

Outcomes of maintenance Treatment using lenalidomide or Bortezomib in Multiple Myeloma patients post Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Clinical Hematology

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mahmoud Moussa

Professor of Internal Medicine & Clinical Hematology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Walaa Ali El salakawy

Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine & Clinical Hematology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Haitham Mohammed Mohammed Abdelbary

Lecturer of Internal Medicine & Clinical Hematology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2020



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First of all, thanks to **Allah**, most merciful and compassionate. Without the help of Allah, nothing could be done.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and deep appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mahmoud Moussa,** Professor of Internal Medicine & Hematology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his continuous scientific guidance. Words cannot adequately express my great thanks and gratitude to her.

I am delighted to express my deep gratitude and sincere thanks to **Dr. Walaa Ali El Salakawy**, Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine & Hematology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great help, endless support and kind supervision throughout the period of work.

I wish also to convey my bottomless gratitude to **Dr.***Thaitham Mohammed Mohammed Abdelbary, Lecturer of Internal Medicine & Hematology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University,, for his continuous support, valuable remarks and for offering me much of his time and effort throughout this study.

For sure, I will never forget to thank My Patients to whom this study was carried out and without their cooperation, this study was never going to appear.

Ahmed Gado

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iv
List of Abbreviations	vi
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of Literature	
Multiple Myeloma	5
Post-ASCT Strategies in MM	47
Patients and Methods	56
Results	59
Discussion	84
Summary	103
Conclusion and Recommendation	
References	108
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	International myeloma working diagnostic criteria for multiple myelorelated plasma cell disorders	oma and
Table (2):	Summary of cytogenetic risk features	24
Table (3):	Difference between Durie-Salmon System & International Staging Syste	0 0
Table (4):	Revised International Staging System	20
Table (5):	Selected Drugs With Major Sing Activity in Multiple Myeloma	•
Table (6):	Selected Drugs With Activity in Com With Other Active Agents in Myeloma	bination Multiple
Table (7):	Major Treatment Regimens in Myeloma	Multiple
Table (8):	Criteria for High-Risk Smoldering Myeloma a,b	_
Table (9):	Distribution of patients accord sociodemographic characteristics	_
Table (10):	Distribution of patients accord Cytogenetic risk and gene translocation	•
Table (11):	Distribution of patients accord Cytogenetic risk	-
Table (12):	Distribution of patients according transplant chemotherapy	-
Table (13):	Distribution of patients according to restatus before Autologous HSCT	
Table (14):	Distribution of patients according to survey	skeletal
Table (15):	Distribution of patients accord conditioning chemotherapy	ling to

List of Tables Cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (16):	Distribution of patients maintenance therapy given Bortezomib, no maintenance)	(Lenalidomide,
Table (17):	Distribution of patients acc duration of maintenance therap outcome post autologous SCT	py and patients
Table (18):	Distribution of patients Complications of maintenance	•
Table (19):	Distribution of patients Complication type.	
Table (20):	Distribution of patients sociodemographic character Cytogenetic risk and drug outcome to study groups.	eristic and come in relation
Table (21):	Distribution of patients Complications and Complication to study groups	according to tions types in
Table (22):	Distribution of patients hematological analysis in rel groups.	according to ation to study
Table (23):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of p Survival according to follow up	oatients overall
Table (24):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of (months) according to follow up	patients PFS
Table (25):	Distribution of patients accommonths) in relation to study gr	ording to OSR
Table (26):	Distribution of patients accommonths) in relation to Cytogen	•
Table (27):	Distribution of patients according (months) in relation to Diseas transplantation	ording to OSR e status before

List of Tables Cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (28):	Distribution of patients according (months) in relation to Complication	
Table (29):	Shows the distribution of patients according PFS (months) in relation to study grounds.	•
Table (30):	Shows the distribution of patients according PFS (months) in relation to Cytogenet	_
Table (31):	Shows the distribution of patients accepts (months) in relation to Diseas before transplantation	e status
Table (32):	Shows the distribution of patients according PFS (months) in relation to Complicate	•

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1): Figure (2):	The key chromosomal translocations in myel Approach to the treatment of newly dia multiple myeloma	gnosed
Figure (3):	Distribution of patients according to patient's	s sex 60
Figure (4):	Distribution of patients according to patient's	s age 60
Figure (5):	Distribution of patients according to Cytorisk and gene translocation.	
Figure (6):	Distribution of patients according to restatus before autologous HSCT	
Figure (7):	Distribution of patients according to survey.	
Figure (8):	Distribution of patients according to maint medication given (Lenalidomide, Bortezon maintenance)	nib, no
Figure (9):	Distribution of patients according to Pa outcome.	
Figure (10):	Distribution of patients according to complie of the maintenance therapy	
Figure (11):	Distribution of patients according to completypes of the maintenance treatment	
Figure (12):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of patients Survival according to follow up years	
Figure (13):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of patients PFS (naccording to follow up years	*
Figure (14):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of patients accord OSR (months) in relation to study groups	
Figure (15):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of patients accord OSR (months) in relation to Cytogenetic risk	_
Figure (16):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of patients accord OSR (months) in relation to Disease status transplantation.	before

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (17):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of patients accor OSR (months) in relation to Complication	C
Figure (18):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of patients according PFS (months) in relation to study groups	•
Figure (19):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of patients accor PFS (months) in relation to Cytogenetic risk	•
Figure (20):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of patients according PFS (months) in relation to Disease status transplantation.	before
Figure (21):	The Kaplan-Meier curve of patients according PFS (months) in relation to Complication	•

