



“Functionalized Natural biopolymer for removal of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from oil/gas plant wastewaters”

“A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of Master of Science as a partial fulfillment for requirements of the master of science”

By

Mohamed Adel Moustafa Abdelaziz

B.Sc. Chemistry- Applied Chemistry (2009)

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Mostafa Mohamed Hassan Khalil

Prof. of Inorganic Chemistry- Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Yasser Mohamed Moustafa

Prof. of Petroleum and Environmental
Chemistry, and Director of Egyptian
Petroleum Research Institute

Dr. / Sherif Ali Younis

Assistant Prof. of Applied
Chemistry, Egyptian Petroleum
Research Institute

***Chemistry Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University***

2020

Dedication

I dedicate this work to the Almighty God, who has made this work possible.

This thesis is dedicated to all the people who never stop believing in me. I also dedicate this thesis to my parents for their endless love, support and encouragement.

I also give special thanks to my sister and friends, for their kind support and contribution to the success of my studies.

I want to express my profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mostafa M.H. Khalil, Prof. Dr Yasser Mohamed Moustafa and Dr. Sherif Ali Younis** for guidance and help.

Mohamed Adel Moustafa



First and foremost, I would like to thank God for given me the wisdom, knowledge and strength to complete this work successfully.

*I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Mostafa M. H. Khalil**, Professor of Inorganic and Analytical, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for giving me the opportunity to work in this field and for giving me the chance to be one of his students. I learned from his insight a lot. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my master study. He did not only guide this work and find time to discuss with me but also gave me the confidence to express my ideas freely. His leadership, support, attention to detail, hard work have set an example I hope to match some day. Actually he was more than a supervisor, he was a teacher who inspired me and pushed me forward.*

*Many thanks to **Prof. Dr. Yasser Mohamed Moustafa**, Prof of Petroleum and Environmental Chemistry, and Director of Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute & **Dr. Sherif Ali**, Assistant Professor of Applied Chemistry in Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute (**EPRI**), for her help, supervising and support in the thesis.*

I would also like to thank my Colleagues in the Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for their help.

Contents

List of Tables.....	V
List of Figures.....	Vii
List of Abbreviations.....	Xii
Abstract.....	Xiii
Introduction.....	1
1. Review of Literature	6
1.1. Water resources in Egypt.....	6
1.2. Petroleum produced (formation) water.....	8
1.2.1. Chemical composition of produced water	9
1.2.1.1.Salts and inorganic constituents.....	10
1.2.1.2. Organic constituents	10
1.2.1.3. Production chemicals	11
1.2.2 . Environmental impact of produced water discharges.....	11
1.2.3 . Produced water management technologies.....	12
1.2.3.1 .Technologies for oil removal (De-oiling).....	13
1.2.3.2 . Technologies for salt removal.....	15
1.3 . Agricultural waste:.....	18
1.3.1. Lignin	18
1.3.1.1 .Structure and Constituents	19
1.3.1.2 .Extraction of lignin	22
1.3.1.3 .Types of lignin	23
1.3.1.4. Advantages of lignin	25
1.3.1.5. Role of lignin for the removal of heavy metals	26

1.3.2. Black Liquor in Egypt and potential uses.....	29
1.3.3. Date Pits in Egypt and potential uses.....	31
Aim of Work.....	36
2. Materials and Experimental methods.....	38
2.1. Reagent and Materials.....	38
2.2. Preparation of stock BTX solution	38
2.3. Fabrication of adsorbents materials	39
2.3.1. Preparation granular activated carbon (gAC):.....	39
2.3.2. Synthesis of Kraft Lignin (KL) biopolymer	40
2.3.3. Fabrication of hybrid gAC/KLx (x=0.33, 0.5, and 0.67).....	43
2.4. Characterization techniques of the prepared adsorbents:	44
2.4.1. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)	44
2.4.2. Powdered XRD analysis	44
2.4.3. TGA thermal analysis	44
2.4.4. Surface and morphological analysis techniques	45
2.4.4.1 Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area.....	45
2.4.4.2. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	45
2.4.4.3. Surface charge (Zeta potential) and particle size analysis...	45
2.4.4.4. Sedimentation and destabilization rate in aqueous solution	46
2.5. Analytical evaluation of adsorption performance.....	47
2.5.1. High performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) analysis.	47
2.5.2. Physical and chemical analysis of water samples.....	48
2.6. Batch adsorption experimental processes	49
2.6.1. Screen batch adsorption study	50

