Assessment of Fracture Strength of Endocrowns Using Different Ceramic Materials And Preparation Designs

A thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of the PHD Degree requirements in fixed prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry,
Ainshams University

Ahmed Mohsen

Masters degree holder 2015
Assistant Lecturer Fixed Prosthodontics Department,
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

2019

Dr. Amina Hamdy

Professor, Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmad Khaled Abo El-Fadl

Assistant professor, Fixed Prosthodontics Department,

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ghada Abdel Fattah

Lecturer, Fixed Prosthodontics Department,

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Acknowledgment

No words can express my deepest thanks and sincere gratitude as well as appreciation to *Dr. Tarek Salah Morsi*, Professor and head of department of fixed prosthodontics department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. His valuable advice, devoted effort and unique cooperation, will always be deeply remembered.

My sincere thanks also go to *Dr Amina Hamdy*, professor at fixed prosthodontics department, Ain Shams university who provided me with every support and helped me through every part in my clinical and academic career and gave me all the help and support

I want to express my profoundest gratitude to *Dr. Ahmad Khaled Abo El-Fadl*, Assistant professor at Crown and Bridge department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. From finding an appropriate subject in the beginning, to the process of writing the thesis, Dr. Ahmed offered his unreserved help, guidance, good advice, support and friendship.

Deepest thanks to **Dr Ghada Abd El Fatah**, lecturer at fixed prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her great support, encouragement and cooperation.

Words cannot express enough thanks to my friends and colleagues in department of Prosthodontics for helping me from the beginning of this project and throughout my work and especial thanks to **Dr Doa Taha**, lecturer of fixed prosthodontics AinShams University for her valuable support and advice to finish this project.

Finally, I would like to thanks all my professor and my colleagues for having their endless guidance, support and friendship throughout all my academic and professional journey.

Dedication

This work is dedicated to

My dear parents,

Precious sister and brother and

My Beloved wife and daughter

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	V	
LIST OF FIGURES	VII	
LIST OF TABLES	IX	
INTRODUCTION	10	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	12	
Failure of endodontically treated teeth	17	
Endocrown	20	
Endocrowns in premolars	24	
Preparation design of endocrowns	25	
Materials for endocrowns	28	
Fracture resistance		
Statement of the problem	37	
AIM OF THE STUDY	38	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	39	
I. Materials	39	
II. Methods	42	
A. Teeth selection	42	
B. Endodontic treatment of teeth:	43	
C. Teeth grouping:	44	
D. Teeth mounting:	46	
E. Teeth preparation:	47	
1. Criteria of tooth preparation	48	
2. Pulp chamber modification	51	
F. Restoration fabrication	54	
A Administration phase 55		

В.	Scan phase	57	
C.	Model phase	58	
D.	Design phase	61	
E.	Milling phase	65	
Restora	ation adhesive cen	nentation	66
1. Re	storations Checkin	ng, finishing and polishing:	66
2.	Surface treatment	of the restorations:	70
3.	-Surface treatmen	t of the teeth:	71
4.	-Cement applicati	on:	71
Aging	of the specimens		74
Fractur	re resistance testin	g:	76
RESULT	TS		78
DISCUS	SION		86
SUMMA	ARY		97
Conclusi	ons		100
Recom	mendations		100
REFERE	ENCES		101
ARABIC SUMMARY			

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:CAD/CAM blocks used in this study: emax CAD and Vita enamic	41
Figure 2: Hydrofluoric acid etch and Monobond plus silane coupling agent	41
Figure 3:4mm normal pulp chamber and 2mm elevated pulp chamber using SDR	bulk fill
composite	46
Figure 4: A surveyor	47
Figure 5:Computerized Numerical Control (CNC) milling machine	48
Figure 6: preparation of the internal axial walls with 80 taper	49
Figure 7: Horizontal butt joint preparation	50
Figure 8: Anatomical preparation	50
Figure 9: Different occlusal preparation	50
Figure 10: Elevation of pulp chamber floor using SDR bulkfill composite resin	52
Figure 11:Different intrapulpal extension depth	52
Figure 12: Elevated pulp chamber floor (2mm)	53
Figure 13: Cerec premium software and b.MC XL milling machine	54
Figure 14: Administration phase	55
Figure 15: Material selection	56
Figure 16: Scanning the prepared specimens	57
Figure 17: A Virtual die	58
Figure 18: Model positioning in the upper arch	59
Figure 19: Model editing and trimming	59
Figure 20: Margin detection	60
Figure 21: Insertion axis	61
Figure 22: Positioning tool	62
Figure 23: The proposed design	62
Figure 24: Checking the occlusal thickness of the anatomical subgroup	63
Figure 25: Checking the occlusal thickness of the horizontal subgroup	64
Figure 26: Checking the depth of the endocore to be 2mm	64
Figure 27: Checking the restoration just before milling	65
Figure 28: Vita enamic polishing kit	66
Figure 29: IPS emax in the blue phase just before crystallization	67
Figure 30:Checking the restorations seating	67
Figure 31: Programat P310	68
Figure 32:Programat P310(clos up)	68
Figure 33: IPS emax crystallization cycle parameters	69
Figure 34: Checking the restoration thickness	69

