Evaluation of hyperbilirubinemia as a predictor of complicated acute appendicitis

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree in General Surgery

Presented by

Morcos Tanagho Kerolos Gobriel

(M.B.B.Ch)

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr/ Ashraf Kamal Abdallah

Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Dr/ Ahmed Ain Shoka

Assistant Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2020

Acknowledgements

First, thanks are all directed to **ALLAH**, for blessing this work until it has reached its end, as a part of generous help throughout my life.

It is with immense gratitude that I acknowledge the support and help of **Prof. Dr./ Ashraf Kamal Abdallah,** Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision guidance, effort and great support during various phases of the study. I really have the honor to complete this work under his supervision.

I am profoundly grateful to **Dr./ Ahmed Ain Shoka,** Assistant Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his faithful guidance and the efforts and time he has devoted to accomplish this work.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my dear Family and my beloved supportive friends who encouraged me spiritually throughout writing this thesis and guided me throughout my life. Without their kind help and support this work could not have come to completion.

Moreos Tanagho Kerolos Gobriel

Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of abbreviations	i
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	vi
Introduction	1
List of Tables List of Figures List of Figures Introduction Aim of the Work Review of Literature Embryology Anatomy Pathology Pathophysiology Etiology Appendicitis and Hyperbilirubinemia Diagnosis Management Patients and Methods Results Discussion Conclusion Summary References	4
Review of Literature	
Embryology	5
Anatomy	7
Pathology	12
Pathophysiology	13
Etiology	16
Appendicitis and Hyperbilirubinemia	19
Diagnosis	21
Management	33
Results	45
Discussion	62
Conclusion	70
Summary	71
-	
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Abbrev. Full-term

AAS : Adult appendicitis score systems

ALT : Alanine transaminase

AST : Aspartate transaminase

AUC : Area under curve

Bil. direct : Direct bilirubin

Bil. Total : Total bilirubin

CBC : Complete blood picture

CT : Computed tomography

ED : Emergency department

Fig. : Figure

MRI : Magnetic resonance imaging

OPD : Outpatient department

PV- : Negative predictive value

PV+ : Positive predictive value

RIPASA : Raja Isteri Pengiran Anak Saleha Appendicitis

ROC : Receiver operating characteristic curve

WBC: White blood cells

List of Tables

Table No	. Title	Page No.
Table 1:	Descriptive statistics of age and gend	er45
Table 2:	Descriptive statistics of laboratory to WBC's: white blood cells × 10 ³ , serum bilirubin	Bil:
Table 3:	Descriptive statistics for pathogroups	
Table 4:	Correlation between pathology growith age and gender	-
Table 5:	Correlation between pathology ground laboratory results	
Table 6:	Post hoc analysis of different groups.	51
Table 7:	Sensitivity and specificity for WBC differentiating the non-complic appendicitis and negative cases	ated
Table 8:	WBCs levels in acute non complic appendicitis and negative cases	
Table 9:	Sensitivity and specificity and prediction values for WBCs in differentiating non-complicated appendicitis and negatives.	the ative
Table 10:	Sensitivity and specificity for WBCs total bilirubin in differentiating negative group and the complicated group	the
Table 11:	WBC's level between negative cases complicates cases	56

Table 12:	Sensitivity and specificity and predictive values of WBC's between negative cases and complicated cases
Table 13:	Total bilirubin levels between negative cases and complicated cases
Table 14:	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of total bilirubin between negative and complicated cases
Table 15	Sensitivity and specificity of WBC's and total bilirubin between non complicated and complicated cases
Table 16:	WBC's level between non complicated and complicated cases
Table 17:	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of WBC's between non complicated and complicated cases
Table 18:	Bilirubin levels between non complicated and complicated groups
Table 19:	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of total bilirubin between non complicated and complicated groups
Table 20:	Comparison of sensitivity and specificity of hyperbilirubinemia as a reliable indicator in the current study with literature

List of Figures

Figure No	o. Title	Page No.
Figure 1:	Variations in the position of the appe	ndix 8
Figure 2:	Blood supply of the appendix	9
Figure 3:	Normal appendix. CT scan of abdomen demonstrates air-filled, b ended lumen corresponding to no appendix.	lind- rmal
Figure 4:	Normal appendix. CT scan of abdomen demon-strates contrast-fit blinded tubular structure	lled,
Figure 5:	Low magnification micrograph of a appendicitis and peri appendicitis	
Figure 6:	Ultrasound image of acute appendici	tis28
Figure 7:	A CT scan demonstrating a appendicitis	
Figure 8:	A fecalith which has resulted in a appendicitis	
Figure 9:	Inflamed appendix removal by surgery	
Figure 10:	Laparoscopic Removal of a Vermi	
Figure 11:	Distribution of gender throught the st	aud45
Figure 12:	Pathology group distribution through study	
Figure 13:	White blood cell in different pathogroups	

Figure 14:	Total bilirubin in different pathology groups	50
Figure 15:	Receiver operating characteristic curve for WBCs in differentiating the non- complicated appendicitis and negative cases	52
Figure 16:	Receiver operating characteristic curve for WBCs and total serum bilirubin levels in differentiating the negative group and complicated group	55
Figure 17:	Receiver operating characteristic curve for WBCs and total serum bilirubin levels in differentiating the acute non complicated group and complicated group complicated group	59
	group compricated group	י כ

Introduction

n 1886, Reginald H Fitz, a Harvard pathologist, first delineated the clinical condition of acute appendicitis (i.e., inflammation of the vermiform appendix) (Richmond B., 2017). He properly identified the importance of its early diagnosis and timely treatment, as indicated by his analysis of 257 cases of perforating inflammation of the appendix and 209 cases of typhlitis or peri typhlitis (RH, 1886). A couple of years later, Charles McBurney delineated the clinical findings before rupture and advocated early surgical intervention. Despite aggressive intervention, mortality and morbidity remained high through the remainder of the nineteenth century and also the first half of the twentieth century. The mortality related to appendicitis declined with the introduction of antibiotics and with the development of anesthesia and higher perioperative care. Acute appendicitis remains one among the foremost common surgical diseases encountered by physicians.

