



BEHAVIOR OF POST-TENSIONED SLABS WITH OPENINGS

By

Ahmed Fakhr Mohamed Mohamed Ghozy

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

BEHAVIOR OF POST-TENSIONED SLABS WITH OPENINGS

By **Ahmed Fakhr Mohamed Mohamed Ghozy**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of: **Prof. Dr. Hany Ahmed Ali Abdalla**Professor of concrete structures

Structural Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Egypt

BEHAVIOR OF POST-TENSIONED SLABS WITH OPENINGS

By **Ahmed Fakhr Mohamed Mohamed Ghozy**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Approved by the

Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Hany Ahmed Aly Abdalla, Thesis Main Advisor Professor of Concrete Structures, Cairo University,

Prof. Dr. Akram M. A. Torkey, Internal Examiner Professor of Concrete Structures, Cairo University,

University,

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ali Hassan Abdelwahab, External Examiner Professor of Strength of Material - Housing & Building National Research Center, Egypt

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT

2019

Engineer's Name: Ahmed Fakhr Mohamed Mohamed Ghozy

Date of Birth: 04/03/1993 **Nationality:** Egyptian

E-mail: ahmadfakhr@hotmail.com

Phone: 01141619019

Address: Motmyez district, 6th October city, Giza

Registration Date: 1/10/2016
Awarding Date:/ 2019
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Structural engineering

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Hany Ahmed Aly Abdalla

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Hany Ahmed Aly Abdalla (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Akram M. A. Torkey (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ali Hassan Abdelwahab (External examiner)
Professor of Strength of Material - Housing & Building National

Research Center, Egypt

Title of Thesis:

Behavior of post-tensioned slabs with openings

Key Words:

post-tensioned; slabs; openings; RAM CONCEPT V6.0; ANSYS V19.0;

Summary:

This research is to study the behavior of post-tensioned slabs after applying openings at different locations of slab, in mid-span, at end of span and corner of slab. At these locations; different opening dimensions will be applied with different aspect ratios. All of the previously mentioned parameters will be applied on different slab types; simple slab, continuous slab with equal spans and continuous slab with unequal spans. ANSYS V19.0 FE model was verified against an experimental post-tensioned slab, then against FE RAM CONCEPT V6.0 model. The verified RAM CONCEPT V6.0 model was used afterwards in the parametric study and the results were based on these models are elaborated in this research. Based on the results, these parameters have significant effect on adding PT strands into a post-tensioned slab in order for stresses to stay within the allowable limit of the ACI-318 code.



Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Ahmed Fakhr Mohamed Mohamed Ghozy	Date://
Signature:	

Dedication

Dedicated for everyone that supported me thoroughly.

Acknowledgments

I want to express my deep gratitude for my advisor **Prof. Dr. Hany Abdalla** for advising me into doing a research about important topic concerning prestressing. Adding to that, his guidance, continuous support and follow ups to finish within given time.

I would like also to show my appreciation to fellow colleagues and engineers, who saved no effort to help me with their knowledge and experience, and wish them all the best.

Needless to say, my parents and brothers are my strongest emotional support through the process of acquiring my degree and in all difficult phases of life.

Table of Contents

Disclaimer		i
Dedication		ii
Acknowledgm	nents	iii
Table of conte	ents	iv
List of tables.		vi
List of figures	5	vii
Abstract		xi
CHAPTER 1	: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	Background	1
1.2.	Research objective	
1.3.	Thesis content	
CHAPTER 2	: LITERATURE REVIEW	2
2.1.	Introduction	2
2.2.	Opening effect on simply supported slab	2
2.3.	Opening effect on flat slab	
2.4.	Other issues	4
2.5.	Different Codes provisions for design of openings in slabs	8
2.6.	Prestressing principles	11
2.7.	ACI 318-14 prestressing provisions	12
CHAPTER 3	:NUMERICAL MODEL	13
3.1.	Introduction	13
3.2.	Finite element model	13
3.2.1.	SOLID65 [1]	13
3.2.2.	SOLID45 [1]	13
3.2.3.	LINK180 [1]	14
3.3.	Numerical model verification against experiment	15
3.4.	RAM CONCEPT V6 [2]	19
CHAPTER 4	: PARAMETRIC STUDY	21
4.1.	Introduction	21
4.2.	Studied parameters	21
4.2.1.	Simple slab (10mx10m)	24
4.2.1.1.	Stress	24
4.2.1.1.1.	Group I	
4.2.1.1.2.	Group II	
4212	Deflection	40

