

UTILIZATION OF CRUSHED GLASS AND CERAMIC WASTES AS A FILTRATION MEDIUM IN DRINKING WATER PLANTS

Submitted By

Mahmoud Sayed Ibrahim El-Sayed Ahmed

B.Sc. of Science (Chemistry), Faculty of science, Ain Shams University, 2005

A thesis submitted in Partial Fulfilment
Of
The Requirement for the Master Degree
In
Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Basic Sciences
Institute of Environmental Studies and Research
Ain Shams University

2019

APPROVAL SHEET
**UTILIZATION OF CRUSHED GLASS AND CERAMIC
WASTES AS A FILTRATION MEDIUM IN
DRINKING WATER PLANTS**

Submitted By

Mahmoud Sayed Ibrahim El-Sayed Ahmed

B.Sc. of Science (Chemistry), Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, 2005

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirement for the Master Degree

In

Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Basic Sciences

This thesis Towards a Master Degree in Environmental Sciences

Has been approved by:

Name

Signature

1-Prof. Dr. Hanan Sayed Abd El-Rahman

Prof. of Water Treatment
National Research Center

2-Dr. Farida Mohamed El Ders

Associate Prof. of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry
Faculty of Science
Helwan University

3-Prof. Dr. Taha Abd El Azieem Mohamed Abd El- Razek

Prof. of Environmental Chemistry
Vice Dean of Institute of Environmental Studies & Research for
Environment & Community Affairs
Ain Shams University

2019

UTILIZATION OF CRUSHED GLASS AND CERAMIC WASTES AS A FILTRATION MEDIUM IN DRINKING WATER PLANTS

Submitted By

Mahmoud Sayed Ibrahim El-Sayed Ahmed

B.Sc. of Science (Chemistry), Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, 2005

A thesis submitted in Partial Fulfilment
Of
The Requirement for the Master Degree
In
Environmental Sciences
Department of Environmental Basic Sciences

Under The supervision of:

1- Prof. Dr. Taha Abd El Azieem Mohamed Abd El-Razek

Prof. of Environmental Chemistry
Vice Dean of Environmental Studies & Research for
Environment & Community Affairs
Ain Shams University

2 - Dr. Yahia Hamdy Mohamed El Bashar

Lecturer of physics
Faculty of science
Aswan University

2019

Acknowledgement

Praise and thanks to **ALLAH SUBHANAHU WATAALA**, the most graceful and merciful for directing me the right way.

First of all, no words of gratitude could express my feelings to words **Prof. Dr. Taha Abd El Azieem Mohamed Abd El Razek** Prof. of Env. Chemistry-Vice Dean of Institute of Environment & Community Affairs, Ain Shams University for planning this work, his encouragement, valuable advice and criticism without his generous and valuable assistance and dedicated effort in reviewing the manuscript, this work would have lost its value. It is an honour working under his supervision.

My greatest thanks to **Dr. Yahia Hamdy Mohamed**, lecturer of physics, Faculty of science, Aswan University, for his kind support and continuous encouragement, valuable advice.

My thanks to all staff members of the laboratory sector and AL-Amerea lab. And plant in Cairo Company for drinking water and for all kinds of generous facilities they afforded me.

I feel deeply thankful to all staff members of Environmental Basic Science.

Finally, I would like to thank all members of my family; especially the spirit of my parents.

