



Cairo University

IDENTIFICATION AND COMPARISON OF SOME NANOFILTRATION BASED SYSTEMS FOR BRACKISH WATER DESALINATION

By

Aya Naguib Mohamed Abd El-Ghany

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science
in
Chemical Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2019

IDENTIFICATION AND COMPARISON OF SOME NANOFILTRATION BASED SYSTEMS FOR BRACKISH WATER DESALINATION

By

Aya Naguib Mohamed Abd El-Ghany

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science
in
Chemical Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud A. El-Rifai

Professor of Chemical Engineering
Chemical Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Reem S. Ettouney

Professor of Chemical Engineering
Chemical Engineering Department Faculty
of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed H. Mohamed Sorour

Professor of Chemical Engineering
Chemical Engineering and Pilot Plant Department
National Research Center

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2019

IDENTIFICATION AND COMPARISON OF SOME NANOFILTRATION BASED SYSTEMS FOR BRACKISH WATER DESALINATION

By

Aya Naguib Mohamed Abd El-Ghany

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science
In
Chemical Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud A. El. Rifai , (Thesis Main Advisor)
Professor of Chem. Eng., Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Reem Sayed Ettouney, (Advisor)
Professor of Chem. Eng., Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Osama A. Ibrahim Metwali, (Internal Examiner)
Professor of Chem. Eng., Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Shadia Ragheb Tewfik, (External Examiner)
Prof. of Chemical Engineering, Pilot Plant, NRC

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2019

Engineer's Name: Aya Naguib Mohamed
Date of Birth: 6/3/1989
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Ayanaguib17@gmail.com
Registration Date: 1/10/2014
Awarding Date: / /2019
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Chemical Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El. Refai
Prof. Dr. Reem Sayed Ettouney
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hassan Mohamed Sorour

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud A. El-Rifai (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Reem Sayed Ettouney (Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Osama A. Ibrahim (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Shadia Ragheb Tewfik (External examiner)
(Prof. of Chemical Engineering, National research centre)

Title of Thesis:

Identification and comparison of some nanofiltration based systems for brackish water desalination

Key Words:

Brackish Water; Nanofiltration; Desalination; Egypt; Cost

Summary:

This study aims to evaluate nanofiltration based systems for treatment of brackish water according to performance and cost under Egyptian conditions. Commercial nanofiltration (NF) membranes vary in materials and pore radii resulting in wide variation in performance. Three commercial NF membranes commonly used namely: NF90, NF270 and ESNA were selected in this study. Evaluation was carried out using single stage and two stage systems. Nanofiltration process performance for selected water resources (TDS 1000-4000 ppm) in Egypt was predicted using both Donnan Steric Pore Model (DSPM) and membrane commercial software (Wave and IMS Design). NF membranes performance results indicate that membranes have the following order NF90>ESNA>NF270 regarding rejection efficiency. Increasing number of stages increases membrane system recovery which increases productivity and reduces reject stream capacity; however, increasing recovery decreases membrane rejection. A simple linear correlation was developed for quick selection of membrane type for a certain feed for 2-stage system with recovery 80% using data predicted from WAVE and IMSDesign software. Two pretreatment technologies including loose NF and zeolite adsorption were selected and investigated experimentally for hardness reduction to prevent scaling and enhance system recovery.

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Aya Naguib Mohamed

Date: / /2019

Signature:

Acknowledgement

Firstly I would like to thank Allah for giving me opportunity, determination and strength to do my research

I would like to thank my thesis supervisors, Prof. Dr. Mahmoud A. El-Rifai, Prof. Dr. Reem S. Ettouney and Prof. Dr. Mohamed H. Mohamed Sorour. Thank you for your time, scientific input, guidance, and continuous support during this thesis. Thanks Dr. Ghada A. Mohamed Al Bazed for your support.

Many thanks Prof. Dr. Heba Ahmed Hani for your assistance, guidance and for working with me in the later stage and experimental part of this thesis.