Figure 35: Previewing the final restorations after milling (anatomical 4mm and butt 2	2mm)
	70
Figure 36: Ceramic etching gel and monobond plus silane etching primer	71
Figure 37: Static load of 1kg using universal testing machine	72
Figure 38: Light curing from the buccal and lingual side	73
Figure 39: Cemented endocrown	73
Figure 40: Chewing simulator mechanical aging machine	74
Figure 41: Propylene attachment for fixation of the specimens during chewing mechanisms	anical
aging	75
Figure 42: Instron 3365 universal testing machine	77
Figure 43: Specimen tested for fracture resistance	77
Figure 44: Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for fracture	
resistance of different materials regardless of other variables	81
Figure 45: Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for fracture	
resistance of different designs regardless of other variables	82
Figure 46: Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for fracture	
resistance of different angles of reduction regardless of other variables	83
Figure 47: Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for fracture	
resistance without and with aging regardless of other variables	84
Figure 48: Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for fracture	
resistance without and with aging with different interactions of variables	85

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Materials used in this study and its composition39
Table 2: Physical properties of PICN VIta Enamic40
Table 3: Physical and mechanical properties of emax CAD40
Table 4:Experimental factorial design45
Table 5: Four-way ANOVA results for the effect of different variables on mean
fracture resistance80
Table 6: The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of four-way ANOVA
test for comparison between fracture resistances of the two materials regardless of
other variables81
Table 7: The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of four-way ANOVA
test for comparison between fracture resistances of the two designs regardless of
other variables82
Table 8: The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of four-way ANOVA
test for comparison between fracture resistances of the two angles of reduction
regardless of other variables83
Table 9: The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of four-way ANOVA
test for comparison between fracture resistances without and with aging regardless
of other variables84
Table 10: The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of four-way
ANOVA test for comparison between fracture resistance values without and with
aging with different interactions of variables85

INTRODUCTION

The main reason for performing an endo treatment therapy is preserving the remaining healthy tooth structure after removal of decayed structure or after tooth trauma. Unfortunately, this devitalization procedure leaves the remaining teeth more susceptible to biomechanical failure because of the discontinuation of the structural integrity from access preparation.⁽²⁾

It has been recently a challenge through decades to choose the best treatment option for the endodontically treated teeth. Using a post or not was the first challenge for these teeth then a new obstacle was there regarding choosing the type of the post whether a metallic or non-metallic one and then choosing its design. Unfortunately using the post and core system need a specific requirement that must be fulfilled in order to assure its success including adequate occlusal space, at least 2mm ferrule must exist and straight canals for the safe placement of the posts. The existence of the required criteria could be assured in most of the cases leaving us with no other treatment option other than having a tooth with questionable durability.

Recently with the appearance of the newest monoblock treatment option providing us with enough adhesion power for the suitable durability in addition to sparing us the hazards of the post systems: root perforation, canal transportation and post irretrievability moreover relieving us the necessity of the straight canals, ferrule design and enough inter-occlusal space.

The endocrown is a "one-piece ceramic construction comprising a circumferential butt margin and a central retention cavity inside the pulp chamber and constructs both the crown and core as a single unit". The main advantages of such approach are utilizing the available surface in the pulp chamber to improve retention through adhesive bonding in addition to conservatism by following the concept of decay-orientated design.^(3,4)

Endocrowns are a reliable alternative to post-retained restorations for molars and seem promising for premolars. (5) Different preparation designs and ceramic materials should to be tested to be a reliable definitive restoration for premolars before intraoral use.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

It has been proved and confirmed that a good coronal seal had the most important impact on the final success of endodontically treated teeth. The importance of the procedures carried out after endotreatment is mainly summarized in its prevention of passage of bacterial microorganisms and its by-products into the apical region of the root and the alveolar bone causing serious delayed failures and affecting the long term endotreatment success.(6)

