



Cairo University

DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED MODEL TO MAXIMIZE GAS PRODUCTION

By

Miral Mohamed Nassar

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
INTERDISCIPLINARY MSC.-GAS PRODUCTION ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2019

DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED MODEL TO MAXIMIZE GAS PRODUCTION

By
Miral Mohamed Nassar

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
INTERDISCIPLINARY MSC.-GAS PRODUCTION ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Eissa Mohamed Shokir

Prof. Dr. Attia Attia

.....
Professor of Petroleum Engineering
Mining, Petroleum and Metallurgical
Engineering Department
Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Dean of faculty of Energy and
Environmental Engineering at British
University in Egypt

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2019

DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED MODEL TO MAXIMIZE GAS PRODUCTION

By
Miral Mohamed Nassar

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
INTERDISCIPLINARY MSC.-GAS PRODUCTION ENGINEERING

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Eissa Mohamed Shokir, Thesis Advisor

Professor of Petroleum Engineering, Mining, Petroleum and Metallurgical
Engineering Department, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Attia Attia, Thesis Advisor

Dean of Faculty of Energy and Environmental Engineering at British
University in Egypt

Prof. Dr. Abdel Waly Abdalla Abdel Waly, Internal Examiner

Professor of Petroleum Engineering, Mining, Petroleum and Metallurgical
Engineering Department, Cairo University

Dr. Atef Abdelhady, External Examiner

Vice Chairman for production and Development at Egyptian Natural Gas
Holding Company

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2019

Engineer's Name: Miral Mohamed Nassar
Date of Birth: 08/September/1992
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: miral_nassar@hotmail.com
Phone: 01119914692
Address: New Cairo, Rehab City
Registration Date: October 2015
Awarding Date:/....../2019
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Gas Production Engineering



Supervisors:
Prof. Dr. Eissa Mohamed Shokir
Prof. Dr. Attia Attia

Examiners:
Prof. Dr. Eissa Mohamed Shokir (Main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Attia Attia (Advisor)
Prof. Abdel Waly Abdalla (Internal examiner)
Dr. Atef Abdelhady (External examiner)
Vice Chairman for production and Development at Egyptian
Natural Gas Holding Company

Title of Thesis:

Development of an Integrated Model to Maximize Gas Production.

Key Words:

Fluid Flow Assurance, Integrated Asset Modeling, Flowline size optimization, Choke optimization, Sand production control.

Summary:

Production optimization ensures that wells and facilities are always operating at their peak performance to maximize production. This work describes a procedure, to develop Inflow Performance Curves, Tubing Performance Curves, ensure the flow of gas production without any obstacles and the application of different sand control techniques for one of the Egyptian Gas Fields, with the help of Petroleum Experts Kit.

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Miral Mohamed Nassar

Date: April 23, 2019

Signature: Miral Moh'd

Dedication

This work is dedicated to all my family and friends who helped me accomplish this step.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Dr. Eissa Shokir and Prof. Dr. Attia Attia for their valuable guidance, continuous support and rewarding discussion.

In addition, Eng. Mohamed ElNaggar chairman of Mansoura Company, and Eng. Mohamed Hatem for their great support and advices along my career experience. I would also like to thank my family and friends for supporting me all this time.

Miral Mohamed, 2019

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER.....	I
DEDICATION.....	II
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	IV
LIST OF TABLES	VII
LIST OF EQUATIONS	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
NOMENCLATURE	XI
ABSTRACT	XIII
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION.....	1
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1. INTRODUCTION	3
2.2. WELL PRODUCTION MODEL.....	3
2.2.1. Effect of Change in Wellhead Pressure	6
2.2.2. Effect of Change in Tubing Size.....	8
2.2.3. Effect of Perforation.....	9
2.3. INTEGRATED ASSET MODEL (IAM)	10
2.3.1. History of IAM.....	14
2.4. FLOW ASSURANCE	15
2.4.1. Hydrates	15
2.4.2. Corrosion and Erosion	20
2.4.3. Slugging	22
2.4.4. Scales	24
2.5. SAND PRODUCTION	25
2.5.1. Mechanics of Sand Production	27
2.5.2. Consequences of Sand Production.....	27
2.5.3. Methods for Sand Production Control	28
2.5.4. Sand Control Techniques	29
2.5.5. Sand Control Technique Selection.....	33
2.6. CHOKE SIZE OPTIMIZATION	38
2.7. SIMULATION AND MODELLING	40
2.7.1. Introduction.....	40
2.7.2. MBAL	40

