

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



HOSSAM MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم



HOSSAM MAGHRABY

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار

HOSSAM MAGHRABY



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



HOSSAM MAGHRABY



بالرسالة صفحات

لم ترد بالأصل



HOSSAM MAGHRABY

B 15V22

Environmental Impact Assessment

Comparative Study

Case study: United States, United Kingdom, New Zealand, Egypt

Presented by

Hosam Kotb EL-Sayed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CITY PLANNING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
April 2001

15 / 2467

Environmental Impact Assessment

Comparative Study

Case study: United States, United Kingdom, New Zealand, Egypt

Presented by

Hosam Kotb EL-Sayed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CITY PLANNING

Under the Supervision of

Dr. Tarek A. Aboul-Atta

**Prof. of Urban and Regional Planning
Department of Architecture**

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
April 2001**

Environmental Impact Assessment

Comparative Study

Case study: United States, United Kingdom, New Zealand, Egypt

Presented by

Hosam Kotb EL-Sayed

**A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CITY PLANNING**

**Approved by the
Examining Committee**

Prof. Dr. Tarek A. Aboul-Atta,

Thesis Main Advisor





Prof. Dr. Adel Yassin Moharram,

Member



Prof. Dr. Mohamed EL-Barmelgy,

Member



**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
April 2001**

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
LIST OF FIGURES	VII
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	X
ABSTRACT	XI

PART 1: Theoretical Approach

CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION

1.1 Interface	1
1.2 Reasons for selecting the topic.....	1
1.3 Scope of the thesis.....	2
1.4 Goals of the thesis.....	3
1.5 Hypothesis of the thesis	3
1.5.1 Group 1.....	4
1.5.2 Group 2.....	4
1.6 Methodology of the thesis.....	5

CHAPTER 2 : DEFINITIONS AND THEORITICAL PROCESS

2.1 Historical Background.....	7
2.2 Definitions.....	9
2.2.1 Environmental Impact.....	10
2.2.2 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	10
2.2.2.1 First Definition by (Munn 1975).....	10
2.2.2.2 Second definition by (Munn 1979).....	11
2.2.2.3 Third definition by (Jain, et al 1981).....	11
2.2.2.4 Forth definition by (Robin 1982).....	11
2.2.2.5 Fifth definition by (Ahmed & Sammy 1985).....	12
2.2.2.6 Sixth definition by (Armoure 1989).....	12
2.2.2.7 Seventh definition by (Diane Wiesner 1995).....	12
2.2.2.8 Eighth definition by (Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency EEAA (1996).....	13
2.2.2.9 Ninth definition by (Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency EEAA (1997).....	13
2.2.2.10 Conclusion of the definitions.....	13
2.2.2.11 Proposed definition (by the researcher).....	14
2.2.3 Environmental assessment (EA).....	15
2.2.4 Environmental impact statement (EIS)	15
2.2.5 Social Impact Assessment (SIA).....	16
2.2.6 Strategic environmental assessment (SEA)	16

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
2.3 EIA and EIS	16
2.4 Purpose and Aims of EIA	17
2.5 The EIA process	18
2.5.1 First Approach by Jain et al. (1981) and modified by John H. Baldwin (1985)	18
2.5.2 Second Approach by Ahmad & Sammy (1985)	22
2.5.3 Third Approach by British Council/Central Environmental Agency (1993).....	35
2.5.4 Fourth Approach by Judith Petts & Gev Eduljee (1994).....	44
2.5.5 Fifth approach by Diane Wiesner (1995).....	46
2.5.6 Comparative review of theoretical EIA process	56
2.5.7 Conclusion on EIA theoretical process.....	56
 CHAPTER 3 : METHODS AND TECHNIQUES	
3.1 Introduction	60
3.2 Index Approaches	60
3.2.1 Checklists	61
3.2.2 Multi-attribute utility theory	66
3.2.3 Comments on Index Methods	69
3.3 Matrices	70
3.3.1 Interaction Matrices	70
3.3.2 Chromatic Matrices	74
3.3.2.1 General Description	74
3.3.2.2 Comprehensive Scheme of the method	78
3.3.2.3 Conclusion of Chromatic Matrices	80
3.4 Overlay mapping	82
3.5 Networks	83
3.6 System Diagrams	86
3.6.1 Comments on System Diagrams	90
3.7 Quantitative Methods	91
3.8 Manuals	94
3.9 Appraisal Techniques	97
3.10 Simulation Modeling	99
3.10.1 Comments on Simulation Modeling	100
3.11 Sound Ecological principles	101
3.11.1 Comments on Sound Ecological principles	102
3.12 Conclusion of methods and techniques.....	103