2.6.2. Effect of experimental parameters on adsor. performance	51
2.6.2.1. Effect of water pH.....	51
2.6.2.2. Effect of water salinity (i.e., ionic strength)	51
2.6.2.3. Effect of adsorbent mass load.....	52
2.6.2.4. Effect of contact time.....	52
2.6.2.5. Effect of initial BTX concentrations.....	53
2.6.2.6. Effect of temperature.....	53
2.6.3. Application treatment of petroleum wastewater samples	54
2.7. Adsorption Kinetic modeling.....	55
2.8. Equilibrium isotherm modeling	58
2.9. Thermodynamic analysis	61
2.10. Regeneration/reuse study	61
2.11. Validation of kinetic/isotherm model fits, and cost analysis...	62
3. Results and Discussion.....	65
3.1. Chemistry of sorbent preparation	71
3.1.1 Production of mesoporous gAC from palm-date pits waste....	71
3.1.2. Production of KL biopolymer from black pulp liquor	73
3.2. Characterization of the prepared adsorbents.....	75
3.2.1. FTIR analysis	75
3.2.2. XRD analysis	78
3.2.3. SEM morphological analysis	80
3.2.4. Particle size and surface area analysis	83
3.2.5. Thermogravimetric (TGA) analysis.....	85
3.2.6. Surface charge and sedimentation analysis.....	87
3.3. Batch-wise experimental adsorption processes	92

3.3.1. Outline sorption efficiency for BTX molecules	93
3.3.2. Adsorption optimization studies.....	100
3.3.2.1. Effect of water pH values	100
3.3.2.2. Effect of water salinity (i.e., ionic strength)	105
3.3.2.3. Effect of adsorbent dose.....	108
3.3.2.4. Effect of contact time.....	113
3.3.2.5. Effect of initial BTX concentrations.....	116
3.3.2.6. Effect of experimental temperature	119
3.4. Batch adsorption kinetic modeling	124
3.5. Equilibrium isotherm studies and mechanism.....	135
3.6. Thermodynamic calculations	145
3.7. Potential adsorption application.....	151
3.8. Regeneration cycles and cost analysis	157
Summary	162
References.....	171

List of tables

Table	Page
Table (1.1) Comparison between water resources in BCM in 2001 & 2018.	7
Table (1.2) Chemical and structural composition of lignin	20
Table (1.3) summarizes the types and different sources of lignin.	24
Table (2.1) Physio-chemical and Geno- and ecotoxicity (LC50 mg/L) properties of BTX compounds	41
Table (2.2) Two and three-parameters kinetic sorption models	56
Table (2.3) Two and three-parameters isotherm adsorption models	58
Table (3.1) Particle size distribution and surface area (pore volume and pore size) of the as-prepared gAC, KL, and gAC/KLx biocomposites	82
Table (3.2) Kinetic modeling results of the BTX sorption onto gAC sorbent and corresponding goodness-of-fit data.	129

Table	Page
Table (3.2) Kinetic modeling results of the BTX sorption onto KL biopolymer sorbent and corresponding goodness-of-fit data.	130
Table 3.2 Kinetic modeling results of the BTX sorption onto gAC/KL_{0.5} biocomposite sorbent and corresponding goodness-of-fit data.	131
Table 3.3 Isotherm model constants of single and total BTX compounds adsorption onto the prepared adsorbents (gAC, KL and gAC/KL0.5)	140
Table 3.4 Thermodynamic parameters of single and multi-BTX adsorption onto the prepared adsorbents (gAC, KL, and gAC/KL0.5) at two different initial concentrations	149

List of Figures

Figure		Page
Fig. (1.1)	Water intensive industries most critical for water recycling and reuse	2
Fig. (1.2)	Water resources in Egypt.	6
Fig. (1.3)	Position of lignin within lignocellulosic	19
Fig. (3.1)	ATR-FTIR spectra of (a) palm-date pits and gAC, and (b) KL biopolymer and its biocomposites with gAC (gAC/KL_x; x=0.33, 0.5, and 0.67).	77
Fig. (3.2)	XRD patterns of the as-prepared KL, gAC, and gAC/KL_x biocomposites (x=0.33, 0.5, and 0.67).	78
Fig.(3.3)	SEM images of (a) palm-date pits, (b) gAC, (c) KL, and (d-f) gAC/KL_x biocomposites [x= (d) 33%, (e) 50%, and (f) 67%] adsorbents.	81
Fig. (3.4)	TGA-thermal decomposition rate of the prepared gAC, KL and their composites (gAC/KL_x, x= 33, 50, and 67%) sorbents.	85
Fig. (3.5)	Compactness of settled flocs of the prepared gAC, KL and their (gAC/KL_x, x= 33, 50, and 67%) adsorbents.	89

