

“Stress distribution of screw retained hybrid abutment crowns with different abutment connections”

A thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the PhD of Science in Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of
Dentistry, Ain Shams University

By

Mohamed Samy Abd El Samie Ibrahim Zaky

BDS Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University (2010)

Faculty of dentistry

Ain shams university

2020

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Tarek Salah El-Din Mohamed Morsi

Professor and head of Fixed Prosthodontics department, Ain
Shams University

Dr. Ayman Galal El Dimery

Lecturer in Fixed Prosthodontics department, Ain Shams
University

Dr. Soha Osama Nabih

Lecturer in Fixed Prosthodontics department, Ain Shams
University

Acknowledgment

*No words can express my deepest thanks and sincere gratitude as well as appreciation to **Dr. Tarek Salah Morsi**, professor, mentor and head of the fixed prosthodontics department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. From finding an appropriate subject in the beginning to the process of writing the thesis, his valuable advice, devoted effort and unique cooperation, will always be deeply remembered. This work could have never been completed without his extraordinary assistance and sincere guidance.*

*The good advice, support and friendship of **Dr. Soha Osama**, lecturer at fixed prosthodontics department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University has been invaluable on both an academic and a personal level, for which I am extremely grateful. her devoted effort, close supervision and remarkable help are highly appreciated.*

Last, but not least, deepest thanks to my dear professors, colleagues and staff members of Fixed prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for their great support, encouragement and cooperation.

Dedication

This work is dedicated to

My dear family.

Without them, I will not be standing

where I am today

Contents

<i>LIST OF FIGURES</i>	I
<i>LIST OF TABLES</i>	VIII
<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	1
<i>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</i>	3
<i>STUDY OBJECTIVES</i>	28
<i>MATERIALS AND METHODS</i>	29
<i>STATISTICAL ANALYSIS</i>	73
<i>RESULTS</i>	75
<i>DISCUSSION</i>	125
<i>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</i>	134
<i>REFERENCES</i>	29
<i>ARABIC SUMMARY</i>	

List of Figures

Figure 1 IPS E.max CAD block.....	30
Figure 2 Katana UTML zirconia blank.....	31
Figure 3 Vita Enamic block.....	33
Figure 4 Monobond plus.....	34
Figure 5 Multilink hybrid abutment resin cement	35
Figure 6 Implants used in the study; Internal hexagon connection (top left), Conical connection (top right), STL for internal hex connection implant, STL for conical connection implant	36
Figure 7 merged scan body and implant body in 3matic software	39
Figure 8 New file was opened, selecting upper left first premolar as the target tooth	40
Figure 9 Selecting anatomical crown as target design, selecting implant-based restoration, and adjusting cement gap to 60µm.....	40
Figure 10 Importing the implant STL file to design software with the scan body attached to it.....	41
Figure 11 After selecting the implant manufacturer from the library, selecting a point as indicated will automatically align the virtual marker (orange) with the scan body attached to it.....	42
Figure 12 Virtual implant analogue with the Ti base attached to it.....	43
Figure 13: loading a premolar tooth from the teeth library in the design software, positioning, resizing to the required dimensions.....	43
Figure 14 Crown with the desired dimensions (lateral view)	44
Figure 15 Crown with the desired dimensions (occlusal view).....	44
Figure 16 Position of screw channel.....	45
Figure 17 finalizing screw channel hole design.....	45

Figure 18 Merged crown design	46
Figure 19 New file for conical connection crown	47
Figure 20 Parameters as the hex connection crown design	48
Figure 21 Importing the hex crown design as a preoperative model for correct positioning of the new crown.....	49
Figure 22 Positioning the new crown according to the position of the initial design.....	49
Figure 23 Adapting the crown to the preop imported for identical design of the two crowns.....	50
Figure 24 Finalizing design	50
Figure 25 Bone structure longitudinal section	52
Figure 26 STLs of different components of implant system; cement layer (top left), Ti base (top middle), implant body (top right), screw (bottom left), all implant components (bottom right)	53
Figure 27 Effect of remeshing, original STL of bone (left), remeshing of bone (right).....	53
Figure 28 effect of remeshing on Ti base, original (left), after remeshing (right)	54
Figure 29 Creating volume mesh, Whole components (left), Close up (right)	55
Figure 30 Assigning the base of cortical bone to be fixed in all directions	56
Figure 31 Nodes (pink dots) used for central fossa load; Close up view (left), general view (right).....	57
Figure 32 Nodes (pink dots) used for oblique load; Close up view (left), general view (right).....	58
Figure 33 Vita Enamic finishing and polishing kit.....	61

Figure 34 Firm Sponge cut into desired dimensions	62
Figure 35 Additional Silicone mold.....	63
Figure 36 Implant fixed with cyanoacrylate cement.....	63
Figure 37 Technovit 4000	64
Figure 38 Mold around implant	65
Figure 39 First pour of bone analogue	65
Figure 40 Strain gauge tip is fixed in place and second mix is poured ...	65
Figure 41 strain gauge tips fixed on surface of bone analogue.....	67
Figure 42 Tips attached to buccal, palatal and apical areas of bone substitute	67
Figure 43 Another view of bone substitute.....	68
Figure 44 Seating pressure for bonding procedure; Initial insertion (left), seating load (right)	69
Figure 45 Complete light curing for the resin cement	70
Figure 46 Axial load applied to central fossa of hybrid abutment crown	70
Figure 47 Special made attachment for 30 oblique loading	72
Figure 48 Oblique load on the palatal slope of buccal cusp	72
Figure 49 Color coded bar for Von Mises stresses analysis	75
Figure 50 FEA analysis of IPS e.max CAD conical connection crown with axial loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone	77

