



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
Irrigation and Hydraulics

# **Modeling The Effect of Depression Areas on Outflow Hydrograph**

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements  
of the degree of  
Master of Science in Civil Engineering  
(Irrigation and Hydraulics )

By

**Ahmed Abd El-Nabi Mohamed Ahmed**

Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering

(Irrigation and Hydraulics )

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2013

Supervised By

**Prof. Ahmed Ali Ali Hassan**

Professor of Environmental Hydrology,  
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department,  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

**Prof. Ashraf Mohamed El Mostafa**

Professor of Engineering Hydrology,  
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department,  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

**Cairo, Egypt  
(2019)**



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
Irrigation and Hydraulics

# Modeling The Effect of Depression Areas on Outflow Hydrograph

By  
**Ahmed Abd El-Nabi Mohamed Ahmed**

## Examiners' Committee

Name and Affiliation	Signature
<b>Prof. Gamal Ibrahim Mohamed Kotb</b> Director of Water Resources Research Institute, National Water Research Center.	.....
<b>Prof. Abdel kawi Ahmed Mokhtar Khalifa</b> Professor of Hydraulics, Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.	.....
<b>Prof. Ahmed Ali Ali Hassan</b> Professor of Environmental Hydrology, Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.	.....
<b>Prof. Ashraf Mohamed El Mostafa</b> Professor of Engineering Hydrology, Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.	.....

Cairo, Egypt  
(2019)

# Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of Master of Science in Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

**Student name**

Ahmed Abd El-Nabi Mohamed

Signature

Ahmed Teleb

Date: 14 December 2019

# THE AUTHOR

**Name** : Ahmed Abd El-Nabi Mohamed Ahmed Teleb

**Date of Birth:** : 30-October-1991

**Place of Birth:** : Cairo, Egypt

**Scientific Degrees:** : B.Sc. Civil Engineering,  
Irrigation and Hydraulics,  
Ain Shams University - 2013

**Current Job:** : Water Resources Engineer

**E-mail:** : [ahmed.teleb@outlook.com](mailto:ahmed.teleb@outlook.com)

# Acknowledgment

My sincere thanks to ***Prof. Ashraf M. El Moustafa*** for his continuous advice, recommendations, help, guidance and useful suggestions during my research work I will always be indebted for his encouragement and constructive criticism.

I wish to extend my sincere thanks to ***Prof. Ahmed Ali Hassan and Prof. Gamal Kotb and Prof. Abdel kawi Khalifa*** for their supervision, generous support with their notes and discussion added a great value to this thesis. Many thanks for their kind encouragement that gave hand in pushing the progress of this thesis.

Deep appreciation is extent to ***My Family (Father, Mother, Doaa & Nesma)*** for their assistance, prays, patience, understanding, moral support and continuous encouragement during the time spent in this study to pursue my goals more than they realize. I cannot express the love and gratitude I feel for them. I deeply appreciate their sharing.

Deep appreciation and many thanks to ***My lovely wife*** for her assistance, patience, understanding, moral support and continuous encouragement during the time spent in this study.

Deep appreciation and special thanks to ***Ahmed Hany*** for his assistance, guidance and continuous encouragement.

Many thanks to all my colleagues who helped me with solving many technical problems which faced me throughout the preparation of the thesis and special words to ***Khaled Asaad, Mohamed Refaat, Omar Zohny, Mohamed Gamal***, and many others who gave the support for no favor.

I would like also to extend my thanks to all of my relatives and friends for their kind encouragement and prays that helped and pushed me to finzlige this thesis.

**December 2019**

## ABSTRACT

The value of runoff peak discharge of any basin is the most important hydrological parameter that should be calculated accurately in order to determine the suitable protection strategy and perform suitable design for any proposed hydraulic structures.

There are many factors affecting the runoff peak discharge; meteorological factors (mainly rainfall characteristics) and basin morphological characteristics such as size, slope, land cover, shape, soil type, and depression/surface storage. This research discusses the reduction factors affecting the peak values due to the existence of depressions/surface storage along the watershed main stream using 2D hydrodynamic modeling approach.

