# Role of Venous Pressure Monitoring as Predictor of High Flow Access in Prevalent Hemodialysis Patients

#### A Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of MD degree in **Internal Medicine** 

By

#### **Hend Reda Mohammed**

Master degree – Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

## **Prof. Dr. Gamal El Sayed Mady**

Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

#### **Dr. Tamer Wahid El Said**

Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

### Dr. Ashraf Hassan Abd El Mobdy

Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Nephrology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2019





First and foremost, I feel always indebted to Allah, the Most Beneficent and Merciful who gave me the strength to accomplish this work,

My deepest gratitude to my supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Gamal El Sayed Mady,** Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his valuable guidance and expert supervision, in addition to his great deal of support and encouragement. I really have the honor to complete this work under his supervision.

I would like to express my great and deep appreciation and thanks to **Dr. Tamer Wahid El Said**, Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, and his patience in reviewing and correcting this work.

I must express my deepest thanks to **Dr. Ashraf Hassan Abd El Mobdy,** Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Nephrology,
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for guiding me
throughout this work and for granting me much of his time. I
greatly appreciate his efforts.

Special thanks to my **Parents**, all members of my **Family** and my Friend **Dr. Hadeel** for their continuous encouragement, enduring me and standing by me.

🖎 Hend Reda Mohammed

# **List of Contents**

Subject Pag	je No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	v
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	6
Vascular access	7
High flow access	38
Management of high flow AV access	65
Hemodialysis vascular access surveillance & Rol of venous pressure in monitoring vascular access flow	
Patients and Methods	89
Results	92
Discussion	99
Conclusions	111
References	113
Arabic Summary	

#### **List of Abbreviations**

## Abbr. Full-term

Qa : Access blood flow

**AVF** : Arterio-venous fistula

**AVG** : Arterio-venous graft

**BUN** : Blood urea nitrogen

**CF** : Cardiac failure

**CI** : Cardiac index

**CO** : Cardiac output

**CPR** : Cardio-pulmonary recirculation

**CVCs** : Central venous catheters

**CAS** : Cephalic arch stenosis

**CKD** : Chronic kidney disease

**CDU** : Color Doppler Ultrasound

**CDI** : Color duplex imaging

**DASS** : Dialysis Associated Steal Syndrome

**DASS**: Dialysis Associated Steal Syndrome

**DHIS**: Distal Hypo-perfusion Ischemic Syndrome

**DRIL** : Distal revascularization interval ligation

**EF** : Ejection fraction

**ESRD** : End stage renal disease

**ET-1** : Endothelin-1

**HD** : Hemodialysis

**HFM**: Hemodialysis Fistula Maturation

**HOCF**: High Output Cardiac Failure

**HFA**: High-flow access

**JVP** : Jugular venous pressure

**K/DOQI**: Kidney Dialysis Outcomes Quality Initiative

**LVH** : Left ventricular hypertrophy

**LVMi** : LV mass index

**MRA** : Magnetic resonance angiography

**mPAP** : Mean pulmonary artery pressure

**NKF** : National Kidney Foundation

**NSF** : Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis

NO : Nitric oxide

**PTA** : Percutaneous balloon angioplasty

**PD** : Peritoneal dialysis

**PDE5i** : Phospho-diesterase 5 inhibitors

**PTFE** : Poly-tetra-fluoroethylene

**PH**: Pulmonary hypertension

**PVR** : Pulmonary vascular resistance

**Qa/CO** : Cardio-pulmonary ratio

**RUDI** : Revision using distal inflow

**sDVP** : Standardized dynamic venous pressure

**SVP** : Static venous pressure

**SPSS** : Statistical software package

**SVR** : Systemic vascular resistance

**URR** : Urea reduction ratio

VA : Vascular access

**WSS** : Wall shear stress

# **List of Tables**

Table N	o. Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Basic demographics for the study col	hort 92
<b>Table (2):</b>	Baseline and follow up study parame	eters93
<b>Table (3):</b>	<b>a:</b> Correlation between baseline VP (aSVP, sDVP) and baseline AVF flow	
	<b>b.</b> Correlation between venous pressor (VP) (SVP, aSVP, sDVP) and AVF after six months (follow up)	flow
<b>Table (4):</b>	Basic demographics for both study g	roups 96
<b>Table (5):</b>	Group comparison (VP, flow, URR)	97