2.7.3.	PROSPER	41
2.7.4.	GAP.....	41
CHAPTER 3 : STATEMENT OF PROBLEM		44
3.1.	OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES	44
3.1.1.	Development of the IAM	44
3.1.2.	Defining Flow Assurance Constraints	45
3.1.3.	Flow Rate Prediction.....	45
3.1.4.	Choke Size Optimization	45
3.1.5.	Flowline Size Optimization	45
3.1.6.	Changing Sand Control Technique	45
CHAPTER 4 : DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED ASSET MODEL.....		46
4.1.	FIELD DESCRIPTION	46
4.2.	WELLS HISTORY	47
4.2.1.	Well 1.....	47
4.2.2.	Well 2.....	47
4.2.3.	Well 3.....	48
4.2.4.	Well 4.....	48
4.2.5.	Well 5.....	48
4.2.6.	Well 6.....	49
4.2.7.	Well 7	49
4.2.8.	Well 8.....	49
4.2.9.	Well 9.....	50
4.2.10.	Well 10.....	50
4.3.	SIMULATION AND MODELLING	51
4.3.1.	Reservoir Model (MBAL)	51
4.3.2.	Production Performance (PROSPER).....	59
4.3.3.	System Model (GAP).....	70
CHAPTER 5 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....		72
5.1.	FLOW RATE PREDICTION	72
5.1.1.	Effect of Change in Wellhead Pressure	72
5.1.2.	Effect of Change in Tubing Size.....	72
5.1.3.	Effect of Perforation.....	73
5.2.	CHOKE SIZE OPTIMIZATION	74
5.2.1.	Well 1.....	74
5.2.2.	Well 2.....	76
5.2.3.	Well 4.....	77
5.2.4.	Well 5.....	78
5.3.	SAND PRODUCTION	79
5.3.1.	Gravel Packed-Actual Case	80
5.3.2.	Prepacked-Optimized Case	82
5.3.3.	Comparison between Gravel Pack and PrePacked.....	85
5.4.	FLOWLINE SIZE OPTIMIZATION	88
5.4.1.	4’’ Actual model	88

5.4.2.	2'' optimized model	89
5.4.3.	8'' optimized model	91
5.4.4.	Comparison between different Flowline sizes	92
CHAPTER 6 : CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		95
REFERENCES		97
APPENDIX		104

List of Tables

Table 2-1 Potential Consequences of Sand Production (David, 2015)	27
Table 2-2 Comparison between different types of Sand Control Methods (David, 2015)	31
Table 2-3 Formation Sand Classification (Reyes, 2018).....	36
Table 4-1 Tubing Correlation Parameters well 1	65
Table 4-2 Tubing Correlation Parameters well 2	66
Table 4-3 Tubing Correlation Parameters well 4	67
Table 5-1 Grain size distribution.....	79
Table 5-2 Sand control Gravel pack model.....	80
Table 5-3 Prepacked optimized model	82
Table 5-4 Comparison between actual and optimized models (Δp skin)	85
Table 5-5 Comparison between actual and optimized models (IPR)	86
Table 5-6 Actual and optimized flowline size optimization models.....	92

List of Equations

Equation 2-1.....	5
Equation 2-2.....	7
Equation 2-3.....	7
Equation 2-4.....	35
Equation 2-5.....	36
Equation 2-6.....	37