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
AML	· Acute myeloid leukemia
	· Autologous stem cell transplant
Bort	
	Cancer and leukemia group B
	Complete blood count
	Complete remission
	• C = calcium (elevated), R = renal failure, A = anemia, B = bone lesions
CT	Computerized tomography
CyBorD	Bortezomib intravenously, cyclophosphamide and dexamethasone
EFS	Event free survival
EMD	Extra medullary disease
	Erythrocyte sedimentation rate
FISH	Fluorescence in situ hybridization
FLC	Free light chain
GEP	Gene expression profile
GEP	Gene expression profile
HDC	. High-dose chemotherapy
HMT	. Histone methyltransferase
HU	. Hounsfield units
IFN	. Interferons
IMiDs	Immunomodulatory drugs
IMWG	International myeloma working group
KRD	· Carfilzomib, lenalidomide, and
	dexamethasone
KRD	Carfilzomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase

List of Abbreviations Cont...

Abb.	Full term
Len	. Lenalidomide
MDS	
	Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined
	significance
MM	. Multiple myeloma
MRC	. Myeloma research council
MRD	. Minimal residual disease
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
mSMART	Mayo Stratification for Myeloma and Risk-
	adapted Therapy
NGS	Next generation sequencing
OS	Overall survival
PCL	. Plasma cell leukemia
PD	Pomalidomide plus low-dose dexamethasone
PET	Positron emission tomographye
PFS	Progession free survival
PFS	Progression- free survival
PIs	. Proteasome inhibitors
RANKL	Receptor activator for nuclear factor κ B
	ligand
Rd	Lenalidomide plus dexamethasone
S-2M	. Serum - 2-microglobulin
sCR	Stringent CR
SCT	Stem cell transplant
SD	Standard deviation
SGOT	Serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase
SGPT	Serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase
SMM	Smouldering multiple myeloma
SPMs	Second primary malignancies

List of Abbreviations Cont...

Abb.	Full term
SPSS	Statistical Program for Social Science
TD-PACE	Thalidomide, dexamethasone, cisplatin, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, and etoposide
TRM	Treatment related majority
TTP	Median time to progression
VCD	Bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, and dexamethasone
VGPR	Very good partial response
VRD	bortezomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone
VTD	Bortezomib, thalidomide, and dexamethasone
WBC	White blood celss



Introduction

(Jultiple myeloma (MM) is a malignant neoplasm of plasma cells that accumulate in bone marrow, leading to bone destruction and marrow failure. Myeloma is most frequently diagnosed among people aged 65 to 74 years, with the median age being 69 years (Siegel et al., 2016).

However, statistics also reveal that death rates have been falling an average 0.8% each year over the period of 2004 through 2013 due to availability of newer and more effective treatment options. Symptoms of the disease are bony aches, gastrointestinal disturbances, polyuria, rapid progress dehydration and renal impairment (Siegel et al., 2016).

Most patients have serum M-protein with or without associated urinary M-protein. In the Mayo Clinic review of 1027 patients newly diagnosed with MM, 20% of patients had secretory urinary M-proteins; however, 3% of patients had neither serum nor urine M-protein, and therefore had no secretory myeloma (Kyle et al., 2003).

Specific chromosomal abnormalities have been identified in patients with MM involving translocations, deletions, or amplifications. The three main translocations t(11;14)(q13;q32), t(4;14)(p16;q32), and t(14;16)(q32;q23). Several studies have confirmed that patients with t(4;14) and

t(14;16) have a poor prognosis, while t(11;14) is believed to impart no increased risk (Gertz et al., 2005).

Recommends additional tests that may be useful under some circumstances. These include whole body MRI or whole body PET/CT scan (Durie et al., 2002).

Treatment of MM has been rapidly evolving because of introduction of new classes of drugs, such immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDs) (Brenner et al., 2008; McCarthy et al., 2017).

In addition, there is increasing understanding of the tumor biology, creating the rationale for new combinations of therapies and new drug development (Anderson, 2011).

Patients presenting with active (symptomatic) myeloma are initially treated with primary therapy and in selected therapy followed patients, primary is by high-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell support. The 3-drug regimens is preferred than the 2-drug regimens as it's based on improved response rates, depth of response, and rates of progression- free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) seen with 3-drug regimens in clinical trials. However, the panel notes that doublets could be used if a patient is elderly and/or frail and unable to tolerate a 3-drug regimen (Anderson, 2011).

The results have shown that primary therapy with bortezomib/ lenalidomide/dexamethasone is active and well



tolerated in all newly diagnosed patients with MM, transplant eligible as well as transplant ineligible (*Richardson et al.*, 2010).

Autologous SCT results in high response rates and remains the standard of care after primary therapy for eligible patients. In 1996, results of the first randomized trial were reported; this trial demonstrated that autologous SCT is associated with statistically significant higher response rates and increased OS and EFS when compared with the response of similar patients treated with conventional therapy (Attal et al., 1996).

The response rates were evaluated after induction therapy and after autologous SCT. Taking into consideration patients who actually underwent the autologous SCT, the CR rates were increased from 35% pre-transplant to 57% posttransplant, in the group treated with bortezomib, thalidomide, and dexamethasone as induction therapy and from 14% to 40% in the group treated with thalidomide and dexamethasone as induction therapy (Rosiñol et al., 2012).

Maintenance therapy with lenalidomide was found to significantly reduce risk of disease progression or death after both single and tandem transplantation compared with no maintenance. Bortezomib as Maintenance Therapy after Autologous SCT, the results from the HOVON study show that maintenance with single- agent bortezomib after autologous SCT is well tolerated and is associated with improvement of ORR (*McCarthy et al.*, 2017).