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER.....	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	VI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	IX
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XII
NOMENCLATURE	XIV
ABSTRACT	XVI
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1. Introduction	3
2.1.1. Water shortage problem	3
2.1.2. Desalination processes	4
2.2. Nanofiltration membranes overview	7
2.2.1. Comparison between NF and RO membranes	7
2.2.2. NF membranes applications.....	8
2.2.3. NF membrane module configurations.....	8
2.2.4. NF membrane structure and materials	10
2.2.5. Nanofiltration membrane characterization and performance.....	12
2.2.6. Factors affecting NF Separation performance	16
2.2.7. Membrane operating problems	17
2.3. Nanofiltration membrane modeling and simulation.....	20
2.3.1. Empirical models	20
2.3.2. Semi-empirical models.....	21
2.3.3. Physical models.....	22
2.4. Brackish water resources and quality in Egypt.....	28

2.4.1.	Brackish water resources in Egypt	28
2.4.2.	Brackish Water Quality	30
2.4.3.	Water quality index (WQI).....	35
2.5.	Nanofiltration membrane desalination process description	38
2.5.1.	Membrane train	38
2.6.	Nanofiltration membrane desalination process cost estimation	43
2.6.1.	NF membrane brackish water desalination process cost trends worldwide .	44
2.6.2.	Cost estimation methods.....	45
CHAPTER 3 : STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM.....		47
CHAPTER 4 : METHODOLOGY		49
4.1.	Quality assessment and selection of different brackish water sources in Egypt	50
4.1.1.	Quality assessment of different brackish water sources in Egypt	50
4.1.2.	Selection and quality assessment of brackish water sources analysis	51
4.2.	Membrane Performance Prediction.....	51
4.2.1.	Tools for performance prediction	52
a.	Donnan steric partitioning pore model	52
b.	Simulation Software	53
4.2.2.	Performance Validation	53
4.3.	Experimental work	54
4.3.1.	Zeolite adsorption	54
4.3.2.	Loose NF membrane	56
4.4.	Brackish water treatment economic assessment	58
CHAPTER 5 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		59
5.1.	Brackish water data analysis and quality assessment	59
5.1.1.	Groundwater (GW)	59
5.1.2.	Agricultural drainage water (ADW).....	62
5.1.3.	Quality assessment of selected brackish water cases	65
5.2.	Membranes performance for brackish water desalination	67
5.2.1.	Validation of published case studies	67
5.2.2.	NF membrane performance prediction for selected Groundwater cases in Egypt	74

5.2.3. NF membrane performance prediction for selected Agricultural Drainage Water cases in Egypt.....	82
5.2.4. Nanofiltration membrane recovery	92
5.2.5. Effect of feed TDS on separation performance and energy consumption.....	97
5.2.6. Linear empirical correlation.....	100
5.3. Experimental Results	102
5.3.1. Zeolite adsorption results	102
5.3.2. Loose NF membrane results.....	106
5.4. Brackish water desalination economic assessment	109
5.4.1. NF membrane unit cost	109
5.4.2. Formulated brackish water desalination schemes	110
5.4.3. NF membrane desalination schemes energy consumption	114
5.4.4. NF membrane desalination schemes cost	115
CHAPTER 6 : CONCLUSIONS	124
REFERENCES.....	126
ANNEX A: SOME BRACKISH WATER ANALYSIS IN EGYPT	137
ANNEX B: COST ESTIMATION EQUATIONS.....	152
ANNEX C: GROUND WATER QUALITY MAPS	154