Abstract

Filtration is one of the important operations carried out to produce drinking water. Sand filtration is a conventional method for drinking water filtration. This work aims at investigating the replacement of sand with crushed glass or ceramics with diameter size 0.8-1.4 mm. Samples of crushed glass and ceramics were washed, sieved to the nominal size. Filtration columns were filled with crushed glass, ceramics or sand at different height (30, 45 and 60 cm) and the feeding water after coagulation process (2.9, 4.8 and 6.5 NTU) at a flow rate (4, 6 and 8 m³/m²/h). Filtration process is running and filtered water is collected and analysed for turbidity and pH. Results showed that all samples of feeding raw water turbidity were reduced after filtration using crushed glass, crushed ceramics and sand media. Turbidities after using crushed glass as a filtration media ranged from (0.51-1.88 NTU), crushed ceramics ranged from (0.69-2.11 NTU) and sand ranged from (0.48-1.86 NTU). Results of pH of filtrate water using crushed glass media and sand media was recorded the same before and after filtration. When crushed ceramics was used as a filtration media with entrance feeding raw water of pH 7.38, the filtrated water pH ranged from (7.42-7.61). From the results it can be conclude that crushed glass media and ceramics media has the capability to remove turbidity and provide quality effluents conform with international specifications (less than 1NTU), the filter containing crushed glass produced effluent turbidities and pH similar to those obtained with the sand filter.

Keywords: Filtration media, Crushed Glass, Crushed Ceramics, Turbidity.

