



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Structural Department

Analytical Investigation of Reinforced Masonry Shear Wall Structures Exposed to Dynamic Load

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree
of Master of Science in Civil Engineering
(Structural Engineering)

By

Ahmed Alaa Elden Radwan Abd ElAziz
Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering
(Structural Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2019

Supervised by

Dr. Marwan Tarek Shedid

Associate Professor

Structural Engineering Department

Ain Shams University

Dr. Ezz-El Den Mostafa Arafa

Assistant Professor

Structural Engineering Department

Ain Shams University



Ain Shams University-Faculty of Engineering
Structural Department

Analytical Investigation of Reinforced Masonry shear wall structures Exposed to Dynamic Load

By

Eng. Ahmed Alaa Elden Rawdan Abd ElAziz

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering (Structural)

Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Prof. Mashhour Ghoneim.

Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering – Cairo University

.....

Prof. Mohamed Nour Elden Fayed.

Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

.....

Dr. Marwan Mohamed Tarek Shedid.

Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University
(Supervisor)

.....

Date: 26/10/2019

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfilment of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering), Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Student Name

Ahmed Alaa Elden Radwan Abd El Aziz

Signature

.....

Researcher Data

Name	: Ahmed Alaa ElDen Radwan Abd ElAziz
Date of birth	: 27-09-1992
Place of birth	: Cairo, Egypt
Last academic degree	: B.Sc.
Field of specialization	: Civil Engineering
University issued the degree	: Ain Shams University
Date of issued degree	: June 2014
Current job	: Teaching Assistant

Acknowledgement

I like to say that no words can express my gratitude, love and appreciation to my mother, my father and my wife, whose help and encouragement were the main motive for me to complete this work. Thank you for supporting me throughout my entire life, words fail to explain how grateful I am for having you as my family.

I would like to express my gratefulness to my supervisors, Dr. Marwan Shedid and Dr. Ezz El Deen Mostafa. Thank you for your guidance, support and assistance throughout this research, I am indebted to all of you with the knowledge and experience I gained. It was an honor working under your supervision.

My greatest appreciation goes to all my instructors and colleagues at the Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University. Each and every one of you participated in the achievement I made, by teaching, supporting and encouraging me throughout different stages. Thank you.

List of Publications

Ahmed Alaa, Shedid and Ezz Elden Mostafa. "Analytical Investigation of Reinforced Masonry Shear Walls Exposed to Dynamic Load", the 15th International Conference on Structural and Geotechnical Engineering (ICSGE15), Cairo, Egypt, 2018.

Abstract

Reinforced concrete masonry (RCM) shear walls are considered as an effective lateral load resisting system (LLRS), many analytical and experimental studies were conducted to survey the behavior of RCM shear walls against lateral loading to help designers to predict their response under the effect of severe ground motions. Analysis of structures using time history method is considered one of the methods used for simulating the response of structures subjected to seismic loading in order to predict the behavior of these structures. In international codes, the value of reduction factor was obtained by testing many types of walls under cyclic and dynamic loading. Actually, codes don't provide the configuration of tested walls, so in this research will make an investigation on different wall configurations and buildings exposed to dynamic loading.

This study was performed to have further insight on the behavior of RCM individual shear walls and midrise buildings composed of these walls as their main gravity and lateral load resisting system. A review of previous literature was undertaken to have clear ideas of the previous findings related to the point of study. After a review of literature this research was divided into two phases:

The first phase of the study was to generate analytical models for RCM individual shear walls with different configuration (rectangular, flanged and end-confined) tested by Shedid et al (2010) and verify these results against experimental results mentioned in literature. The softwares used in this study are OpenSees, Response-2000 and Seismo Signal software. The second phase was to generate a parametric study, where 27 specimen models for individual walls were generated in order to study the value of response modification factor (R) and deflection amplification factor (C_d). These walls have different configurations (rectangular, flanged and end-confined), reinforcement ratio and aspect ratio. Also, nine building models (symmetric and asymmetric) were generated to evaluate the seismic performance of

structures composed of different RCM walls with respect to ultimate lateral strength (Q_u) and drift for each constitutive wall. All models were subjected to actual earthquake records (ten records). During this study, all walls within the building models were supported to be totally uncoupled.

Finally, the conclusions related to the RCM individual shear walls and buildings are presented.

Conclusion related to RCM individual shear walls,

- Response modification factor (R) and deflection amplification factor (C_d) are affected by aspect ratio, wall configuration and reinforcement ratio.
- R and C_d factors are sensitive to the variation in vertical reinforcement and wall configuration more than aspect ratio (equal or greater than 2).
- Walls with the same reinforcement ratio and same configuration but different aspect ratio, for aspect ratio equal or greater than 2, there is no significant change in value of R factor.
- According to the aspect ratio, the walls with lower aspect ratio (same walls configuration) have R and C_d values lower than those walls with higher aspect ratio.
- According to reinforcement ratio, the walls with higher reinforcement (same wall configuration) have R and C_d values lower than those walls with lower reinforcement ratio.
- According to wall configuration, rectangular walls have R and C_d values less than flanged and end confined walls.