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Pressure driven membrane main characteristics	5
Table 2-2: Characteristics of some commercial NF membranes	15
Table 2-3: Factors affecting NF Separation performance	16
Table 2-4: Fouling and scaling characterization	19
Table 2-5: Nanofiltration semi-empirical models	22
Table 2-6: Different ions molecular weight, stokes radius and diffusivity.....	25
Table 2-7: Volumetric charge density for different membranes using NaCl, Na ₂ SO ₄ and MgSO ₄ single salt solutions as a function of salt concentration.	26
Table 2-8: Volumetric charge density for different types of NF membrane using (0.01M) NaCl solution as a function of pH	26
Table 2-9: Groundwater aquifer systems TDS range in Egypt	30
Table 2-10: Water hardness rating	32
Table 2-11: Quality required for membrane process	32
Table 2-12: LSI ranges.....	33
Table 2-13: RSI ranges	33
Table 2-14: Corrosion Index ranges	34
Table 2-15: Weighted and un-weighted arithmetic water quality index calculation equations	36
Table 2-16: Comparison between some published water quality indices parameters ...	37
Table 2-17: Appropriate Pretreatment according to contaminant type.....	40
Table 2-18: Membrane pretreatment process alternatives	41
Table 2-19: Pretreatment alternatives removal effectiveness	42
Table 4-1: Water quality index (WQI) parameters and their weight	50
Table 4-2: Water quality index (WQI) rating	51
Table 4-3: Selected NF membranes specifications.....	51
Table 4-4: Membrane characterization parameters used in DSPM model	52
Table 4-5: Membrane volumetric charge equations constants	53
Table 4-6: Feed water analysis for selected cases from literature	54
Table 4-7: Synthetic feed water composition used in zeolite adsorption experiments..	55
Table 4-8: Adsorption experiments conditions.....	55
Table 4-9: Membrane NF2517/48 specifications and operating limits	57
Table 4-10: Synthetic feed water composition used in NF experiments	57
Table 4-11: Values used in cost estimation	58
Table 5-1: Feed water analysis for selected cases for groundwater in Egypt.....	65
Table 5-2: Feed water analysis for selected cases for agricultural drainage water in Egypt.....	66

Table 5-3: Quality of selected groundwater cases in Egypt	66
Table 5-4: Quality of selected agricultural drainage water cases in Egypt	66
Table 5-5: Membranes (NF90 and NF270) calculated charge density for validation cases.....	67
Table 5-6: Deviation between actual total rejection and calculated using (WAVE and IMSDesign) software and DSPM model.....	74
Table 5-7: NF90 and NF270 Membrane charge Data for selected GW cases	75
Table 5-8: NF90 and NF270 Membrane charge Data for selected agricultural drainage water cases in Egypt	82
Table 5-9: NF membrane energy and pumping costs for single and 2-stage system using WAVE software	109
Table 5-10: NF membrane capital, operating and unit costs for single and 2-stage system using WTCost software	110
Table 5-11: Suggested schemes rationale.....	113
Table 5-12: Energy consumption main equations and assumption.....	114
Table 5-13: Energy consumption for suggested schemes	115
Table 5-14: Published cost data.....	116
Table 5-15: Brackish water treatment schemes cost estimation using published cost data	116
Table 5-16: Brackish water desalination schemes cost estimation for feed GW2 (2000 ppm).....	118
Table 5-17: Brackish water desalination schemes cost estimation for feed GW3 (3700 ppm).....	118
Table 5-18: Reported Nanofiltration unit costs for different capacities.....	121
Table 5-19: Unit cost summary for selected schemes over TDS range (950-3700 ppm) (100,000m ³ /d).....	122
Table A-1: Wadi Watir Delta (arid Sinai Peninsula)	137
Table A-2: Eastern Nile Delta	138
Table A-3: Western Nile Delta.....	139
Table A-4: Dakhla Oasis (Egyptian western Desert)	142
Table A-5: North-east Cairo	143
Table A-6: Northwest Sinai.....	144
Table A-7: Central Eastern Desert	144
Table A-8: Ras El Hekma Northwestern Coast	145
Table A-9: Assiut city	146
Table A-10: the Nubia sandstone aquifer system in Tushka area, South Western Desert	147
Table A-11: Agricultural drainage water analysis area (from the west by Suez fresh water canal and from the east by the Bitter Lakes and Suez Canal)	148
Table A-12: Agricultural drainage water analysis for Suez Canal region.....	149
Table A-13: Water Quality of Agricultural Drains in Upper Egypt	150
Table A-14: Water Quality of Lake Mariout.....	151