Table of Contents

Page

PART 2: Practical Approach

CHAPTER 4 : PRACTICAL PROCESS

4.1 Introduction	108
4.2 The US federal EIA system.....	108
4.3 The UK EIA system.....	112
4.4 The New Zealand EIA system	118
4.5 The Egyptian EIA system.....	122

CHAPTER 5 : COMPARATIVE REVIEW OF EIA SYSTEMS

5.1 Introduction	129
5.2 EIA system effectiveness.....	129
5.3 Evaluation of EIA system effectiveness.....	132
5.4 Comparative review of EIA systems.....	135
5.5 Criterion 1: Legal basis of EIA systems.....	137
5.5.1 Introduction.....	137
5.5.2 The legal basis of EIA systems.....	137
5.5.2.1 United States of America.....	139
5.5.2.2 United Kingdom.....	140
5.5.2.3 New Zealand.....	142
5.5.2.4 Egypt.....	143
5.5.3 Summary of the legal bases of EIA systems.....	144
5.6 Criterion 2: Coverage of EIA Systems.....	146
5.6.1 Introduction.....	146
5.6.2 Coverage of actions and impacts.....	146
5.6.2.1 United States of America.....	148
5.6.2.2 United Kingdom.....	150
5.6.2.3 New Zealand.....	151
5.6.2.4 Egypt.....	153
5.6.3 Summary of the coverage of the EIA systems.....	154
5.7 Criterion 3: Consideration of alternatives in EIA Systems.....	155
5.7.1 Introduction.....	155
5.7.2 Consideration of alternatives in EIA Systems.....	155
5.7.2.1 United States of America.....	158
5.7.2.2 United Kingdom.....	159
5.7.2.3 New Zealand.....	160

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
5.7.2.4 Egypt.....	161
5.7.3 Summary of the consideration of alternatives in the EIA systems.....	162
5.8 Criterion 4: Screening of actions.....	164
5.8.1 Introduction.....	164
5.8.2 Treatment of the screening of significant actions in EIA Systems.....	164
5.8.2.1 United States of America.....	166
5.8.2.2 United Kingdom.....	168
5.8.2.3 New Zealand.....	169
5.8.2.4 Egypt.....	171
5.8.3 Summary of the treatment of screening in the EIA Systems.....	172
5.9 Criterion 5: Scoping of impacts.....	174
5.9.1 Introduction.....	174
5.9.2 Determination of the scope of the EIA report.....	174
5.9.2.1 United States of America.....	178
5.9.2.2 United Kingdom.....	179
5.9.2.3 New Zealand.....	181
5.9.2.4 Egypt.....	182
5.9.3 Summary of the treatment of scoping in the EIA systems.....	183
5.10 Criterion 6: EIA report preparation.....	185
5.10.1 Introduction.....	185
5.10.2 Content of EIA reports.....	185
5.10.3 Preparation of EIA reports.....	189
5.10.3.1 United States of America.....	192
5.10.3.2 United Kingdom.....	193
5.10.3.3 New Zealand.....	194
5.10.3.4 Egypt.....	196
5.10.4 Summary of EIA report preparation in the EIA systems.....	197
5.11 Criterion 7: EIA report review.....	199
5.11.1 Introduction.....	199
5.11.2 Review of EIA reports.....	199
5.11.2.1 United States of America.....	202
5.11.2.2 United Kingdom.....	202
5.11.2.3 New Zealand.....	204
5.11.2.4 Egypt.....	207
5.11.3 Summary of the treatment of EIA report review.....	208