

Elective Induction of Labour in Normal Pregnant Nulliparous Women at 39 Weeks versus Expectant Management: Randomized Controlled Trial

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in **Obstetrics and Cynecology**

By

Mohamed Dosoky Mohamed

M.B.B.Ch, 2014

Under Supervision of

Prof. Hassan Awwad Bayoumi

Professor and Head of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Samir Sweed

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Abbass

Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University
2020



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Wassan Awwad Bayoumi**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to **Dr. Mohamed Samir**Sweed, Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology,
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his sincere
efforts, fruitful encouragement.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Abbass**, Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of
Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great help,
outstanding support, active participation and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Mohamed Dosoky Mohamed

Tist of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	
List of Figures	6
List of Abbreviations	7
Protocol	
Introduction	1 -
Aim of the Work	
Review of Literature	
Physiology of Labor	13
■ Induction of Labor	
Misoprostol	
Patients and Methods	
Results	
Discussion	
Summary	
Conclusion and Recommendations	
References	
Arabic Summary	

Tist of Tables

Table No.	. Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Modified Bishop's Score	32
Table (2):	Baseline characteristics of both st	•
Table (3):	Mode of delivery in both study groups	75
Table (4):	Time to delivery in both study groups.	77
Table (5):	Risk analysis for cesarean delivery	79
Table (6):	Fetal birth weight in both study group	s 80
Table (7):	Incidence of neonatal adverse outcome both study groups	
Table (8):	Incidence of maternal adverse outco in both study groups	
Table (9):	Multivariable logistic regression anal for effect of elective induction occurrence of cesarean delivery adjusted for gestational age, Bishop s and birth weight	on as core

Tist of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Uterine smooth muscle	23
Figure (2):	Misoprostol chemical structure	47
Figure (3):	Flow chart	73
Figure (4):	Mode of delivery in both study group	os75
Figure (5):	Rate of SVD, instrumental delivery in both study groups	
Figure (6):	Rate of Vaginal or cesarean delivership both study groups.	-
Figure (7):	Mean time to delivery in both groups. Error bars represent th confidence interval (95% CI)	e 95%
Figure (8):	Kaplan-Meier (K-M) curves for the vaginal delivery	
Figure (9):	Incidence of neonatal and m adverse outcomes in both study grou	

Tist of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
μg	Microgram
· -	American college of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
<i>AROM</i>	Artificial Rupture Of Membranes
<i>BMICI</i>	Body Mass IndexConfidence Interval
<i>Cm</i>	Centimeter
COX-2C.N.S	Cycloxygenase-2Central Nervous System
CS	Cesarean section
CTGFHR	Cardio TocographyFetal Heart Rate
	Glyceryl Trinitrate
HBsAg	hepatitis B surface antigen
	Hematopoietic prostaglandin D synthases
Hrs	
<i>IUGR</i>	Intra Uterine Growth Restriction
<i>lPGDS</i>	Lipocalin prostaglandin D synthases
<i>Mg</i>	Milligram
Min	
<i>Ml</i>	Milliliter
mU	Milliunit
<i>NADPH</i>	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate-Oxidase
<i>NICU</i>	Neonatal intensive care unit
<i>NO</i>	Nitric Oxide
NSAIDs	Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
<i>NST</i>	Non Stress Test
<i>PROM</i>	Pre-Labor Rupture Of Membranes
RCT	Randomized controlled trial
<i>ROM</i>	Rupture Of Membranes

Tist of Abbreviations cont...

Abb.	Full term
SD	Standard Deviation
<i>T</i>	
TENS	Transcutaneous nerve stimulation
<i>TNF</i>	Tumor Necrosis Factor
<i>TXA</i>	Thromboxane
<i>TxAS</i>	Thromboxane A synthase
U $\square S$	Ultrasono graphy
Wks	Weeks
<i>Yrs</i>	Years

Introduction

arturition is the culmination of a period of pregnancy with the expulsion of one or more newborn infants from a woman's uterus. The process of normal childbirth is categorized in three stages of labor: the shortening and dilation of the cervix, descent and birth of the infant, and birth of the placenta (Wiberg-Itzel et al., 2016).

Induction of labor is defined as the process of artificially initiating uterine contractions, prior to their spontaneous onset, with progressive effacement and dilatation of the cervix and ultimately, the delivery of the baby (*Martin et al.*, 2005).

Induction of labor is indicated when it is thought that delivering the baby will be safer for the baby and/or the mother, than for the baby to remain in utero. There are many indications for induction of labor in the obstetric practice, of which prolonged gestational age stands as the most common indication, maternal health problems include hypertension, pre-eclampsia, diabetes and obstetric cholestasis, Fetal growth restriction premature rupture of membranes (>37 weeks' gestation), intrauterine fetal death. Induction of labor should be offered if mother is physically well with intact membranes (Josie, 2003).

Absolute Contraindications for induction of labor are cephalopelvic disproportion, placenta praevia, vasa praevia, cord prolapse, transverse lie, active primary genital herpes, previous



classical Caesarean section, breech presentation, triplet or higher order pregnancy, previous low transverse caesarean sections.

Unsuccessful labor induction is most likely when the cervix is unfavorable, and in this circumstance prostaglandin beneficial. preparations have proved to he Those prostaglandins, which have been registered for cervical ripening and labor induction, are expensive and unstable and require refrigerated storage (Weeks et al., 2006).

Timing of delivery is a vital component of a healthy pregnancy. An increase in morbidity and mortality exists on both ends of the gestational age at delivery spectrum. On one hand, preterm birth is the leading cause of neonatal morbidity and mortality in the United States and is associated with substantial societal and healthcare costs. On the other, late-term and postterm pregnancies are also associated with increased maternal, fetal and neonatal risks. Because of these risks, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) states that a provider may consider induction of labor between 41 0/7 and 41 6/7 weeks gestational age and recommends induction of labor after 42 0/7 weeks gestational age (*Rachel et al.*, 2018).