Table A-15: Water Quality of Gharbia Drain	151
Table B-1: Cost Equation Coefficient for NF membrane system.....	152
Table B0-2: Intake system cost.....	153

List of figures

Figure 2-1: Water scarcity worldwide by 2025	4
Figure 2-2: Membrane module configurations	9
Figure 2-3: Thin film composite membrane	10
Figure 2-4: Effect of operating parameters on separation performance	17
Figure 2-5: Common membrane problems.....	18
Figure 2-6: Schematic diagram of the three domains considered for modeling NF mass transport.....	23
Figure 2-7: Groundwater aquifer system map in Egypt	28
Figure 2-8: Groundwater aquifer salinity map in Egypt	29
Figure 2-9: Water Quality index calculation steps	35
Figure 2-10: Membrane desalination process.....	38
Figure 4-1: Schematic diagram of loose NF membrane pilot plant	56
Figure 5-1: Total dissolved solids (TDS) distribution in groundwater areas in Egypt ..	60
Figure 5-2: Total hardness (TH) distribution in groundwater areas in Egypt	60
Figure 5-3: Water Quality index (WQI) distribution for groundwater in Egypt.....	61
Figure 5-4: Langelier Saturation Index (LSI) distribution for groundwater in Egypt....	61
Figure 5-5: Agricultural drainage water TDS in Egypt : A) Delta, B) Upper Egypt	62
Figure 5-6: Agricultural drainage water TDS distribution for and the area between West Suez canal and the Bitter Lakes.....	63
Figure 5-7: ADW total hardness distribution for Suez Canal region and the area between West Suez Canal to East by the Bitter Lakes	64
Figure 5-8: ADW WQI distribution for Suez Canal region and the area between West Suez Canal and the Bitter Lakes.....	64
Figure 5-9: ADW (LSI) distribution for West Suez fresh water canal and the area between West Suez canal and the Bitter Lakes and Suez Canal region.....	65
Figure 5-10: Actual and predicted membrane rejection and permeate TDS for case V1 using NF90 membrane	69
Figure 5-11: Actual and predicted membrane rejection and permeate TDS for case V2 using membranes (a) NF90 and (b) NF270	70
Figure 5-12: Actual and predicted membrane rejection and permeate TDS for case V3 using (a) NF90 and (b) NF270 membranes.....	71
Figure 5-13: Actual and predicted membrane rejection and permeate TDS for case V4 using NF90 membrane	72
Figure 5-14: Actual and predicted membrane rejection and permeate TDS for case V5 using (a) NF270 and (b) ESNA membranes.....	73
Figure 5-15: Membrane rejection and permeate TDS values for case GW1 using (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes	77