LIST OF CONTENT

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Chapter 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 General..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Background..... | 1 |
| 1.3. Problem Statement..... | 2 |
| 1.4. Filtration process description..... | 3 |
| 1.5. Aim of the study..... | 3 |
| 2. Chapter 2. LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| 2.1. Pre filtration treatment..... | 5 |
| 2.2. Description of Existing Drinking Water System..... | 6 |
| 2.2.1 Coagulation and Flocculation..... | 6 |
| 2.2.2. Sedimentation..... | 6 |
| 2.2.3 Filtration..... | 7 |
| 2.2.4. Disinfection..... | 7 |
| 2.3 Types of filtration..... | 8 |
| 2.3.1 Slow sand filtration..... | 8 |
| 2.3.1.1. SSF Characteristics..... | 9 |
| 2.3.1.2 Advantages and disadvantages of SSF..... | 9 |
| 2.3.2 Rapid sand filtration (RSF)..... | 10 |
| 2.3.2.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of RSF..... | 12 |
| 2.4. Filtration efficiency..... | 12 |
| 2.5. Filter operation..... | 13 |
| 2.6. Filter monitoring instrumentation | 14 |
| 2.7. Indicators of normal operating conditions..... | 14 |
| 2.7.1. Process action of normal operating..... | 15 |
| 2.8. Indicator of Abnormal conditions..... | 15 |
| 2.8.1. Process actions of abnormal conditions..... | 16 |
| 2.9. Characterization of filter media..... | 16 |
| 2.10.1. Effective Particle Size..... | 17 |
| 2.10.2. Uniformity Coefficient..... | 17 |
| 2.11. Granular Media Filtering..... | 18 |
| 2.11.1 Sand..... | 19 |
| 2.11.2. Anthracite..... | 20 |
| 2.12. Filtration Mechanisms..... | 20 |
| 2.12.1. Mechanical straining..... | 21 |
| 2.12.2. Sedimentation | 22 |
| 2.12.3. Interception..... | 22 |
| 2.12.4. Adhesion..... | 23 |
| 2.12.5. Flocculation..... | 23 |
| 2.12.6. Biological Metabolism..... | 23 |
| 2.13. Characteristics of crushed glass..... | 24 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 2.14. Characteristics of ceramic..... | 28 |
| 2.14.1. Uses of ceramic waste substitutions..... | 28 |
| 3. Chapter 3. MATERIALS AND EXPERMENTAL TECHNIQUES..... | 30 |
| 3.1. Description of AL-Amerea water treatment plant..... | 30 |
| 3.2. Materials used..... | 31 |
| 3.2.1 Samples of glass, ceramic and sand..... | 31 |
| 3.3. Equipments used..... | 32 |
| 3.3.1. Crushing machine..... | 32 |
| 3.3.2 Shaker with Sieves..... | 32 |
| 3.3.3 Pilot filter..... | 33 |
| 3.3.4 Oven..... | 34 |
| 3.3.5 pH meter..... | 34 |
| 3.3.6 Turbidity meter..... | 34 |
| 3.4. Tests..... | 35 |
| 3.4.1. Turbidity Test..... | 35 |
| 3.4.2. pH Test..... | 35 |
| 3.4.3 Sieve Analysis..... | 36 |
| 3.5. Filtration Process..... | 37 |
| 3.5.1 The Procedure of filtration process..... | 38 |
| 3.5.1.1 Using conventional sand as a filer media..... | 38 |
| 3.5.1.2 Using crushed glass as filer media..... | 38 |
| 3.5.1.3 Using crushed ceramic as filer media..... | 49 |
| 4. Chapter 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION..... | 45 |
| 4.1. Composition of sand, crushed glass and crushed ceramic samples.... | 40 |
| 4.2. Monitoring turbidity of raw water..... | 41 |
| 4.3. Monitoring pH of raw water..... | 43 |
| 4.4. Calibration of turbidity meter..... | 44 |
| 4.5. Calibration of pH meter..... | 45 |
| 4.6. Results of Sieve analysis..... | 46 |
| 4.6.1. Sieve analysis of crushed glass..... | 46 |
| 4.6.2. Sieve analysis of crushed ceramic..... | 47 |
| 4.6.3. Sieve analysis of sand..... | 48 |
| 4.6.4 Sieve curve of sand..... | 49 |
| 4.6.5. Sieve curve of crushed glass..... | 50 |
| 4.6.6. Sieve curve of crushed ceramics..... | 51 |
| 4.7. Filtration process using conventional sand..... | 52 |
| 4.8. Filtration process using crushed glass..... | 54 |
| 4.9. Filtration process using crushed ceramics..... | 56 |
| 4.7. Filtration process using conventional sand..... | 52 |
| 4.8. Filtration process using crushed glass..... | 54 |
| 4.9. Filtration process using crushed ceramics..... | 56 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 4.10. Calculation rate of flow (ROF)..... | 58 |
| 4.11. Results of filtrated water..... | 58 |
| 4.11.1. Results of Turbidity..... | 58 |
| 4.11.1.1 Effect of medium height..... | 62 |
| 4.11.1.2 Effect of Rate of filtration (RoF)..... | 62 |
| 4.12. Turbidity removal efficiency..... | 68 |
| 4.13. Recording changes in pH | 69 |
| 4.13.1 Effect of height of medium..... | 70 |
| 4.13.2. Effect of Rate of filtration (RoF)..... | 71 |
| 4.14. Results of analysis of raw water and filtrated water..... | 73 |
| 4.15. Conclusion..... | 74 |
| 4.16. Cost information..... | 75 |
| Egyptian standard specification for drinking water..... | 76 |
| Summary..... | 77 |
| References..... | 82 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table no. | Title | page |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Chemical composition of sand, crushed glass and crushed ceramics samples. | 40 |
| 2 | Sieve analysis of crushed glass | 46 |
| 3 | Sieve analysis of crushed ceramic | 47 |
| 4 | Sieve analysis of sand | 48 |
| 5 | operation condition of filtration process using conventional sand, | 52 |
| 6 | Operation condition of filtration process using crushed glass. | 54 |
| 7 | operation condition of filtration process using crushed ceramics. | 56 |
| 8 | Turbidity results with feeding raw water turbidity 2.9 NTU | 59 |
| 9 | Turbidity results with feeding raw water turbidity 4.8 NTU | 60 |
| 10 | Turbidity results with feeding raw water turbidity 2.9 NTU | 61 |
| 11 | Turbidity removal ratio using 3 filter media | 68 |
| 12 | Results of pH after filtration | 70 |
| 13 | Results of analysis of raw water and filtrated water | 73 |
| 14 | Egyptian standard specification for drinking water | 76 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Fig.# | Title | page |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Coagulation process | 6 |
| 2 | Flocculation process | 6 |
| 3 | Sedimentation process | 6 |
| 4 | Stages of surface water treatment | 7 |
| 5 | Slow sand filter | 9 |
| 6 | Rapid sand filter | 11 |
| 7 | shape of graded media | 18 |
| 8 | mechanical straining | 21 |
| 9 | Sedimentation mechanism | 22 |
| 10 | Interception mechanism | 22 |
| 11 | Adhesion mechanism | 23 |
| 12 | Flocculation mechanism | 23 |
| 13 | biological metabolism mechanism | 24 |
| 14 | Al-A-Amerea WTP | 30 |
| 15 | Crushed glass after washing and drying | 31 |
| 16 | Crushed ceramic after washing and drying | 31 |
| 17 | Crusher machine | 32 |
| 18 | Sieve shaker | 32 |
| 19 | Column filter | 33 |
| 20 | pH Meter | 34 |
| 21 | Turbidity Meter | 34 |
| 22 | Crushed glass | 37 |
| 23 | crushed ceramic | 37 |
| 24 | Monitoring turbidity of clarifier (1). | 41 |
| 25 | Monitoring turbidity of clarifier (2). | 42 |
| 26 | Clarifiers water with 2.9 NTU | 42 |
| 27 | Clarifiers water with 4.8 NTU | 42 |
| 28 | Clarifiers water with 6.5 NTU | 42 |
| 29 | Monitoring pH of clarifier no. (1). | 43 |
| 30 | Monitoring pH of clarifier no. (2). | 43 |
| 31 | Calibration curve of turbidity meter. | 44 |
| 32 | Calibration curve of pH meter. | 45 |
| 33 | particle size distribution sand | 49 |
| 34 | particle size distribution crushed glass | 50 |
| 35 | particle size distribution crushed ceramics | 51 |
| 36 | Filtration using sand as a filtration media | 53 |

