46):	Forest plot for the time to healing.....	92
Figure (47):	Funnel plot for the time to healing.....	93
Figure (48):	Forest plot for rate of superficial surgical site infection.....	96
Figure (49):	Funnel plot for rate of superficial surgical site infection.....	97
Figure (50):	Forest plot for rate of deep surgical site infection	100
Figure (51):	Funnel plot for rate of deep surgical site infection	101
Figure (52):	Forest plot for rate of disordered wound/soft tissue healing	104
Figure (53):	Funnel plot for rate of disordered wound/soft tissue healing	105
Figure (54):	Forest plot for rate of failure requiring re-intervention.....	108
Figure (55):	Funnel plot for rate of failure requiring re-intervention	108

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
BMP-2.....	Bone morphogenic protein -2
CBFA-1	Core binding factor alpha -1
COL-1	Collagen type-1
CSD.....	Critical size defect.
DSSI.....	Deep surgical site infection
IM.....	Intramedullary nailing
IMT	Induced membrane technique.
MSC	Mesenchymal cells
PMMA.....	Poly methyl metha acrylate
PTSBD.....	Post traumatic segmental bone defects.
RIA.....	Reamer irrigator aspirator
SSSI	Superficial surgical site infection
TEBC	Tissue engineered bone constructs.
TGF-b1.....	Transforming growth factor b1.
VEGF	Vascular endothelial growth factor

INTRODUCTION

Reconstruction of large segmental bone defects is often challenging because of the complex mechanics and biology. Traditionally, patients with significant soft tissue injury associated with bone loss underwent amputation; however, limb salvage has become increasingly common, with reported clinical outcomes comparable to those of amputation at short term follow up. The goal is to achieve a functional, stable extremity in an expedient manner that will be well tolerated. However, most techniques for reconstruction of significant bone loss are associated with lengthy healing or rehabilitation times and unpredictable union rates. ⁽¹⁾

Autogenous bone graft remains the optimal graft for management of these defects because of its osteoinductive, osteoconductive, and osteogenic properties. However, this graft has several drawbacks and limitations, including a definitive supply and risk of significant morbidity or iatrogenic injury with harvest. In addition, outcomes associated with massive cancellous autograft of large segmental defects are often poor due to substantial graft resorption, even in noninfected, well-vascularized surgical sites. ⁽²⁾

Current management options include intercalary bone transport and distraction osteogenesis, vascularized bone transfer, massive cancellous autograft transfer, and synthetic calcium-based fillers. These options may be supplemented with

the addition of demineralized bone matrix, allograft, or bone morphogenetic protein (BMP).⁽³⁾

Masquelet and colleagues developed the use of induced membrane-assisted massive autograft for segmental bony defects and successfully managed defects ≤ 25 cm with associated severe soft-tissue injury by use of this technique.⁽⁴⁾

A bio-active membrane is created via placement of a temporary polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) spacer, and the membrane is later filled with cancellous autograft. Reported advantages of this technique include protection against autograft resorption, relative maintenance of graft position and prevention of softtissue interposition.⁽⁵⁾

Several animal studies have been done to detect the properties of this membrane. It was confirmed that it secretes substances that increase bone healing (e.g. bone morphogenetic protein). It is a fibrous membrane with high vascularity and looks like the periosteum.⁽⁶⁾

In the traditional technique described by Masquelet, external fixation was used with insertion of morcellized iliac cancellous graft. Recently many authors use internal fixation and the new technique of harvesting medullary graft (Reamer Irrigatoer Aspirator or RIA) has overcome the limited amount of graft.⁽⁷⁾

The complications of this technique include reinfection, donor site morbidity, graft resorption (complete or partial) and refracture. It is a valid technique in management of segmental bone defect without the need of microsurgery. ⁽⁸⁾

AIM OF THE STUDY

The aim of this study is to assess the results of the induced membrane technique in management of segmental bone defects caused by trauma or infection.