4.2.1.2.1.	Group I	40
4.2.1.2.2.	Group II	43
4.2.1.3.	Discussion of results	46
4.2.2.	Continuous slab with equal spans (30mx30m)	48
4.2.2.1.	Stress	48
4.2.2.1.1.	Group I	52
4.2.2.1.2.	Group II	57
4.2.2.2.	Deflection	63
4.2.2.2.1.	Group I	63
4.2.2.2.2.	Group II	66
4.2.2.3.	Discussion of results	69
4.2.3.	Continuous spans with unequal slab (24mx24m)	71
4.2.3.1.	Stress	71
4.2.3.1.1.	Group I	73
4.2.3.1.2.	Group II	81
4.2.3.1.3.	Comparison of different strands distribution for criti	cal cases.88
4.2.3.1.4.	Different panels with corner opening (3x3m)	90
4.2.3.2.	Deflection	92
4.2.3.2.1.	Group I	92
4.2.3.2.2.	Group II	95
4.2.3.3.	Discussion of results	98
CHAPTER 5	: CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOI	R FUTURE
	ES	
5.1.	Conclusions	102
5.1.1.	Simple slab type	
5.1.2.	Continuous slab with equal spans type	
5.1.2. 5.1.3.	Continuous slab with unequal spans type	
5.1.5. 5.2.	Recommendations for Future Researches	
CHAPTER 6	: REFERENCES	105

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Data for defining ANSYS V19.0 (1) finite element model	18
Table 4.1: Main two groups of openings dimensions	24
Table 4.2: Summary of slab behavior of middle-middle strip (zone 1-opening)	48
Table 4.3: Summary of slab behavior of middle-column strip (zone 2- opening)	49
Table 4.4: Summary of slab behavior of column-column strip (zone 3-opening)	50
Table 4.5: Main two groups of openings dimension	51
Table 4.6: Summary of slab behavior of middle-middle strip (zone 1-opening)	70
Table 4.7: Summary of slab behavior of middle-column strip (zone 2-opening)	70
Table 4.8: Summary of slab behavior of column-column strip (zone 3-opening)	71
Table 4.9: Main two groups of openings dimension	72
Table 4.10: Summary of slab behavior of middle-middle strip (zone 1-opening)	99
Table 4.11: Summary of slab behavior of middle-column strip (zone 2-opening)	100
Table 4.12: Summary of slab behavior of column-column strip (zone 3- opening)	101
Table 4.12: Summary of slab behavior of column-column strip (zone 3- opening)	
(continued)	102