# **List of Figures**

Figure No	o. Title	Page No.
_	Illustrative algorithm of vascular acconstitute radio-cephalic arteriovenous	fistula
	for hemodialysis, with latero-to- anastomosis	
Figure (3):	Synthetic axillo-axillary graf polytetrafluoroethylene material	
Figure (4):	Photograph of neck in a malno patient demonstrating surface anatom	
Figure (5):	Ischemic hand and necrosis of the fir a patient with a brachio-cephalic fistu	_
Figure (6):	An illustration of the technique us flow reduction in high-flow fistulas	
Figure (7):	Example of a mega-fistula	49
Figure (8):	Large arterial anastomosis, low-resarteriovenous fistula, and a hyper-taproximal brachial artery are characteristical of high-flow fistulae	rophied eteristic
Figure (9):	Steal syndrome in a brachiocephalic with distal hypoperfusion	
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Two options for revision brachiocephalic arteriovenous fistula with associated steal syndrome	(AVF)
<b>Figure</b> (11):	Illustration of proximalization arterial inflow technique	

<b>Figure (12):</b>	Large arterial anastomosis, low-resistance arteriovenous fistula, and a hypertrophied proximal brachial artery are characteristic of high-flow fistulae	7
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Tunneling a suture around a high-flow arteriovenous fistula using the MILLER banding technique	
<b>Figure</b> (14):	The team approach for managing a patient's vascular access	3
<b>Figure (15):</b>	Graphic representation of the hemodialysis circuit and dialysis arteriovenous access 79	9
<b>Figure (16):</b>	Scatter plot showing correlation between unadjusted SVP and AVF flow (Qa)95	5
<b>Figure (17):</b>	ROC Curve showing sensitivity and specificity	3

#### **Abstract:**

<u>Background:</u> Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI) guidelines suggest that high intra access pressure may be regarded as a surrogate for arteriovenous fistula (AVF) outflow stenosis. It can be measured using static venous pressure (sVP) or standardized dynamic venous pressure (dVP). Access blood flow (Qa) measurement is also recommended by (K/DOQI) as the preferred method for access surveillance, but it is not readily available at all dialysis facilities. We hypothesized that in absence of clinical signs of stenosis, venous pressure(both dynamic &static) can be used as predictor of AVF Qa: a simple and useful screening technique that can be used to detect fistulae with inappropriately high Qa (>1500ml/min). High Qa fistulae may compromise cardiac function, and may require an endovascular or surgical intervention to guard against heart failure.

<u>Methods:</u> A prospective cohort study conducted on 59 chronic hemodialysis patients at Ain Shams University hospital. Inclusion criteria: patients with autogenous AVF. Exclusion criteria: clinical signs of AVF outflow stenosis (physical evaluation was done for localized edema/collaterals, jerky pulsations and hand elevation tests); and/or ultrasonic evidence of AVF stenosis or thrombosis. <u>Measurements:</u> standardized dVP (fistula needle gauge 16", and dialysis machine pump speed set at 200ml/min for 5 minutes) ,while static measurement (P) will be from arterial needle exactly 30 seconds after stopping blood flow. All measures of AVF Qa were obtained by means of Color Doppler Ultrasound using Mindray-M5 ultrasound system. All the investigations were performed for all patients at baseline and were repeated after six months for follow up.

Results: This study included 59 chronic hemodialysis patients with 35 males (59.3 %) and 24 females (40.7%) with mean age  $54.41\pm13.057$  years. Twenty six subjects (44.1 %) had distal AV fistula while thirty three subjects (55.9%) had proximal AVFs. Mean value of baseline AVF Flow (Qa,) was  $1.327\pm0.847$ , while baseline DVP, SVP & adjusted SVP were  $95.10\pm35.807$ ,  $13.10\pm21.7$  &  $0.015\pm0.0496$  respectively.

Patients with high access flow (>1.5 L/m) were 16 patients. Baseline results show that, their mean blood flow was  $2.179 \pm 0.681$ , with mean duration 53.75 months, and mean DVP, adjusted SVP to blood pressure& SVP were 103.13, 0.022 & 17.19 respectively. Their mean URR was 0.701. Although there was a significant correlation between AVF flow & SVP with P value 0.027, but the evaluation of the test (the svp) using roc curve demonstrated Weak sensitivity and specificity making the test not suitable for screening.

<u>Conclusion</u>: our study demonstrated that surveillance of venous pressure readings obtained from hemodialysis machine detectors do not help to diagnose patients with high flow AVF ( $Qa \ge 1500 \text{ml/min}$ ).