In this research, the analysis has considered different characteristics of the depressions with respect to the location, return period and depression area as categorized by NRCS (1975).

The analysis results of this research have been compared with the adjustment factors for ponding and swamp areas developed by NRCS (1975). The research showed that the peak reduction may reach 90% of the watershed original peak flow if the depression is located along the watershed main stream and at its lower part with depression area equals to 20% of watershed area.

The research revealed that, The lower locations along the main stream are the most effective on reducing peak flow values and there is a proportional relation between depression area and the reduction percentage. The results showed that the watershed shape factor is one of the most important factors that should be taken into account when investigating the effect of depression on peak flows; as it may change the reduction factors globally.

The results indicate that the NRCS adjustment factor values can't be used without considering other factors i.e. watershed shape factor, time to peak and depression depth, that may lead to underestimating or overestimating the resulting hydrograph.

Furthermore, the research affirmed that, locating depressions along the main stream is more effective than distributing the depressions within the whole reaches of the watershed.

**Keywords:** 2D hydrodynamic modeling, HEC RAS, Depressions, Surface Storage, Runoff Reduction Factor, Watershed Shape Factor.

## **Table of Contents**

<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1.	BACKGROUND.....	2
1.2.	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES .....	3
1.3.	METHODOLOGY .....	4
1.4.	THESIS LAYOUT.....	5
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1.	GENERAL .....	8
2.2.	DELINEATION OF STREAM NETWORKS USING DIGITAL ELEVATION MODELS .....	9
2.3.	RUNOFF ESTIMATION METHODS .....	11
2.3.1.	Rational Method .....	12
2.3.2.	USGS Regression Method .....	13
2.3.3.	NRCS (SCS) Method.....	13
2.4.	FACTORS AFFECTING RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH.....	15
2.4.1.	Storage areas.....	17
2.4.2.	Watershed Shape .....	18
2.5.	FLOOD ROUTING TECHNIQUES.....	19
2.5.1.	Reservoir Routing .....	22
2.6.	HYDROLOGIC MODELING .....	24
2.6.1.	Lumped Models .....	24
2.6.2.	Distributed Models .....	25
2.7.	PREVIOUS STUDIES IN ESTIMATING REDUCTION FACTORS DUE TO DEPRESSION AREAS.....	26
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>THE STUDY AREA DESCRIPTION AND DATA COLLECTION .....</b>	<b>38</b>
3.1.	GENERAL .....	39
3.2.	STUDY AREA DESCRIPTION .....	39
3.3.	SIGNIFICANCE OF USING THE STUDY AREA .....	42
3.4.	DATA COLLECTION.....	44
3.4.1.	Topographic Data .....	44
3.4.2.	Meteorological Data .....	46
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY AND MODEL DESCRIPTION.....</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1.	GENERAL .....	48
4.2.	METHODOLOGY .....	49
4.2.1.	Topographical Analysis .....	49
4.2.2.	Meteorological and Hydrological Analysis .....	53
4.3.	MODEL DESCRIPTION .....	67
4.3.1.	Model Preparation .....	74



<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>RESULTS ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>79</b>
5.1.	GENERAL .....	80
5.2.	BASE SCENARIO RESULTS (DEPRESSIONLESS DEMs).....	80
5.3.	SCENARIOS RESULTS FOR DEPRESSIONS ALONG LONGEST FLOW PATH.....	82
5.4.	RESULTS ANALYSIS .....	85
5.4.1.	Effect of Depression Location & Watershed Geometry .....	85
5.4.2.	Effect of Depression Area Percentage .....	90
5.4.3.	Effect of Return Period.....	92
5.4.4.	Comparison between Study results and NRCS values.....	94
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>99</b>
6.1.	CONCLUSIONS.....	100
6.2.	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES .....	102
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>APPENDIX (A) :</b>	<b>RESULTS HYDROGRAPHS CHARTS .....</b>	<b>108</b>
I.	Base cases Scenarios (Dimensionless Scenarios) Flows Hydrographs.....	109
II.	Depressions Scenarios Resulting Flow Hydrographs .....	113
III.	Depressions Scenario Subtracted Flow Hydrographs .....	138