Ray and Trope (1995)⁽⁷⁾, explained the correlation between the coronal and the apical seal showing that 91.4% success rate accompanied with a perfect coronal and apical seal and 67.6% success with only a good coronal seal and a poor apical seal in contrast to only 18.1% success rate followed by a poor coronal and a good apical seal, confirming the important effect of the coronal seal on the periapical health which was also confirmed in similar studies.^(8, 9)

Comparison of vital and non-vital teeth in terms of moisture level, mechanical and physical properties of dentin as modulus of elasticity, microhardness and fracture resistance appeared to have moderate to none difference at all in contrast to the loss of tooth structure during access cavity preparation, caries removal, root canal enlargement and trauma which significantly reduce the tooth strength showing the critical role of conservative tooth preparation and leaving as much intact tooth structure

as possible raising the endo treated teeth fracture resistance and the biomechanical behaviour. (10)

Polesel (2014) (11) summarized the different prosthetic treatment options in correlation to the amount of remaining tooth structure as:1) direct adhesive restorations in cases on minimal tooth structure loss for examples healthy tooth structure loss in molars confined to access preparation only. (12) In medium sized cavity bonded indirect restoration as onlay or overlay allow more conservative mean of treatment to prevent further sacrifice of healthy tooth structure offering a better coronal seal. Onlay restoration is used when one marginal ridge is lost and two compromised cusps are found which is frequently found in a single interproximal decay in molar teeth. When both marginal ridges are lost and compromised cusps need to be covered an overlay adhesive restorations are need to protect the remaining toot structure. (11) Although the normal full coverage preparation is considered the gold standard with six times better success rate for restoring endo treated teeth, a more conservative preparation as the adhesives overlay requires removal of teeth structure 50% less than the normal full coverage preparation so increasing the amount of remaining tooth structure and hence the long term service of this tooth. (13) Nowadays indications for ordinary full coverage crown is indicated in fewer situations as severe coronal teeth structure loss especially in the cervical area, being a part in fixed prosthesis or in periprosthetic. (11) The least favourable condition is when

most of the tooth structure is lost from a decay or a traumatic condition. An orthodontic extrusion or an extensive surgical crown lengthening to gain a sound ferrule is not always a feasible line of treatment, pushing us toward extraction or dental implants.⁽¹⁴⁾

A theory was just proposed once that loss of tactile sensation in endo-treated teeth is the cause of a higher fracture risk in endo treated teeth in comparison to vital one, Schneider et al confirmed that it's a false assumption with no confirmation at all and that vital and non-vital teeth have comparable threshold for tactile sensitivity. Moreover they confirmed that the main cause of the higher fracture risk in endo treated teeth is the amount of tooth structure lost in the access preparation or the decay removal. (15)

When endo treatment is inevitable, the success or failure of endo treated teeth depend mainly on the adequate root canal treatment followed quickly by a strong proper coronal seal restoration. The main reason for restoring an endo treated teeth is the correction of aesthetics and function and preventing any biomechanical failure has mentioned before by Ray and Tropelin 1995, a quickly and properly coronal seal greatly affect the long term success of the endo treated teeth.

Prefabricated posts use was increasingly more popular through the past years. Innovation in their materials of construction and their flexibility is the main innovation nowadays. It has been classified into

metal, ceramic and fibre posts. Composite core bonded to prefabricated glass fibre post giving us a monoblock effect have been accompanied with a dramatic decrease in the catastrophic fracture associated with the custom made post and core.⁽¹⁷⁾

Dentists used to believe that endotreated teeth are weaker than vital ones and need posts to strengthen them against fracture during chewing. **Asmussin et al** in 2005 ⁽¹⁸⁾confirmed that is not true in all situations, showing that finite element analysis(FEM) studies suggested that bonded posts and parallel ones produces less stresses than non-bonded and taper posts. In addition most studies confirmed that posts have no strengthening effect but may have harmful one if not properly placed. ^(19, 20)

In certain situations, a post cemented to the radicular dentin is the only way we can have a retentive restoration bonded to the remaining tooth structure, in this situation a fibre post with properties similar to dentin will be used and an adhesive composite resin restoration will be used rendering us the most favourable outcome. (21, 22)

Over the past three decades, the evolution of adhesive restoration and various adhesive systems helps saving the remaining healthy tooth structure and eliminates the use of the ordinary post systems. The appearance of new ceramic materials with a reliable bonding power provokes the idea new decay oriented preparation techniques like the