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Simply supported slab with opening (7)	3
Figure 2.2: Opening cases in flat slab (9)	4
Figure 2.3: One reinforced concrete and two post tensioned flat plates with different	t
tendons layout (15)	6
Figure 2.4: Voided post-tensioned flat slab (17)	7
Figure 2.5: Opening in flat slabs According to ACI-318-14 (3) and CAN3-A23.3 (1	8).8
Figure 2.6: Openings in flat slabs according to British Code BS8110 (19)	9
Figure 2.7: Opening in flat slabs According to ECP 203-2018 (21)	10
Figure 2.8: Concrete stress distribution from eccentric prestress force and superimpe	osed
loads. (22)	11
Figure 3.1: Node location, Geometry and coordinate system of SOLID65 (1)	14
Figure 3.2: Node location, Geometry and coordinate system of SOLID45 (1)	14
Figure 3.3: Node location, Geometry and coordinate system of LINK180 (1)	15
Figure 3.4: Section view (11)	15
Figure 3.5: Plan view (11)	16
Figure 3.6: Boundary conditions at supports and applied force locations	16
Figure 3.7: Numerical model geometry, load spreaders and supports	
Figure 3.8: P. Desayi and S.Krishnan stress-strain relationship (23)	17
Figure 3.9: Strands stress-strain relationship	
Figure 3.10: Load-deflection relationship of specimen (TB1) experiment VS ANSY	
	19
Figure 3.11: Load-deflection relationship of RAM CONCEPT V6 program VS ANS	SYS
V19.0 (1) program FE model	
Figure 4.1: Simple slab	
Figure 4.2: Continuous slab with equal spans	22
Figure 4.3: Continuous slab with unequal spans	
Figure 4.4: Opening positions	
Figure 4.5: Simple slab (10mx10m)	
Figure 4.6: Tendons arrangement of control model	
Figure 4.7: Bottom stress of group I at mid-span	
Figure 4.8: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-MS-2	
Figure 4.9: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-MS-3	
Figure 4.10: Top stress of group I at mid-span	
Figure 4.11: Bottom stress of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.12: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-ES-1	
Figure 4.13: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-ES-2	
Figure 4.14: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-ES-3	
Figure 4.15: Top stress of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.16: Bottom stress of group I at corner of slab	
Figure 4.17: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-CS-3	
Figure 4.18: Top stress of group I at corner of slab	
Figure 4.19: Bottom stress of group II at mid-span (X-dir.)	
Figure 4.20: Bottom stress of group II at mid-span (Y-dir.)	
Figure 4.21: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-MS-31	
Figure 4.22: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-MS-32	
Figure 4.23: Top stress of group II at mid-span	
TIGOTO TIPOT TOP DECODE OF STORP II BE THE DUBLITHING THE TIPOTO TO THE TOP DECOMES THE TIPOTO TO THE TOP DECOMES THE TIPOTO THE TIP	· · · · · · ·

Figure 4.24: Bottom stress of group II at end of span	35
Figure 4.25: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-ES-31	35
Figure 4.26: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-ES-32	36
Figure 4.27: Top stress of group II at end of span	37
Figure 4.28: Bottom stress of group II at corner of slab	37
Figure 4.29: Top stress of group II at corner of slab	
Figure 4.30: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-CS-31	
Figure 4.31: Additional strands arrangement for case 10-CS-32	
Figure 4.32: Summary of bottom stress	
Figure 4.33: Summary of top stress	
Figure 4.34: Short term deflection of group I at mid-span	
Figure 4.35: Long term deflection of group I at mid-span	
Figure 4.36: Short term deflection of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.37: Long term deflection of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.38: Short term deflection of group I at corner of slab	
Figure 4.39: Long term deflection of group I at corner of slab	
Figure 4.40: Short term deflection of group II at mid-span	
Figure 4.41: Long term deflection of group II at mid-span	
Figure 4.42: Short term deflection of group II at end of span	
Figure 4.43: Long term deflection of group II at end of span	
Figure 4.44: Short term deflection of group II at corner of slab	
Figure 4.45: Long term deflection of group II at corner of slab	
Figure 4.46: Three categories of openings location	
Figure 4.47: continuous slab with equal spans (30mx30m)	
Figure 4.48: Tendons arrangement of control model	
Figure 4.49: Bottom stress of group I at mid-span	
Figure 4.50: Top stress of group I at mid-span	
Figure 4.51: Bottom stress of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.52: Additional strands arrangement for case 30-ES-2	
Figure 4.53: Additional strands arrangement for case 30-ES-3	54
Figure 4.54: Top stress of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.55: Bottom stress of group I at corner of slab.	
Figure 4.56: Top stress of group I at corner of slab	
Figure 4.57: Additional strands arrangement for case 30-CS-3	
Figure 4.58: Bottom stress of group II at mid-span	
Figure 4.59: Top stress of group II at mid-span	
Figure 4.60: Bottom stress of group II at end of span	
Figure 4.61: Top stress of group II at end of span	
Figure 4.62: Additional strands arrangement for case 30-ES-31	
Figure 4.63: Additional strands arrangement for case 30-ES-31	
Figure 4.64: Bottom stress of group II at corner of span	
Figure 4.65: Top stress of group II at corner of span	
Figure 4.66: Additional strands arrangement for case 30-CS-31	
Figure 4.67: Additional strands arrangement for case 30-CS-32	
Figure 4.68: Summary of top stress	
Figure 4.69: Summary of top stress	
Figure 4.70: Short term deflection of group I at mid-span	
Figure 4.71: Long term deflection of group I at mid-span	
Figure 4.72: Short term deflection of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.73: Long term deflection of group I at end of span	04