Conclusion related to symmetric and asymmetric buildings,

- The building strength decreases as the distance between center of mass CM and center of rigidity CR increases.
- The building displacement increases as the distance between CM and CR increases.

- The location of the CR is not constant over the loading history due to the fact that not all walls lose their stiffness with the same rate.
- The location of the CR cannot be calculated based on the gross stiffness or even uniformly modified stiffness of elements of the LLRS.
- The use of constant value for stiffness modifiers for different elements of the structure to model its behavior under seismic loading, as used by current design codes, is not a realistic method since, as presented in this study, walls lost stiffness with different proportions.

Keywords: Time History Analysis, Response Modification Factor, Analytical Models, Ground Motion, Dynamic Analysis.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	iii
List of Tables	v
List of Abbreviations and Symbols.....	vi
Abstract	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Research Motivation	2
1.2 Research Objectives	3
1.3 Research Methodology	3
1.4 Thesis Outline	4
chapter 2: Literature Review	5
2.1 Introduction.....	5
2.1 Experimental Studies	7
2.2 Analytical Studies	14
2.3 Seismic Performance Parameters.....	17
2.3.1 Plastic Hinge Length.....	17
2.3.2 Displacement Ductility Capacity	18
2.3.3 Force Reduction Factor.....	19
Chapter 3: Verification of Analytical Models	23
3.1 Introduction.....	23
3.2 Software	23
3.3 Time history Analysis for single walls (Shedid et al. 2010).....	24
3.3.1 Modeling Approach and Element Type	25
3.3.2 Masonry Concrete Material	27
3.3.3 Steel Material Model.....	32
3.3.4 Localization of Plasticity	33

3.3.5 Shear Deformation	35
3.3.6 Nonlinear Time History Analysis	37
3.3.7 Modeling Evaluation.....	42
3.4 Summary and Conclusions	48
Chapter 4: PARAMETRIC STUDY.....	49
4.1 Introduction.....	49
4.2 Objectives and Methodology	49
4.3 Seismic Force Modification and Deflection Amplification Factors	49
4.4 Phase I: Method of Analysis (Individual Walls).....	50
4.4.1 Results of Phase I.....	52
4.4.1 Summary and Conclusion of Phase I.....	66
4.5 Phase II: Method of Analysis (Buildings)	68
4.5.1 Symmetric Buildings	70
4.5.2 Asymmetric Buildings	71
4.5.3 Base Shear Calculation According to ECP.....	77
4.5.4 Summary and Conclusion of Phase II.....	79
Chapter 5: conclusions and recommendations.....	81
5.1 Introduction.....	81
5.2 Conclusions.....	81
5.2.1 Conclusion Related to RCM Individual Shear Walls	82
5.2.2 Conclusion Related to Symmetric and Asymmetric Buildings	82
5.3 Recommendations for Future Research	83
References.....	84

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure (2.1): Flexural Failure (a) Shear Failure (b) Flexure and Shear Failure (c) of RCM Shear Walls (Shing et al., 1991).....	6
Figure (2.2): Wall Reinforcement Details (Shedid et al., 2010).....	11
Figure (2.3): Definition of Yield and Ultimate Displacements (Priestley et al., 2007).....	18
Figure (2.4): Definition of Force Reduction Factor (Chopra, 1995).....	19
Figure (2.5): Newmark and Hall, 1982 Displacement Ductility, Ductility Factor and Time Period Relationship.....	20
Figure (2.6): Relationship between Elastic and In-elastic Forces for Short Period (a); Intermediate Period (b); Long Period Structures (c). (Newmark and Hall, 1982).....	21
Figure (2.7): Equal Displacement Approach (Priestley et al., 2007).....	21
Figure (2.8): Design Sequence of Force Based Design (FBD) method.....	22
Figure (3.1): Cross section of W1 and W4 (Shedid et al., 2010) (a); Fiber Section used for W1 and W4 (b).....	27
Figure (3.2): The Stress Strain Relation for Concrete06 (OpenSees Manual).....	28
Figure (3.3): Stress Strain for Grouted Masonry Material Model (Concrete06) vs. Tested Prisms.....	29
Figure (3.4): The Effect of Reinforcement Ratio on Tensile Stiffening.....	30
Figure (3.5): Calibration of Concrete Tensile Branch ($\rho=0.55\%$) (a); ($\rho=1.17\%$)(b).....	31
Figure (3.6): Steel Material Model (Steel 02).....	32
Figure (3.7): Kent and Park Concrete Stress–Strain Model (Coleman and Spacone, 2001)...	34
Figure (3.8): Shear Strain Distribution over the Wall Height (Response 2000) W1 (a), W2 (b), W3 (c), W4 (d), W5 (e), W6 (f).....	36
Figure (3.9): Bilinear Idealization of Shear Behaviour Relation for W1 at a Height 1.80m...	37
Figure (3.10): Time-Acceleration Curves Selected Ground of Motions.....	41
Figure (3.11): Comparison between Time History Curves and ECP Response Spectrum Curves.....	41
Figure (3.12): Experimental and Analytical Hysteresis Loops for W1 (R1).....	43
Figure (3.13): Experimental and Analytical Hysteresis Loops for W1 (R10).....	44
Figure (3.14): Final Modeling Results for Walls Tested by Shedid et al 2010.....	45
Figure (4.1): Definition of Force Modification Factor and Deflection Amplification Factor...	50
Figure (4.2): Combined Load-Displacement Curves for Rectangular Walls.....	61
Figure (4.3): Combined Load-Displacement Curves for Flanged Walls.....	62