## **List of Figures**

Figure ( 2-1); Effects of Basin Characteristics on the Flood Hydrograph .....	16
Figure ( 2-2); Flood wave at two points along a channel exhibiting translation effects .....	21
Figure ( 2-3); Flood wave at two points along a channel exhibiting storage effects.....	21
Figure ( 2-4); The controlled points used in Wunch, 2013 study.....	31
Figure ( 2-5); Pond usage in percent of available flood storage for the extreme event.....	31
Figure ( 2-6); Pond usage in percent of available flood storage for the extreme event.....	32
Figure ( 3-1); Monthly Climate Graph Weather for Ibadan .....	40
Figure ( 3-2); Ibadan Flood Event & Damages, August 2011 .....	42
Figure ( 3-3); Study Area Location .....	43
Figure ( 3-4); Study Watershed Digital Elevation Model .....	45
Figure ( 4-1); Study Area Watershed Boundary and Main Streams .....	51
Figure ( 4-2); Samples of Resulted DEMs with Depression Area in Different Locations ...	53
Figure ( 4-3); SCS Type II Dimensionless Rainfall Curve (24hrs).....	55
Figure ( 4-4); FAO Digital Soil Map of The World & The Study Area .....	62
Figure ( 4-5); ESA Global Land Cover for Africa & The Study Area.....	63
Figure ( 4-6); Excess Rainfall Hyetographs for All Analysis Return Periods – CN 80.....	66
Figure ( 4-7); Implicit finite Volume Solution Algorithm Steps Diagram.....	73
Figure ( 4-8); 2D Computational Mesh Area .....	75
Figure ( 5-1); Base (depressionless) Flow Hydrographs of the Watershed Study Area for Different Return Period .....	81
Figure ( 5-2); Mind Map for the 150 Analysis Scenario .....	82
Figure ( 5-3); Study Watershed with Multi Peaks Hydrograph .....	86
Figure ( 5-4); Flow Hydrographs Reduction Occurs due to Depression Existence within The Main Three Locations .....	88
Figure ( 5-5); Reduction in Upper Basin Peak Flow Due to Central Depression .....	89
Figure ( 5-6); Runoff Hydrographs Due to Depression Area Increasing - Lower Areas .....	90
Figure ( 5-7); Runoff Hydrographs Due to Depression Area Increasing – Upper Areas.....	91
Figure ( 5-8); Runoff Hydrographs Due to Depression Area Increasing – Central Areas ...	91

Figure ( 5-9); Subtracted Flow Hydrographs for Different Return Period Due to  
Depressions Existence Within Lower Areas ..... 93

Figure ( 5-10); Subtracted Flow Hydrographs for Different Return Period Due to  
Depressions Existence Within Upper Areas..... 93

Figure ( 5-11); Subtracted Flow Hydrographs for Different Return Period Due to  
Depressions Existence Within Central Areas ..... 94

Figure ( 5-12); Study Reduction Factors Values vs. NRCS Values for Lower Areas ..... 96

Figure ( 5-13); Study Reduction Factors Values vs. NRCS Values for Upper Areas ..... 98

Figure ( 5-14); Study Reduction Factors Values vs. NRCS Values for Central Areas ..... 98