| | | |
|----|--|----|
| 37 | Filtration using crushed glass as a filtration media | 55 |
| 38 | Filtration using crushed ceramic as a filtration media | 57 |
| 39 | Results of turbidity after filtration with raw water | 63 |
| | 2.9 NTU at flow rate $4 \text{ m}^3 / \text{h}$ | |
| 40 | Results of turbidity after filtration with raw water | 64 |
| | 2.9 NTU at flow rate $6 \text{ m}^3 / \text{h}$ | |
| 41 | Results of turbidity after filtration with raw water | 64 |
| | 2.9 NTU at flow rate $8 \text{ m}^3 / \text{h}$ | |
| 42 | Results of turbidity after filtration with raw water | 65 |
| | 4.8 NTU at flow rate $4 \text{ m}^3 / \text{h}$ | |
| 43 | Results of turbidity after filtration with raw water | 65 |
| | 4.8 NTU at flow rate $6 \text{ m}^3 / \text{h}$ | |
| 44 | Results of turbidity after filtration with raw water | 66 |
| | 4.8 NTU at flow rate $8 \text{ m}^3 / \text{h}$ | |
| 45 | Results of turbidity after filtration with raw water | 66 |
| | 6.5 NTU at flow rate $4 \text{ m}^3 / \text{h}$ | |
| 46 | Results of turbidity after filtration with raw water | 67 |
| | 6.5 NTU at flow rate $6 \text{ m}^3 / \text{h}$ | |
| 47 | Results of turbidity after filtration with raw water | 67 |
| | 6.5 NTU at flow rate $8 \text{ m}^3 / \text{h}$ | |
| 48 | Values of pH of filtrated water after filtration with | 71 |
| | raw water of pH 7.38. | |
| 49 | Values of pH of filtrated water after filtration with | 72 |
| | raw water of pH 7.42. | |
| 50 | Values of pH of filtrated water after filtration with | 72 |
| | raw water of pH 7.48 | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

| | |
|-----|------------------------|
| SSF | Slow sand filter |
| RSF | Rapid sand filter |
| ROF | Rate of filtration |
| XRF | X-ray fluorescence |
| S | Sand |
| G | Crushed glass |
| C | Crushed ceramic |
| ES | Effective Size |
| UC | Uniformity Coefficient |
| LOI | Loss of ignition |
| XRF | X-ray fluorescence |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. General