Figure 4.74: Short term deflection of group I at corner of slab	65
Figure 4.75: Long term deflection of group I at corner of slab	65
Figure 4.76: Short term deflection of group II at mid-span	66
Figure 4.77: Long term deflection of group II at mid-span	66
Figure 4.78: Short term deflection of group II at end of span	67
Figure 4.79: Long term deflection of group II at end of span	67
Figure 4.80: Short term deflection of group II at corner of slab	68
Figure 4.81: Long term deflection of group II at corner of slab	68
Figure 4.82: Three categories of openings location	69
Figure 4.83: Continuous slab with equal spans (24mx24m)	72
Figure 4.84: Tendons arrangement of control model	73
Figure 4.85: Bottom stress of group I at mid-span	74
Figure 4.86: Top stress of group I at mid-span	74
Figure 4.87: Bottom stress (x-direction) of group I at end of span	75
Figure 4.88: Bottom stress (y-direction) of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.89: Top stress (x-direction) of group I at end of span	76
Figure 4.90: Top stress (y-direction) of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.91: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-ES-1	
Figure 4.92: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-ES-2	
Figure 4.93: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-ES-3	
Figure 4.94: Bottom stress of group I at corner of slab	
Figure 4.95: Top stress of group I at corner of slab	
Figure 4.96: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-CS-1	
Figure 4.97: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-CS-2	
Figure 4.98: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-CS-3	
Figure 4.99: Bottom stress of group II at mid-span	
Figure 4.100: Top stress of group II at mid-span	
Figure 4.101: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-MS-31	
Figure 4.102: Bottom stress of group II at end-span	
Figure 4.103: Top stress of group II at end of span	
Figure 4.104: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-ES-31	84
Figure 4.105: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-ES-32	
Figure 4.106: Bottom stress of group II at corner of slab	86
Figure 4.107: Top stress (x-direction) of group II at corner of span	86
Figure 4.108: Top stress (y-direction) of group II at corner of span	
Figure 4.109: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-CS-31	
Figure 4.110: Additional strands arrangement for case 24-CS-32	
Figure 4.111: New approach additional strands distribution for case 24-ES-3	
Figure 4.112: New approach additional strands distribution for case 24-CS-3	
Figure 4.113: Additional strands arrangement for corner opening in edge panel	
Figure 4.114: Summary of bottom stress of group I	
Figure 4.115: Summary of top stress of group I	
Figure 4.116: Short term deflection of group I at mid-span	
Figure 4.117: Long term deflection of group I at mid-span	
Figure 4.118: Short term deflection of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.119: Long term deflection of group I at end of span	
Figure 4.120: Short term deflection of group I at corner of slab	
Figure 4.121: Long term deflection of group I at corner of slab	
Figure 4.122: Short term deflection of group II at mid-span	
Figure 4.123: Long term deflection of group II at mid-span	
۰	

Figure 4.124: Short term deflection of group II at end of span	96
Figure 4.125: Long term deflection of group II at end of span	
Figure 4.126: Short term deflection of group II at corner of slab	
Figure 4.127: Long term deflection of group II at corner of slab	
Figure 4.128: Three categories of openings location	

Abstract

A finite element analysis was performed to study the effect of openings on the behavior of post-tensioned slabs. The parameters considered include opening dimensions, aspect ratios and location. Three models were analyzed; simply-supported slab, continuous slab with equal spans, and continuous slab with unequal spans. The increase in stress due to the different opening application was compared to the allowable limits given by the ACI-318 code. In case theses stresses exceed such limits, prestressting strands were applied at the vicinity of the openings to keep the slab stresses within the allowable limits. Based on the results of this study, it is concluded that the governing factors of adding strands are opening location, opening size and type of slab. Furthermore, number of strands added after openings are applied depends also on the number of strands cut due to the opening.