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Pressure losses through Production System (David, 2015)	3
Figure 2.2 Gas Well Deliverability (David, 2015)	6
Figure 2.3 Inflow and Outflow Performance Curves (Montoya, 2018)	12
Figure 2.4 Simple IAM (Islam, 2013)	12
Figure 2.5 Types of Hydrates (Carroll, 2014).	18
Figure 2.6 Hydrate Curve for Natural Gas (Bratland, 2013).....	19
Figure 2.7 Effect of Methanol on Hydrates (Bratland, 2013)	19
Figure 2.8 Slug Flow (Weisman, 1983)	23
Figure 2.9 Pipeline Riser System (Sarica, 2000).....	24
Figure 2.10 Slotted Pipe (David, 2015).....	29
Figure 2.11 Wire Wrapped Screen (David, 2015).....	30
Figure 2.12 Resin Coated Sand Prepacked Screen (David, 2015)	30
Figure 2.13 Gravel Pack (Carlson, 1992).....	32
Figure 2.14 Types of Gravel Packs (Maduabuchi, 2017).....	33
Figure 2.15 Particle Size Distribution Plot (Reyes, 2018)	36
Figure 2.16 Sand Control Selection Criteria (Reyes, 2018).....	37
Figure 2.17 Gravel Size Selection according to Saucier's Criteria (Reyes, 2018)	38
Figure 4.1 West Khilala Field Map (El Khadragy, 2017)	47
Figure 4.2 Gas field data	51
Figure 4.3 Tank parameters	52
Figure 4.4 Water Influx Model.....	53
Figure 4.5 Relative Permeability	55
Figure 4.6 Production History	56
Figure 4.7 History Matching Analytical Method	56
Figure 4.8 History Matching Graphical Method	57
Figure 4.9 History Matching Energy Plot	57
Figure 4.10 History Matching WD function Plot.....	58
Figure 4.11 Production Simulation.....	58
Figure 4.12 Production Prediction.....	59
Figure 4.13 IPR well 1.....	60

Figure 4.14 IPR well 2.....	60
Figure 4.15 IPR well 4.....	61
Figure 4.16 VLP well 1	62
Figure 4.17 VLP well 2	62
Figure 4.18 VLP well 4	63
Figure 4.19 Well Completion Schematic well 1	68
Figure 4.20 Well Completion Schematic well 2	68
Figure 4.21 Well Completion Schematic well 4	69
Figure 4.22 Field model	70
Figure 4.23 West Khilala Production Performance (Mansoura Company)	71
Figure 5.1 Change in Tubing Size	73
Figure 5.2 Effect of Perforation.....	74
Figure 5.3 Choke Size Optimization-Well 1-Actual case	75
Figure 5.4 Choke Size Optimization-Well 1-Model case	75
Figure 5.5 Choke Size Optimization-Well 2-Actual case	76
Figure 5.6 Choke Size Optimization-Well 2-Model case	76
Figure 5.7 Choke Size Optimization-Well 4-Actual case	77
Figure 5.8 Choke Size Optimization-Well 4-Model case	77
Figure 5.9 Choke Size Optimization-Well 5-Actual case	78
Figure 5.10 Choke Size Optimization-Well 5-Model case	78
Figure 5.11 Grain size distribution.....	79
Figure 5.12 IPR Gravel Pack model.....	81
Figure 5.13 Sand control skin Gravel pack model	81
Figure 5.14 IPR Prepacked optimized model.....	83
Figure 5.15 Sand control skin Prepacked optimized model	84
Figure 5.16 Total skin Prepacked optimized model	84
Figure 5.17 Sand control skin for actual and optimized models	86
Figure 5.18 IPR comparison between actual and optimized model	87
Figure 5.19 Flowline Optimization Actual case 4"	88
Figure 5.20 Flowline plot 4".....	89
Figure 5.21 Flowline Optimization-Bottle necked.....	89
Figure 5.22 Flowline Optimization 2.5"	90
Figure 5.23 Flowline data plot 2.5"	90
Figure 5.24 Flowline Optimization 8"	91

Figure 5.25 Flowline data plot 8"	91
Figure 5.26 Actual and optimized flowline size optimization models	94

Nomenclature

AOF	Absolute Open Flow, MMSCFD
BaSO ₄	Barium sulfate
Bbl/d	Barrel per day
c	Performance coefficient MSCF/day/psi ²
CaCO ₃	Calcium carbonate
CAPEX	Capital expenditure
CaSO ₄	Calcium sulfate
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
FA	Flow Assurance
GAP	Petroleum Expert Network software
G _p	Cumulative gas production
GWC	Gas Water Contact
IAM	Integrated Asset Model
IPR	Inflow Performance Relationship
KCl	Potassium chloride
MBAL	Petroleum Expert Material Balance software
MDT	Modular formation dynamic tester
MEG	Mono Ethylene Glycol
MgCO ₃	Magnesium Carbonate
MMSCF	Millions standard cubic feet
n	Number of compressor stages
OPEX	Operating expense
P	Reservoir pressure
P&A	Plugged & Abandon
pH	Power of Hydrogen
ppm	Parts per million
P _R	Reservoir pressure
PROSPER	Petroleum Expert Production Performance software
P _s	Separator pressure