Appendicitis is one of the most typical causes of abdominal pain and surgical emergencies requiring emergency treatment, and appendicectomy remains among the most frequently performed emergency surgeries worldwide (Shogilev et al., 2014). Often, it's tough to succeed in a correct diagnosis because there may not be classical symptoms and signs of appendicitis. Different clinical signs and symptoms perpetually mimic the diagnosis of acute appendicitis, as there

are variety of causes resulting in pain in right iliac fossa notably in female patients. diagnosing acute appendicitis clinically still remains a typical surgical problem. correct diagnosis will be assisted by extra testing or expectant management or both. These may delay laparotomy and cause appendiceal perforation with raised morbidity and hospital stay. A safe alternative seems to be appendicectomy as shortly as the condition is suspected, a technique that will increase the number of unneeded appendectomies (*Fair et al., 2015*).

One of the most common and feared complications of the acute appendicitis is perforation of appendix. the general rate of perforated appendix is 25.8%, children <5 years old, patients >65 years old have the highest rates of perforation (45% and 51, respectively) (Omari et al., 2014); (Papandria et al., 2013). The perforation of appendix results in higher incidence of postoperative infection and longer hospital stay (Temple et al., 1995). To decrease the morbidity and mortality of perforated appendix, a preoperative diagnosing of perforation should be sought as soon as possible. To stop the harmful effects of perforated appendix, a surgeon needs diagnostic tools which can signal perforation of appendix at the early stages, as a result of which the delay within the management of perforated appendix will not be witnessed. One newer diagnostic tool added to the spectrum is hyperbilirubinemia, as elevated total blood serum bilirubin has been seen to signal perforation (Chaudhary et al., 2013); (Iftikhar et al., 2019). Hyperbilirubinemia in patients with

appendicitis could have a predictive potential for preoperative diagnosis of appendiceal perforation. The sensitivity of elevated total serum bilirubin is almost like that of elevated total white blood cell count and CRP however specificity of elevated total serum bilirubin is higher (100%) as compared to elevated TLC and C-reactive protein (*Zejnullahu et al.*, 2018). This study was undertaken to evaluate the sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of serum hyperbilirubinemia in acute appendicitis and its complications.

Aim of the Work

The aim of the present study is to evaluate the value of serum bilirubin measurement in cases of complicated acute appendicitis.

Embryology

mbryology of the appendix is useful in appreciating L traditional anatomy from variants. In utero, development is intimately associated with that of the midgut. At 4 weeks, the midgut, equipped by the superior mesenteric artery, herniates into the umbilical cord. The foregut and hindgut do not herniate because of retention bands. At 5 weeks, the pre-arterial segment of the midgut returns into the abdomen because the gut rotates counterclockwise. The appendix is histologically visible by eight weeks of gestation. By twelve weeks, the post-arterial segment has reduced and therefore the cecum is within the higher abdomen with a 270° gut rotation. The gut continues to stretch as components of primitive mesentery fuse to fix the duodenum, ascending and descending colon to the posterior abdominal wall. Because the cecum forms, the appendix emerges as a bud off of the cecum. Elongation of the colon separates the cecum and therefore the appendix. because the appendix is pushed before the cecum, it adopts numerous positions, apparently randomly. No current literature has explained this process. Appendix might be absent in rare situations also duplication of the appendix explained by three processes: double-barreled, bird type or tenia coli duplication (Dubhashi et al., 2015). Left-sided appendicitis is also reported in literature, but seldom encountered in practice. It could be found in cases of situs inversus, non-rotation of the large intestine, wandering

cecum and excessively large appendix with its tip crossing the midplane. Though the appendix is subject to diverticulum formation just like the remainder of the bowel, there are few reports of the formation of true congenital appendicular diverticula. Favara found an association between genetic abnormalities and congenital diverticula (Favara, 1968).

Anatomy

Surgical anatomy

Ourgical Anatomy The appendix is vermiform (wormlike) formed tube. it is typically five to nine cm long however can vary from a pair of cm to twenty-five cm. it is a comparatively mobile structure and may exist in a variety of orientations. This could have an effect on the clinical presentation of appendicitis because it irritates adjacent structures. Though, it started as a funnel-shaped in utero life, the appendix during adult life in a narrow-lumen bud from the cecum. This explains why appendicitis could be a rare entity in infanthood. The base of the appendix is typically found at a fixed point. The surface anatomy of the appendicular base is described by McBurney's point, a third of the distance along the line between the anterior superior os spine and the umbilicus. It may occupy one amongst many positions. The most common positions seen in clinical practice are retro-cecal or retro-colic, pelvic, or descending (when the appendix hangs dependently over the pelvic brim, in close relevance to the right uterine tube and ovary in females). Alternative positions, including subcaecal (below the caecum), and pre- or post-ileal (anterior or posterior to the terminal ileum respectively), are sometimes seen, particularly when there is an extended appendicular mesentery that permits greater mobility. It is reported the likelihood of changes in appendix position (Ghorbani et al., 2014).