Figure	Page
Fig. (3.6) Adsorption performance/selectivity of adsorbents materials towards BTX molecules (% & mg/g) (Column for individual BTX molecules; lines for total BTX sorption). [Condition: 5 g/L sorbent; $C_0 = 750$ mg/L for total BTX in D-H ₂ O water; pH $\approx 7 \pm 0.5$; and 12 h in batch experiment]	92
Fig.(3.7) Effects of wastewater pH values on the adsorption performance of gAC, KL, and gAC/KL0.5 adsorbents for BTX pollutants: (a) adsorptivity of total BTX onto all adsorbents and (b-d) adsorption selectivity for individual BTX molecules onto [(b) gAC, (c) KL, and (d) gAC/KL0.5 biocomposite]. [Note: lines = q_e (mg/g) and column=Removal%]	101
Fig.(3.8) Effects of water salinity (ionic strength) on the performance of BTX uptake by gAC, KL, and gAC/KL0.5 sorbents after 24 h ($C_0=250$ mg/L each sorbate and pH 7.5).	104
Fig.(3.9) Effect of adsorbent dose (5 – 25 g/L) on the adsorption performance of gAC, KL, and	109

Figure		Page
	gAC/KL0.5 sorbents for 750 mg/L BTX removal (NaCl 100 g/L, pH 7.5 and 24h)	
Fig.(3.10)	Effect of adsorbent dose (5 – 25 g/L) on the enhanced adsorption selectivity of individual BTX pollutants (250 mg/L each) onto (a) gAC, (b) KL, and (c) gAC/KL0.5 biocomposite (NaCl 100 g/L, pH 7.5 and 24h).	111
Fig.(3.11)	Effect of contact time on the adsorption performance of gAC, KL, and gAC/KL0.5 biocomposite for total BTX pollutants (750 mg/L) removal.	114
Fig.(3.12)	Effect of initial BTX concentrations on the adsorption performance of the prepared adsorbents (gAC, KL, and gAC/KL0.5) in the terms of (a) adsorption capacity and (b) removal efficiency.	117
Fig.(3.13)	The adsorption profiles of total BTX as effect of concentrations (at 750 and 1500 mg/L) onto (a) KL biopolymer, (b) gAC, and (c) gAC/KL0.5 biocomposite	120
Fig.(3.14)	Kinetic fitting curves for adsorption of (a-	132

Figure	Page
<p>c) individual BTX molecules [(a) gAC, (b) KL biopolymer, and (c) gAC/KL0.5 biocomposite] and (d) mixed BTX sorption onto the studied adsorbents.</p>	
<p>Fig.(3.15) Intraparticle diffusion profiles for adsorption of benzene, toluene, and xylene onto (a) gAC, (b) KL biopolymer, and (c) gAC/KL0.5 biocomposite.</p>	133
<p>Fig.(3.16) Adsorption isotherm of single and multi-solutes of BTX compounds onto (a) KL biopolymer, (b) gAC, and (c) gAC/KL0.5 biocomposite (symbols = experimental results; solid line = predicted results by LF-M isotherm).</p>	142
<p>Fig.(3.17) A correlation fit of L-FM model to the experimental adsorption of (a) benzene, (b) Toluene, (c) Xylene, and (d) multi-solutes BTX by the prepared KL, gAC and gAC/KL_{0.5} sorbents. (Experimental “symbols” vs. L-FM “dot line”)</p>	143
<p>Fig.(3.18) Removal efficiency of multiple polar and non-polar organic contaminants from petroleum wastewater samples using KL, gAC, and</p>	153

Figure		Page
	gAC/KL0.5 adsorbents.	
Fig.(3.19)	SEM analysis for the prepared adsorbents before [a₁) KL, b₁) gAC, and c₁) gAC/KL0.5] and after [a₂) KL, b₂) gAC, and c₂) gAC/KL0.5] PPW treatment adsorption process.	155
Fig. (3.20)	FTIR spectra of the prepared adsorbents before and after regeneration method using EtOH/hexane (1:3) eluent. (solid line “before adsorption” and dots line “after regeneration)	158
Fig.(3.21)	Reuse cycles of the prepared adsorbents (gAC, KL, and gAC/KL0.5) for adsorption of 750 mg/L BTX pollutants mixture after regeneration by EtOH/hezane (1:3 ratio) eluent for 5 cycles.	159