Figure 51 FEA analysis of Katana UTML zirconia conical connection crown with axial loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone79

Figure 52 FEA analysis of Vita Enamic conical connection crown with axial loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone , (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone.....81

Figure 53 FEA analysis of IPS e.max CAD conical connection crown with oblique loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone83

Figure 54 FEA analysis of Katana UTML zirconia conical connection crown with oblique loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses

on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone86

Figure 55 FEA analysis of Katana UTML zirconia conical connection crown with oblique loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone90

Figure 56 FEA analysis of IPS e.max CAD internal hex crown with axial loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone.....93

Figure 57 FEA analysis of Katana UTML zirconia internal hex crown with axial loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment

crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone96

Figure 58 FEA analysis of Vita Enamic internal hex crown with axial loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone.....99

Figure 59 FEA analysis of IPS e.max CAD internal hex crown with oblique loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone102

Figure 60 FEA analysis of Katana UTML zirconia internal hex crown with oblique loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone106

Figure 61 FEA analysis of Vita Enamic internal hex crown with oblique loading. (A) overall von mises stresses on the hybrid abutment crown, (B)Stresses on the cement layer, (C) Stresses on the Ti base, (D) stresses on the screw, (E) Overall stresses on bone, (F) overall stresses on the implant fixture, (G) Cross section of stresses on the cancellous bone, (H) overall stresses on the hybrid abutment crown and all implant components, (I) Cross section of stresses on compact bone.....	109
Figure 62 Bar chart showing values of von Mises stress (Mpa) in samples with internal hex connection.....	111
Figure 63 Bar chart showing values of von Mises stress (Mpa) in samples with conical taper connection	112
Figure 64 Box plot showing stress ($\mu\text{m}/\text{m}$) in IPS E.max CAD samples	113
Figure 65 Box plot showing stress ($\mu\text{m}/\text{m}$) in cubic Zirconia samples .	114
Figure 66 Box plot showing stress ($\mu\text{m}/\text{m}$) in Vita Enamic samples	114
Figure 67 Bar chart showing average stress ($\mu\text{m}/\text{m}$) for different variables (A)	123
Figure 68 Bar chart showing average stress ($\mu\text{m}/\text{m}$) for different variables (B)	124

List of tables

Table 1: Standard composition of IPS e.max CAD	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 2 Chemical composition of Vita Enamic.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3 Number of nodes and elements.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 4 Elastic modulus and Poisson ratio of different materials	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 5 recommended crystallization and glazing cycle for IPS E.max CAD restorations.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 6 Sintering instructions for UTML Zirconia restorations...	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 7 Values of von Mises stress (Mpa).....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 8 Descriptive statistics of stress ($\mu\text{m}/\text{m}$).....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 9 Effect of different variables and their interactions on stress ($\mu\text{m}/\text{m}$)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 10 Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of stress ($\mu\text{m}/\text{m}$) for different variables	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Introduction

An implant abutment is an intermediate part between the implant and the restoration and is retained to the implant by a locking taper and a screw. Implant abutments can usually be separated from the implant, but in some cases, they may form part of the implant itself.

Implant abutments effectively help form the restorative part of the implant treatment. The retention, stability, support, and ideal position of the final restoration are provided by the abutment.

Implant abutments are connected to the implant fixture through several types of connections; external connections and internal connections. The most commonly used in internal connections are the internal hexagon (hex) and conical connections. Some variations arise from the conical connection according to the degree of conical taper such as the pure conical connection known as the Morse taper and those with less taper degrees and need screw retention.

Implant abutments are generally either prefabricated by implant manufacturer companies or custom made in a dental laboratory ⁽¹⁾. A prefabricated abutment is machine-made; it may be picked directly by an implant or fixture level impression or it may be directly adapted to an existing platform and pressed as a conventional crown. In order to fabricate a custom abutment, an implant or fixture level impression is taken of the implant platform with the help of an impression coping.

Another recently introduced concept type of abutments are the hybrid abutment crowns in which the custom abutment is developed as a

final restoration in function with an embedded screw channel to access the screw that hold the hybrid abutment crown in place.

Many materials are used to fabricate the hybrid abutment crowns such as lithium disilicate, different types of zirconia and hybrid ceramics that incorporate resin into the ceramic structure, but little is known about the stresses that are transmitted through different materials to the implant body and subsequently, the surrounding bone.

The most important reason to investigate the stress distribution in abutments and micro strain in crestal bone around implants is the possibility to provide enough information for implant planning, optimizing the implant installation in areas with different bone characteristics ⁽²⁾. Despite this, masticatory overload is one of the primary factors for fractures and dental implant loss ⁽³⁾. During the prosthetic phase of implant treatment, the choice between different materials with different elastic modulus can generate different stress and strain values in the implant and peri-implant bone ⁽⁴⁻⁶⁾.