Filtration is the last step in the solids removal process. From a water quality standpoint, filter outflow turbidity will give a good indication of overall process performance. Filter outflow turbidity may be monitored and recorded on a continuous basis by turbidity meter. A continuous water quality monitors, such as turbidity meters, and pH monitors will give early warning of process unfortune and will aid in making fast categorization of process performance. Sand filtration is the formal method for drinking water filtration (**Amirtharajah, 2003**)

1.2. Background

Water is one amongst the foremost substantial necessities to lifetime and most human activities involve the utilization of water in a way or another. It's currently universally accepted that providing a community with safe water, epidemics of water-borne diseases will be prevented. Untreated surface water has long been best-known to be the supply of abundant human malady. The first objective of any water theme is to provide safe water in enough amounts. To attain top quality potable water, the water ought to endure a series of treatment processes like screening, curdling, natural action, geological phenomenon, filtration and medical aid. The surface water is that the main supply for water in most developing countries. The most drawback in victimization surface water as supply of water is high share of clay and suspended solids. Filtration is that the foremost joint technique to induce eliminates clay and suspended solids. Filtration is that the most joint methodology to get rid of clay and suspended solids. In filtration method, water is sublimate by passing

through a bed of porous media that cause the retention of suspended matters inside it (WHO, 2008). In surface water filtration, slow sand filters and fast sand filters are wide used for separation of suspended solids gift in water. Rapid sand filtration is that the hottest in surface water treatment for municipal water provides thanks to its lower space demand, higher production capability and better flexibility. For low muddy surface water, the contact flocculation-filtration is that the most value effective methodology, due to its simplicity in straightforward operation and maintenance by avoiding sedimentation unit. Throughout this method, the suspended solids are flocculated by chemical addition and sent to the filter (Ives, 1992).

Within the 1700s the foremost water filters for domesticated application were applied. These were fabricated from wool, sponge and charcoal. In 1804 the foremost actual municipal water treatment plant organized by parliamentarian Thom, was inbuilt material, Scotland. The water treatment was supported on slow sand filtration, and horse and cart diffuse the water. In 1854 it absolutely was determined that an Asiatic cholera epidemic unfold through water. The happening appeared lower severe in areas wherever sand filters were put in. British human John author found that the direct produce of the eruption was pump contamination by waste material water. He applied chlorine to purify the water, and this made-up the method for water medical care (Camp, 1995).

1.3. Problem Statement

With the quick population development and endlessly increased consumption, an outsized quantity of waste materials is generated. Among them, waste glass and waste ceramic materials. Recycling of crushed glass and crushed ceramic can save energy and decrease

environmental waste. Focus on glass recycling application will also increase the application field of waste glass and promote further development of glass techniques. The increasing awareness of glass recycling speeds up focus on the use of waste glass with different forms in various fields. Large amounts of domestic, industrial and mining waste are generated annually in each country. The use of recycled materials instead of virgin materials will reduce the demanding of virgin materials **(Finkle and Ksaibati, K 2007)**.

Sand filtration is the conventional method for drinking water filtration. The replacement of sand with crushed glass or ceramics and utilizing such wastes to reduce the drain of non-renewable natural resources.

1.4. Filtration process description

Water remains the most important requirements of daily life and it must be accorded to its importance for the processing of citizens conform to the standard specifications. Filtration is the process of passing water through a porous medium to remove particulate and other impurities, including floc from the water being treated. These impurities consist of suspended particles (fine silts and clays), biological matter (bacteria, plankton, spores, cysts or other matter). The material used in filters for public water supply is normally a bed of sand, coal, or other granular substance (**Smethurst, 2010**).

1.5. Aim of the study

The main objectives of this study is to evaluate the feasibility of using crushed glass and crushed ceramics as filtration media in rapid filters for the treatment of drinking water, to monitor clarifier of Al-Amerea WTP and determine measurement in turbidity and pH , to see the efficiency in turbidity reduction using crushed glass , crushed ceramic media.