While obstetricians generally agree on the management of late- and post-term pregnancies, uncertainty exists over the optimal timing of delivery among pregnancies between 39 and 41 weeks gestation. On one hand, elective induction of labor at 39 weeks gestational age avoids potential risks of ongoing



pregnancies including preeclampsia and stillbirth. One European analysis quantified the total pregnancy loss rate at 39, 40 and 41 weeks as 1.4%, 2.4% and 2.8%, respectively. Additionally, elective induction decreases the risk of macrosomia with its attendant risk of shoulder dystocia with or without permanent brachial plexus injury. Both preeclampsia and fetal macrosomia increase the risk of cesarean delivery. However, induction of labor also carries risk. Women who undergo induction of labor have higher rates uterine hyperstimulation and Category II and III fetal heart rate tracings. Additionally, nulliparous patients with an unfavorable cervix undergoing induction of labor may carry a higher rate of cesarean delivery (Vahratian et al., 2005).

Available literature to guide clinicians is biased by retrospective prospective data and studies that are underpowered to detect differences in several clinically important outcomes. When empirical studies do not exist or are difficult to conduct, mathematical modeling may be the best option available to derive rational policy. Therefore, in light of this clinical equipoise, our objective was to perform a comparative effectiveness analysis of elective induction of labor at 39 weeks gestational age among nulliparous women with uncomplicated pregnancies as compared to expectant management with induction of labor for standard medical or obstetrical indications or at 41 weeks gestation in undelivered mothers (Stock et al., 2015).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this study is to compare between elective induction of labour at 39 weeks gestation in nulliparous women versus expectant management as regard rate of ceasrean section.

Research Question:

In normal pregnant nulliparous women at 39 weeks gestation, does elective induction of labour have similar rate of cesarean section (CS) to expectant management at term?

Research Hypothesis:

Elective induction of labour in normal pregnant nulliparous women at 39 weeks gestation have the same rate of cesarean section as expectant management.

Chapter 1

PHYSIOLOGY OF LABOR

abor is a physiologic process during which the products of conception (i.e., the fetus, membranes, umbilical cord, and placenta) are expelled outside the uterus after the age of fetal viability. Labor is achieved with biochemical changes in the connective tissue and with gradual effacement and dilatation of the uterine cervix as a result of rhythmic uterine contractions of sufficient frequency, intensity, and duration (*ACOG*, 2013).

Labor is a clinical diagnosis. The onset of labor is defined as regular, painful uterine contractions resulting in progressive cervical effacement and dilatation (*Chong et al.*, 2004).

Although the precise mechanisms that underlie the initiation of parturition in humans remain to be elucidated, a series of natural experiments and clinical observations provide valuable insights. Conditions that disrupt the fetal hypothalamic-pituitary adrenal (HPA) axis (e.g., anencephaly in the absence of polyhydramnios) or the synthesis of estrogen by the placenta (e.g., placental sulfatase deficiency) lead to prolonged gestation. That prostaglandins play a crucial role is suggested by the finding that prostaglandin synthetase delay parturition, whereas inhibitors administration prostaglandins initiates parturition. Thus, theories of the initiation of parturition in humans must reconcile the need for an intact fetal HPA axis, increasing placental estrogen synthesis, and enhanced reproductive tract prostaglandin activity (*Lockwood*, 2004).

In most mammalian species, including humans, estrogen levels increase in the amniotic fluid and plasma before the onset of term parturition (*Challis et al.*, 2000).

In most mammals, maturation of the fetal HPA axis and development of the transient "fetal inner zone" of the fetal adrenal gland cause an abrupt increase in circulating cortisol levels that activate the placental 17 α -hydroxylase and 17,20-lyase enzyme to shunt steroid precursors away from the progesterone to the estradiol synthetic pathway (*Lockwood*, 2004).

Fetal control of human parturition:

The role of the fetal hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis:

Many lines of evidence suggest that development and maturation of the fetal HPA axis are the primary regulators of the onset of parturition (*Lockwood*, 2004).

Plasma Corticotropin Releasing Hormone levels increase dramatically during the second half of pregnancy, peak during labor, and rapidly decline in the postpartum period, whereas levels of its inactivating binding protein decrease in the third trimester (*Mastorakos et al.*, 2003).

Although CRH levels increase sharply at term, labor also is associated with increased expression of the CRH receptor-2 in the chorion and myometrium and of the type-1 receptor in the amnion, chorion, and myometrium (*Jirecek*, 2002).

Using data that were obtained from cordocentesis, they showed that fetal CRH and cortisol also increase during the second half of gestation and that fetal cortisol levels correlate most strongly with placental CRH secretion. This suggests that placental CRH expression drives fetal HPA activation. One explanation for this paradoxic cortisol stimulation of placental CRH expression may rest with the decreased levels of prostaglandins receptor expression in trophoblasts and progesterones weak antagonist effects on the Glucocorticoid Receptor (GR) (*Lockwood et al.*, 1996).

Increasing maternal and fetal cortisol levels at term progressively overcome progesterones tonic inhibition of cortisol/GR-mediated CRH expression, however. The resulting increase in placental-derived CRH "inappropriately" stimulates maternal and fetal pituitary corticotropin production, which normally would be suppressed significantly by the increasing cortisol levels. This feed-forward loop is more pronounced in the fetus than in the mother, that maternal corticotropin concentrations decrease modestly, whereas fetal corticotropin levels increase with increasing gestational age, despite increasing cortisol levels in both compartments (*Lockwood et al.*, 1996).