Figure (4.4): Combined Load-Displacement Curves for End-Confined Walls.....	62
Figure (4.5): Relation between R factor and Aspect Ratio	64
Figure (4.6): Relation between C_d factor and Aspect Ratio	64
Figure (4.7): Relation between R factor and Reinforcement Ratio (%)	65
Figure (4.8): Relation between R factor and Reinforcement Ratio (%)	65
Figure (4.9): Building Configuration C1-R.....	68
Figure (4.10): Building Configuration C2-R.....	69
Figure (4.11): Building Configuration C3-R.....	69
Figure (4.12): Load-Displacement Curve for Building C1-R, C1-F and C1-C (Symmetric Buildings).....	71
Figure (4.13): Load-Displacement Curve for C2 Building	72
Figure (4.14): Load-Displacement Curve for C3 Building	72
Figure (4.15): Load-Displacement Curves for Buildings C1-R, C2-R and C3-R.....	72
Figure (4.16): Load-Displacement Curves for Buildings C1-F, C2-F and C3-F.....	72
Figure (4.17): Load-Displacement Curves for Buildings C1-C, C2-C and C3-C.....	73
Figure (4.18): Individual Wall Displacements for C2 Buildings	73
Figure (4.19): Walls Stiffness Degradation for C2 Buildings	74
Figure (4.20): CR Location for C2 Buildings	74
Figure (4.21): Center Line Rotation along Building Width for C2 Buildings	74
Figure (4.22): Individual Wall Displacements for C3 Buildings	75
Figure (4.23): Walls Stiffness Degradation for C3 Buildings	76
Figure (4.24): CR Location for C3 Buildings	76
Figure (4.25): Center Line Rotation along Building Width for C3 Buildings	76

LIST OF TABLES

Table (2.1): Wall Reinforcement Details, Shedid et al. 2010 (Shedid et al., 2010).....	11
Table (2.2): Wall Capacities and Ductility (Shedid et al., 2010).....	12
Table (3.1): Fiber Section Co-ordinate of W1and W4 in Opensees Software.....	27
Table (3.2): Used Parameters for Concrete06 Material Model (Compression Branch).....	29
Table (3.3): Modified Tension Branch Factors.....	30
Table (3.4): Parameters for Steel 02 Material Model.....	32
Table (3.5): Locations and Weights of Five points Gauss-Lobatto Integration.....	33
Table (3.6): Locations and Weights of Seven Points Gauss-Lobatto Integration.....	34
Table (3.7): Shear Parameters for all Walls.....	37
Table (3.8): Properties of Ground Motions (adopted from PEER 2006).....	38
Table (3.9): Summary of Experimental and Analytical Results.....	46
Table (4.1): Description of All RCM Walls in This Parametric Study.....	51
Table (4.2): Dynamic Analysis Results for Walls.....	52
Table (4.3): Summary of Phase I Results.....	66
Table (4.4): Wall Labels Used in the Parametric Study.....	68
Table (4.5): Dynamic Analysis Results for Buildings Configuration (C1).....	70
Table (4.6): Comparison between Base Shear Force for each Wall According to Parametric Study and ECP Calculation (Configuration C2)	78
Table (4.7): Comparison between Base Shear Force for each Wall According to Parametric Study and ECP Calculation (Configuration C3)	78

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

- CMU: Concrete Masonry Units
- RCM: Reinforced concrete masonry
- RC: Reinforced Concrete
- R: Seismic Design Response Modification Factor
- C_d : Deflection Amplification Factor
- LLRS: Lateral load resisting system
- CM: Center of Mass
- CR: Center of Rigidity
- DBE: Displacement Based Element
- FBE: Force Based Element
- LLRS: Lateral Load Resisting System
- f'_m : Masonry Prism Compressive Strength
- f_y : Steel Yield Stress
- H_w : Wall Height
- L_{ip} : Weight of Integration Point
- L_p : Plastic Hinge Length
- L_w : Wall Length
- G_f^c : Fracture Energy
- μ : Displacement Ductility
- SFRS: Shear Force Resistance System
- ρ : Reinforcement Ratio
- G: Modulus of Rigidity
- E_c : Concrete Modulus of Elasticity
- PGA: Peak Ground Acceleration
- PGV: Peak Ground Velocity
- PEER: Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research
- Δt : Time Interval
- dt : Time Step
- K: Wall Stiffness