Key words: Venous Pressure, High Flow Access Prevalent Hemodialysis

## Introduction

The patient's vascular access is often referred to as their "lifeline," and without it, the life-sustaining treatment of hemodialysis would not be possible (Vachharajani, 2010).

To maintain the access, patency depends on diagnostic accuracy and active and timely interventions. Complications related to the vascular access are the leading cause of hospitalization for the hemodialysis patient. Preventing the development of complications can reduce morbidity, improve quality of life, and reduce the costs of health care in the dialysis population (Lee et al., 2002).

Many of the problems that occur in association with the patient's vascular access can be detected by physical examination and clinical evaluation (**Besarab et al., 1995**).

The Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative work group stated that "physical examination and clinical evaluation are skills that can be as valuable as any surveillance method. A brief physical examination of the patient's access should always be performed prior to each dialysis treatment. The physical exam should include, but is not limited to:

- Visual inspection of the access (for signs of infection)
- Palpa6tion to assess for evidence of stenosis or thrombosis

 Auscultation with a stethoscope to identify any changes in the bruit.

The patient should also be asked about any abnormal or unusual occurrences specifically involving the access that may have been experienced between dialysis treatments—bleeding, swelling, bruising, redness, drainage, pain, a change in the thrill (Workgroup, NKF-KDOQI Vascular Access, 2006).

The hemodialysis access has made it possible for chronic kidney failure patients to receive long term outpatient treatment (Amerling et al., 2011). Although complications of clotted catheters and infected expanded polytetrafluoroethylene grafts overshadow the day-to-day workings of the hemodialysis unit, a high-flow access likely will go unnoticed. It is not until complaints of Dialysis Associated Steal Syndrome (DASS), or an aneurysm has enlarged to the point of eventual rupture, that notice is taken. Too often, repeat episodes of congestive heart failure are considered to be cardiac and go unrecognized as a problem related to a hyper-functioning access (Goel et al., 2006).

The Kidney Dialysis Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI) recommend native arteriovenous fistula (AVF) to be the vascular access of choice for hemodialysis due to its longevity, lower complications, low mortality rate than catheters (Allon & Robbin, 2002). However, a hyper-

functioning AVF; with flows exceeding 2000 ml/min in most cases may actively promote cardiac overload resulting in increased cardiovascular morbidity (Vaes et al., 2014). Once recognized by Doppler ultrasound, flow should be reduced to prevent impending cardiovascular complications (Miller & Hwang, 2012). Cardiac failure resulting from high-flow vascular access can be treated successfully with surgery (Tellioglu et al., 2008).

The ideal hemodialysis access functions with just enough flow to prevent thrombosis while maximizing dialysis efficiency. A useful, although arbitrary, guideline for ranges of blood flow within a typical dialysis access are the following: low (600 mL/min), normal (600-1500mL/min), and high (1500-4000 mL/min) categories (**Bourquelot et al., 2001**).

Flow-related problems are patient specific and mostly are unrecognized because there is very little correlation with symptoms. A low-flow access can cause both DASS and cardiac overload, depending on the degree of pre-existing systemic vascular disease and cardiac dysfunction. Conversely, a high-flow access causes neither DASS nor cardiac overload symptoms (Goel et al., 2006).

Thus far, proposed treatments are based entirely on clinical symptoms rather than attempts to normalize access flow. A lack of prospective data to support flow reduction and the fear of access loss resulting from intervening on an otherwise, well-functioning access, compounds the resistance to address high-flow accesses.

Retrospective data and numerous anecdotes suggest a significant benefit in proactive management.

Currently, K/DOQI guidelines suggest that high intra access pressure may be regarded as a surrogate for arteriovenous fistula (AVF) outflow stenosis. It can be measured using static venous pressure (SVP) or standardized dynamic venous pressure (dVP). Though measurement of vascular access blood flow (Qa) is recommended as the preferred method of surveillance for AVF; however, this method is not readily available at all dialysis facilities (National Kidney Foundation, 2000).

AVF outflow stenosis is thought to increase intra-access venous pressure and will eventually result in progressive decline in blood flow, hence the rationale behind KDOKI recommendations for venous pressure measurements. However, presence of venous outflow stenosis may eventually interfere with AVF hemodynamics and blood flow. Thus, we hypothesized that in absence of clinical signs or ultrasonic