## **List of Tables**

Table ( 2.1), Ponding Areas Adjustment Factors Values, NRCS (1975) .....	27
Table ( 2.2), The effects of increased infiltration on peak discharges for the design storm 50-year, 24 hours.....	34
Table ( 2.3), Summary of reduction percentage of large and small ponds at different locations .....	35
Table ( 2.4), Summary of reduction percentage of large and small dry ponds at different locations .....	35
Table ( 4.1), Design rainfall depths for Ibadan .....	54
Table ( 4.2), Runoff Curve Numbers for Urban Areas .....	58
Table ( 4.3), Runoff Curve Numbers for Cultivated Agricultural Lands .....	59
Table ( 4.4), Runoff Curve Numbers for Other Agricultural Lands .....	60
Table ( 4.5), Runoff Curve Numbers for Arid and Semiarid Rangelands.....	61
Table ( 4.6), Estimated Curve Number for Watershed Study Area.....	64
Table ( 5.1), Peak flow values for base (depressionless) condition. ....	81
Table ( 5.2), Summary of the simulated scenarios and the resulting peak flows. ....	83

## **List of Abbreviations**

1D	:	One-Dimensional
2D	:	Two-Dimensional
BMPs	:	Best Management Practices
CN	:	Curve Number
CN <sub>w</sub>	:	Weighted Curve Number
DEM	:	Digital Elevation Model
DEMs	:	Digital Elevation Models
EMU	:	Eastern Michigan University
FAO	:	Food and Agriculture Organization
ft <sup>3</sup>	:	Cubic Feet
ft <sup>3</sup> /sec	:	Cubic Feet per Second
GIS	:	Geographic Information System
HEC	:	Hydrologic Engineering Center
HEC-	:	Hydrologic Engineering Center - Hydrologic Modeling
HMS	:	System
HEC-RAS	:	Hydrologic Engineering Center – River Analysis System
hr.	:	Hour
HRU	:	Hydrologic Response Unit
LFP	:	Longest Flow Path
m	:	meter
m <sup>3</sup>	:	Cubic Meter
m <sup>3</sup> /sec	:	Cubic Meter per Second
NRCS	:	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NSS	:	National Streamflow Statistics
R. F	:	Reduction Factor
SCS	:	Soil Conservation Service
SWAT	:	Soil and Water Assessment Tool
TIN	:	Triangulated irregular network
USDA	:	United States Department of Agriculture
USGS	:	United States Geological Survey
U. S	:	United States
WSEs	:	Water Surface Elevations
Yrs.	:	Years

## **List of Symbols**

The following symbols are used in this thesis. Usually each symbol has one meaning, but if there is more than one meaning for any symbol, then the meaning will be given in the context in which this symbol is used. Other symbols, not listed here, are defined in the main text.

$A$	:	Watershed area, (hectares)
$A_k$	:	Watershed area, ( $\text{Km}^2$ )
$C$	:	Runoff coefficient
$f$	:	Infiltration rate
$F$	:	External Forces
$F_p$	:	Ponding adjustment factor
$g$	:	Gravitational acceleration
$I$	:	Rainfall intensity, (mm/hr)
$I_a$	:	Initial abstraction, (inches)
$\bar{I}$	:	Average rate of inflow during the time interval
$K_u$	:	Units adaptation factor, (360 in S.I system)
$n$	:	Manning's Roughness Coefficients
$\bar{O}$	:	Average rate of outflow during the time interval
$P$	:	Rainfall depth, (inches)
$Q$	:	Runoff discharge rate, ( $\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$ )
$Q_D$	:	Excess rainfall depth, (mm)
$q_a$	:	Adjusted maximum discharge value, ( $\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$ )
$q_p$	:	Maximum discharge value, ( $\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$ )
$q_u$	:	Unit maximum discharge value, ( $\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$ )
$R$	:	Runoff depth, (inches)
$S$	:	Maximum potential retention, (inches)
$\Delta S$	:	Change in volume of storage during the time interval
$S_o(x,y)$	:	Bed slopes in the x- and y- directions
$S_f(x,y)$	:	Friction slope in the x- and y- directions
$t$	:	Time
$\Delta t$	:	Time Interval
$u$	:	Fluid Velocity.
$\rho$	:	Fluid Density.
$\mu$	:	Fluid Dynamic Viscosity
$\nabla$	:	Deferential Operator
$\partial$	:	Partial deferential

# *CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION*