



Cairo University

**ASSESSING THE DURABILITY OF CONCRETE
MADE OF LIMESTONE PORTLAND CEMENT
WITH ADDITIVES
(SILICA FUME –METAKAOLIN)**

By

MOHAMED AHMED MOHAMED IBRAHIM

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
Structural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2019

**ASSESSING THE DURABILITY OF CONCRETE
MADE OF LIMESTONE PORTLAND CEMENT
WITH ADDITIVES
(SILICA FUME –METAKAOLIN)**

By

MOHAMED AHMED MOHAMED IBRAHIM

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
Structural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Osama Abd El Ghafour Hodhod

.....

Professor of Properties and Strength of Materials
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2019

**ASSESSING THE DURABILITY OF CONCRETE
MADE OF LIMESTONE PORTLAND CEMENT
WITH ADDITIVES
(SILICA FUME –METAKAOLIN)**

By

MOHAMED AHMED MOHAMED IBRAHIM

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
Structural Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Osama A. Hodhod,

Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mahmoud Ragab,

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Osama Ramadan El Hariri,
Professor of Properties and Strength of Materials,
Shobra Faculty of Engineering, Benha University

External Examiner

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2019

Engineer's Name: Mohamed Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim
Date of Birth: 15/11/1992
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: eldow2020@gmail.com
Phone: +201006361894
Address: Fayoum city
Registration Date: 01/3/2017
Awarding Date: .../.../2019
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Structural Engineering
Supervisor: **Prof. Dr. Osama A. Hodhod**



Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Osama A. Hodhod (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mahmoud Ragab (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Osama Ramadan El Hariri (External examiner)
Professor of Properties and Strength of Materials,
Shobra Faculty of Engineering,
Benha University

Title of Thesis: **Assessing the durability of concrete made of limestone Portland cement with additives (silica fume –metakaolin)**

Key Words:

Limestone cement; silica fume; metakaolin; accelerated corrosion; permeability.

Summary:

The Portland limestone cement with the Mineral Admixtures (metakaolin and silica fume) were used in this research to study their impact on the durability of concrete and the corrosion of steel in reinforcement concrete. The corrosion of reinforcement steel in concrete is the main factor which decreases the durability of concrete and therefore decreases the age of the Buildings. The research aimed to increase durability of concrete by decreasing the concrete permeability. The results showed that the samples contains (CEM II, CEM II(SF5), CEM II(SF15) and CEM II(MK5)) improved the compressive strength when compared with (CEM I), the results of the corrosion test showed that the samples contains (CEM II(SF15), CEM II(SF15 + MK5) and CEM II(MK5)) improve resistance of the Reinforced concrete against the corrosion when compared with (CEM I) and for the permeability test the samples contains (CEM II.L, CEM II(SF15 +MK5), CEM II(SF15+MK5), CEM II(MK5) and CEM II(SF15) decrease the permeability of concrete when compared with (CEM I). It was concluded that the sample contains (CEM II (SF15)) (gave the highest results in the)compressive strength test , resistance of corrosion of steel in the reinforced concrete and also reduced concrete permeability) by percentages (16%, 35% and 31%) respectively when compared with the ordinary Portland cement (CEM I).

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Mohamed Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim

Date: / / 2019

Signature:

Dedication

I can't forget to mention **my father** and **my mother** for their contentious Doaa for me to success in my work, I also would like to thank **all my family** specially **Eng .Alaa Marouf**.

Acknowledgments

In the Name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful

First of all, I would like to thank **Allah** for his generosity in giving me the strength, knowledge and success to finish this research, overcome any obstacles and difficulties I faced during my work.

I am so thankful for my respectable supervisor **Prof Osama Hodhod**, Professor in Material Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University for his guidance, supervision, advices, encouraging, insightful discussions, knowledge and learning he gave me.

I would like to thank **Cairo University** for giving me the opportunity to do my research work in the Material Laboratory on the Faculty of Engineering.

I also would like to express my gratitude for **Dr Muhammad atef** for helping me in this research.

I should also thank the laboratory technicians and labors as they were very helpful during the experimental work.

Table of Contents

LIST OF TABLES	VI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VII
ABSTRACT.....	XI
1.1. GENERAL	1
1.2. RESEARCH SCOPE	2
1.3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	2
1.4. THESIS LAYOUT.....	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1. GENERAL	4
2.2. CORROSION OF REINFORCED CONCRETE.....	4
2.3. MINERAL ADMIXTURES	9
2.3.1 What is Silica fume?	9
2.3.2. What is Metakaolin?.....	14
2.4. PORTLAND-LIMESTONE CEMENT (CEMENT TYPE II).....	18
CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM	20
3.1. GENERAL	20
3.2. MATERIALS USED.....	20
3.2.1. Cement	20
3.2.1.1. CEM I (42.5 N).....	20
3.2.1.2. Portland-limestone (CEM II. L)	23
3.2.2. Aggregate	26
3.2.2.1. Coarse aggregate.....	27
3.2.2.2. Fine aggregate.....	28
3.2.3. Metakaolin.....	30
3.2.4. Silica fume.....	34
3.2.5. Chemical Admixture	36
3.2.6. Steel bars	37
3.3. TESTING PROGRAM	37
3.4. PREPARATIONS OF SAMPLES.....	39
3.5 TESTING:	46
3.5.1. Compressive Strength Test.....	46
3.5.2. Accelerated corrosion test	47
3.5.3. Permeability	51
CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	55

4.1. INTRODUCTION	55
4.2. PART ONE: COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH	55
4.2.1. Ordinary Portland cement	55
4.2.2. Portland limestone cement	57
4.2.3. Effect of adding silica fume to Portland limestone cement on compressive strength	58
4.2.4. The effect of adding metakaolin to Portland limestone cement on the compressive strength	61
4.2.5. The effect of adding Mixture of (silica fume and metakaolin) to Portland limestone cement on the compressive strength	64
4.3. PART TWO: DETERMINATION OF MAXIMUM REBAR'S DIAMETER LOSS DUE TO CORROSION TEST	72
4.3.1. Portland limestone cement	72
4.3.3. The effect of adding silica fume to Portland limestone cement on the corrosion test	73
4.3.4. The effect of adding metakaolin to Portland limestone cement	76
4.3.5. The effect of adding Mixture of (silica fume and metakaolin) to Portland limestone cement	79
4.3.6. Determination of Maximum Rebar weight loss due to Accelerated Corrosion ..	85
4.3.7. Determination of Maximum Rebar diameter loss due to Accelerated Corrosion	87
4.4.1. Permeability test	89
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	90
5.1. INTRODUCTION	90
5.2. CONCLUSIONS	90
5.3. RECOMMENDATIONS	93
REFERENCES	94

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Physical properties of CEM I.....	21
Table 3.2: Chemical properties of cement CEM I.....	21
Table 3.3: X-ray Diffraction Aanlysis CEM I.....	22
Table 3.4: X-ray Diffraction Aanlysis CEM I.....	22
Table 3.5: Physical properties of CEM II.....	24
Table 3.6: Chemical properties of CEM II.....	24
Table 3.7: X-ray Diffraction Aanlysis CEM II.....	25
Table 3.8: X-ray Diffraction Aanlysis CEM II.....	25
Table 3.9: Result of sieve analysis for coarse aggregate	27
Table 3.10: Result of sieve analysis for fine aggregate	28
Table 3.11: Properties of coarse aggregate and fine aggregate	29
Table 3.12: Xrf test chemical compositions of metakaolin.	30
Table 3.13: X-ray Diffraction Aanlysis Metakaolin.....	32
Table 3.14: X-ray Diffraction Aanlysis Metakaolin.....	33
Table 3.15: Xrf test chemical compositions of silica fume.	35
Table 3.16: Scheme of concrete mixtures of the four parts.....	38
Table 3.17: Mixing proportions of concrete mixtures	40
Table 3.18: Number of samples.....	53
Table 4.1: The compressive strength of the ten samples at ages (7,28and 56)	56
Table 4.2: The average compressive strength of the (CEM I, CEM II (sf5) and CEM II (SF10)) the at ages (7,28and 56) day.....	58
Table 4.3: The average compressive strength of the (CEM I,CEM II (MK5) and CEM II (MK10)) the at ages (7,28and 56) day	61
Table 4.4: The average compressive strength of the (CEM I, CEM II (SF5+MK5), CEM II (SF5+MK5) and CEM II(SF5+MK5)) the at ages (7,28and 56) day	64

List of Figures

Figure2.1: The impact of the corrosion in the reinforcement concrete	5
Figure2.2: Corrosion in reinforcement concrete.....	6
Figure2.3: Corrosion in concrete	6
Figure2.4: The corrosion operation in the reinforcement concrete	7
Figure 2.5: Silica fume production plant	9
Figure 2.6: Work of silica fume to fill pores	10
Figure 2.7 :(Sem) for silica fume.....	11
Figure 2.8 :(Sem) for the metakaolin.....	14
Figure 2.9: Manufacturing portland-limestone cement (cement type ii)	18
Figure 3.1: XRD Diagram of CEM I	24
Figure 3.2: XRD Diagram of CEM II	27
Figure 3.3: Metakaolin Particales	31
Figure 3.4: XRD Diagram of Metakaolin.....	33
Figure 3.5: Silica fume Particales.....	33
Figure 3.6: XRD Diagram of Silica fume.....	36
Figure 3.7: Pan mixer.	41
Figure 3.8: Mold For Concrete Samples (150x150x150) mm.....	41
Figure 3.9: Mold for cylinder samples (62mm diameter and 150mm height)	42
Figure 3.10: Vibrating for concrete cubics.....	42
Figure 3.11: Curing tanks	43
Figure 3.12: Coating steel bars by epoxy	44
Figures 3.13: Slump test.....	45
Figure 3.14: Compressive strength test	46
Figure 3.15: Accelerated Corrosion test setup.....	47
Figure3.16: Samples at the end of exposure to electric current.....	48
Figure 3.17: The samples after corrosion test	49
Figure 3.18: Steel rebars after the corrosion test	50
Figure 3.19: Test setup for permeability test.....	50

Figure 3.20: Splitting after the permeability test	52
Figure 3.21: Flow chart summarizing the experimental program	54
Figure 4.1: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I and CEM II) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day	57
Figure 4.2: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I,CEM II (SF5) and CEM II (SF15)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day	58
Figure 4.3: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I and CEM II (SF5)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day	59
Figure 4.4: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I, CEM II and cem ii (SF15)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day	60
Figure 4.5: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I, CEM II (SF5) and CEM II (SF15)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day	61
Figure 4.6: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I,CEM II and CEM II (MK5)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day	62
Figure 4.7: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I, CEM IIand CEM II (MK15)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day	63
Figure 4.8: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I,CEM II(SF5+MK5), CEM II (SF5+MK5) and CEM II (SF5+MK5)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day	65
Figure 4.9: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I, CEM II and CEM II (SF5+MK5)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day.....	66
Figure 4.10: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I, CEM II and CEM II (SF5+MK15)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day.....	67
Figure 4.11: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I, CEM II and CEM II(SF15+MK5)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day.....	68
Figure 4.12: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (CEM I, CEM II and CEM II (SF15+MK15)) at ages (7, 28 and 56) day.....	69
Figure 4.13: Comparison between the average compressive strength for.....	70
(all samples) at age` (7) days.....	70
Figure 4.14: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (all samples) at age (28) day.....	70
Figure 4.15: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (all samples) at age (56) day.....	71

Figure 4.16: Comparison between the average compressive strength for (all samples) at ages (7,28and 56) day	71
Figure 4.17: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion of steel for (CEM I and CEM II)	72
Figure 4.18: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for (CEM I, CEM II (SF5) and CEM II (SF15))	73
Figure 4.19: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for (CEM I and CEM II (SF5))	74
Figure 4.20: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for (CEM I and CEM II (SF15))	75
Figure 4.21: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for (CEM I, CEM II (MK5) and CEM II (MK15))	76
Figure 4.22: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for (CEM I and CEM II (MK5)).....	77
Figure 4.23: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for (CEM I and CEM II (MK15))	78
Figure 4.24: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for mixtures of (silica fume and metakaolin) to portland limestone cement.....	79
Figure 4.25: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for (cem i and (CEM II (SF5+MK5)	80
Figure 4.26: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for (CEM I and (CEM II(SF5+MK15)).....	81
Figure 4.27: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for (CEM I and (CEM II (SF5+MK5)).....	82
Figure 4.28: Comparison between the accelerated corrosion in steel for (CEM I and (CEM II (SF15+MK15)).....	83
Figure 4.29: Comparison between the averages accelerated corrosion for (all samples)....	84
Figure 4.30: The percentage of weight loss by the corrosion test	86
Figure 4.31: The percentage of diameter loss by the corrosion test	88
Figure 4.32: Average water depth after permeability test	89

Abstract

Concrete is the main material used in construction. The manufacturing of cement all over the world is increasing; the increasing in the production of ordinary Portland cement caused to increase of CO₂ gas emission. The emission of CO₂ leads to an increase in the environmental pollution. The Portland limestone cement with the Mineral Admixtures (metakaolin and silica fume) were used in this research to study their impact on the durability of concrete and the corrosion of steel in reinforcement concrete .the corrosion of reinforcement steel in concrete is the main factor which decrease the durability of concrete and therefore decrease the age of the Buildings. The research aimed to increase durability of concrete by decreasing the concrete permeability. The experimental program Consists of three groups of samples to test, The first group prepared for the compressive strength test as cubes with dimensions (150x150x150) mm, the second group is for the corrosion test as cylinder with dimensions (150) mm long and (50) mm diameter with Reinforcing steel $\Phi 10$, and the third group is for permeability test as cubes with dimensions (150x150x150) mm. the maximum rebars weight loss due to corrosion test and the maximum rebar's diameter loss due to corrosion test were calculated .The results showed that the samples contains (CEM II,CEM II(SF5),CEM II(SF15)and CEM II(MK5)) improved the compressive strength when compared with (CEM I), the results of the corrosion test showed that the samples contains (CEM II(SF15),CEM II(SF15 + MK5)and CEM II(MK5)) improve resistance of the Reinforced concrete against the corrosion when compared with (CEM I) and for the permeability test the samples contains (CEM II.L, CEM II(SF15 +MK5), CEM II(SF15+MK5),CEM II(MK5) and CEM II(SF15) decrease the permeability of concrete when compared with (CEM I). It was concluded that the sample contains (CEM II (SF15)) gave the highest results in the (compressive strength test , resistance of corrosion of steel in the reinforced concrete and also reduced concrete permeability) by percentages (16%, 35% and31%) respectively when compared with the ordinary Portland cement (CEM I).

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. General

Concrete is the most utilized construction material in today's world .this is mainly due to its superior compressive strength .however, it is classified as brittle material. Steel is used in reinforced concrete to overcome the brittle nature of concrete.

Manufacturing of cement, the binding agent in concrete, is a serious environmental problem because it causes the release of large amount of CO₂ which is a major contributor to greenhouse effects. Cement dust causes serious health problems to inhabitants of neighboring areas.

Durability of concrete is the ability to resist weathering action, chemical attack, abrasion, or any other process of deterioration” .That's what The American Concrete Institute (ACI) said to define the durability of concrete there are a number of factors that affect the durability of concrete such as compressive strength, (w/c) ratio, thermal cracking and permeability. The Most effective factor is concrete permeability. Permeability of concrete is a result of rising of capillary water. External solutions percolate through concrete pores to reach steel reinforcement. If enough chlorides, moistures oxygen reach steel, corrosion will start. The permeability passes the chemical to the entire of concrete this cause's chemical reaction in the reinforcement concrete. From this we conclude that permeability is a factor in the reducing the service life of buildings. [1]

Corrosion of steel is the most serious problem facing the reinforced concrete. Steel corrosion causes a decrease of the RC section capacity .Corrosion of reinforced concrete causes loss of bond between steel bars and surrounding concrete leading to the removal of concrete cover. The corrosion of reinforced concrete will decrease the service life of the structures. To effectively, reduce the adverse effect of reinforcement corrosion on structural safety and economy; concrete permeability must be enhanced. Assessment of concrete performance in aggressive media can be done using different ways. In this research, impressed current is utilized to induce reinforcement corrosion. This accelerated corrosion method has proven to give reliable results.