Figure 5-16 Membrane rejection and permeate TDS values for case GW2 using (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes.....	78
Figure 5-17 Membrane rejection and permeate TDS values for case GW3 using (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes.....	79
Figure 5-18 Membrane rejection and permeate TDS values for case GW4 using (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes.....	80
Figure 5-19: Effect of feed TDS of selected groundwater cases (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes	81
Figure 5-20 Membrane rejection and permeate TDS values for case ADW1 using (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes.....	85
Figure 5-21 Membrane rejection and permeate TDS values for case ADW2 using (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes.....	86
Figure 5-22 Membrane rejection and permeate TDS values for case ADW3 using (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes.....	87
Figure 5-23 Membrane rejection and permeate TDS values for case ADW4 using (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes.....	88
Figure 5-24 Membrane rejection and permeate TDS values for case ADW5 using (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes.....	89
Figure 5-25 Membrane rejection and permeate TDS values for case ADW6 using (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA membranes.....	90
Figure 5-26: Effect of feed TDS of selected agricultural drainage water cases on predicted membrane performance using membranes (a) NF90, (b) NF270 and (c) ESNA	91
Figure 5-27: Effect of membrane recovery on permeate TDS and rejection for 2-stage system using membranes (a) NF90 and (b) ESNA for different brackish water feeds..	93
Figure 5-28: Two stage NF90 membrane system rejection and permeate TDS comparison for feeds (a) GW2 and (b) GW3.....	94
Figure 5-29: Single stage system block diagram for selected cases used in cost estimation for feeds: (a) GW2 (2000 ppm) and (b) GW3 (3700 ppm).....	95
Figure 5-30: Two stage system block diagram for selected cases used in cost estimation for feeds: (a) GW2 (2000 ppm) and (b) GW3 (3700 ppm).....	96
Figure 5-31: Effect of GW feed TDS on NF90 membrane predicted performance and energy consumption using WAVE software for 2 stage system.....	98
Figure 5-32: Effect of ADW feed TDS on NF90 membrane predicted performance and energy consumption using WAVE software for 2-stage system	99
Figure 5-33: Correlation between feed TDS and permeate TDS for 2 stage NF system with 80% recovery	100
Figure 5-34: membrane pore radius versus correlation slope.....	100
Figure 5-35: Plotted software data with developed correlation using LAB Fit software	101
Figure 5-36: Calcium adsorption capacity from different solutions using zeolite adsorbent doses (0.5, 1 and 1.5g/l) at pH range (6.5-8.5).....	103

Figure 5-37: Calcium removal percentage from different solutions using zeolite adsorbent doses (0.5, 1 and 1.5g/l) at pH range (6.5-8.5)	104
Figure 5-38: Mg^{2+} adsorption capacity (mg/g) from $CaCl_2+MgCl_2+NaCl$ (50 ppm as Ca+50 ppm as Mg+1500 ppm NaCl) solution using zeolite adsorbent	105
Figure 5-39: Mg^{2+} (% Removal)) from $CaCl_2+MgCl_2+NaCl$ (50 ppm as Ca+50 ppm as Mg+1500 ppm NaCl) solution using zeolite adsorbent.....	105
Figure 5-40: Effect of feed pressure on permeates flux using membrane NF 2517/48	106
Figure 5-41: Effect of feed conc on permeate flux at 18 bar using membrane NF 2517/48.....	106
Figure 5-42: Feed and permeate conductivity at different feed concentrations	107
Figure 5-43: Calcium ion rejection for different feed TDS using membrane NF 2517/48 at pressure 18 bar.....	107
Figure 5-44: Magnesium ion rejection for different feed TDS using membrane NF 2517/48 at pressure 18 bar.....	108
Figure 5-45: Scheme I, Groundwater treatment system COD<10	112
Figure 5-46: Scheme II, Agricultural drainage water treatment system for COD<50ppm	112
Figure 5-47: Scheme III, Agricultural drainage water treatment system for COD <100ppm.....	112
Figure 5-48: Scheme IV, Agricultural drainage water treatment system for COD <150ppm.....	113
Figure 5-49: Scheme V, Agricultural drainage water treatment system for medium to high hardness with zero to minimum organics shock load.....	113
Figure 5-50: Cost breakdown for suggested schemes using published cost data: (a) capital cost, (b) operating cost.....	117
Figure 5-51: Cost breakdown for suggested schemes using WTCost software for feed GW2 (2000 ppm) single and 2-stage system.....	119
Figure 5-52: Cost breakdown for suggested schemes using WTCost software for feed GW3 (3700 ppm) single and 2-stage system.....	120
Figure 5-53: Effect of feed capacity on water unit production cost estimated by WTCost software for scheme I – 2-Stage system (80% recovery)	122
Figure C-1: Total dissolved solids (TDS) distribution map for groundwater in Egypt.....	151
Figure C-2: Water quality index (WQI) distribution map for groundwater in Egypt.....	151
Figure C-3: Langelier saturation index (LSI) distribution map for groundwater in Egypt.....	152
Figure C-4: Total Hardness (TH) distribution map for groundwater in Egypt.....	152