

Extreme Oncoplastic Breast Surgery in Patients Presented to Ain Shams University Hospital, Initial Experience

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfilment of Master Degree in **General Surgery**

By

Ahmed Mohamed Hanafy Sayed

M.B.B.Ch (Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University)

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Samy Ahmed Abd Elrahman

Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Gamal El Din Osman

Assistant Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohammad Ahmad Abd-erRazik

Lecturer of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Hamdy Zaid

Lecturer of General Surgery
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University
2020



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Samy Ahmed Abd Elrahman**, Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to **Prof. Or. Ahmed**Gamal & Oin Osman, Assistant Professor of General
Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for
his sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Mohammad Ahmad Abd-er Razik**, Lecturer of General Surgery, Faculty of
Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great help,
outstanding support, active participation and guidance.

Really I can hardly find the words to express my gratitude to **Dr. Mohamed Hamdy Zaid**, Lecturer of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, continuous help, encouragement throughout this work.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Ahmed Mohamed Hanafy Sayed

Tist of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	5
List of Tables	6
List of Figures	8
Introduction	1 -
Aim of the Work	15
Review of Literature	
Anatomy of the Breast	16
■ Pathology of Breast Cancer	33
■ Breast Cancer Surgery: Standard Principles	52
Patients and Methods	71
Results	101
Discussion	116
Summary	124
Conclusion	128
References	129
Arabic Summary	

Tist of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
RCS	.Breast conserving surgery
	Breast conserving therapy
	Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System.
	Breast cancer antigen 1
	Breast cancer antigen 2
CIS	e e
	.Ductal carcinoma insitu
DM	
	Epidermal growth factor receptor
EO	
	Invasive ductal carcinoma
	.Immune-histochemistery
	Invasive lobular carcinoma
	.Inframammary fold
	.Lobular carcinoma insitu
<i>LD</i>	
<i>MC</i>	
	.Multi-disciplinary team
<i>MF</i>	- v
	Nipple-areolar complex
	.National Cancer Registry Program
	Notingham prognostic index.
<i>NST</i>	
	Oncoplastic breast surgery
	Oncoplastic surgeries
QoL	
RT	
	.Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain
	reaction
TRM	$. The raputic\ reduction\ mammoplasty$

Tist of Tables

Table No	o. Title	Page No.
Table 1:	Molecular subtypes of breast cancer	43
Table 2:	AJC {TNM} classification of breast cand	
Table 3:	Breast cancer staging according to a lymph node status	
Table 4:	Breast cancer staging according to metastases	
Table 5:	Stage grouping system for carcinoma breast	
Table 6:	Interpretation of NPI	51
Table 7:	Advantages and disadvantages of once breast surgery as compared to conserving surgery	breast
Table 8:	Indications and contraindication oncoplastic breast surgery	
Table 9:	Bi-level classification of oncoplastic sur	geries59
Table 10:	Breast cup size	65
Table 11:	Type of OPS – BCS for reconstruct partial mastectomy defects with respect the location of the tumor in the breast.	pect to
Table 12:	BREAST-Q questionnaire	100
Table 13:	Mean age of the study	101
Table 14:	Family history & history of neoad chemotherapy	•
Table 15:	Number and percent of co-morbidities	103
Table 16:	Tumor characteristics in the study	104
Table 17:	Oncoplastic techniques used in the stud	dy105

Tist of Tables cont...

Table No	o. Title	Page No.
Table 18:	Histopathological results of the samples	
Table 19:	Mean operation time and Blood Loss study.	
Table 20:	Patients that needed re-excision of smargins	•
Table 21:	Postoperative hospital stay	109
Table 22:	Mean post-operative drainage amour days for the study.	
Table 23:	Types of post-operative complications	111
Table 24:	Satisfaction score and number of paccording to breast-Q questionnaire	
Table 25:	Cosmetic outcomes sheet for the surge MDT evaluation	

Tist of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1: Figure 2:	The mammary milk line Axillary tail of spence	
Figure 3:	Surface anatomy demonstrating relations between the nipple and a complex, the sternum, and inframammary fold	the areola the
Figure 4:	Breast quadrants for oncoplastic su	rgery
Figure 5:	Relationship between breast Cooper's ligaments, fat tissue,	and
	thoracic muscles	
Figure 6:	Anatomy of the axilla	
Figure 7:	Arterial supply of breast	
Figure 8:	Levels of pectoral lymph nodes	
Figure 9:	Lymphatic drainage of the breast an	
	most frequent localization of the sen	
Figure 10:	Schematic drawing of breast and an and lateral cutaneous branches of f intercostal nerve innervating the r	terior ourth
	and areola	32
Figure 11:	Anatomical Sites of breast cancer	33
Figure 12:	Morphologic patterns in non-invasiv	ve (in
	situ) carcinoma of breast	37
Figure 13:	Nottingham histological grade of b	
	cancer	
Figure 14:	Level I OPS surgical concept (step-by	_
5 7.	approach)	60
Figure 15:	Level I OPS	
Figure 16:	Oncoplastic techniques according t	
Dia 17	tumor location	
Figure 17:	Preoperative drawing while the pastanding	

Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title F	Page No.
Figure 18:	Superior medial pedicle for multitumor at 6 O"clock	
Figure 19:	Intra-operative dissection	
Figure 20:	Postoperative wound closure	
Figure 21:	Postoperative day 1	
Figure 22:	Postoperative day 3	
Figure 23:	Postoperative week 3	
Figure 24:	Multicenteric tumor with mass near	
C	NAC	82
Figure 25:	Tumor resection in round b	
	technique	83
Figure 26:	Breast after tumor resection in re	ound
	block technique	84
Figure 27:	Skin de-epithelialization in round b	lock
	technique	84
Figure 28:	Donut mammoplasty skin closure	85
Figure 29:	Donut mammoplasty after skin closur	e86
Figure 30:	Preoperative marking	87
Figure 31:	Multifocal tumor at upper outer quad	
	with skin dimpling	88
Figure 32:	Inferior pedicle with skin is	land
	reconstruction.	89
Figure 33:	LD FLAP marking for mass at U	pper
	outer quadrant & Lower outer quadra	nt 90
Figure 34:	Elevation of LD flap	91
Figure 35:	Closure of Donor Site	92
Figure 36:	Intraoperative dissection	93
Figure 37:	LD flap reconstruction with skin is	land
	for multicentric tumor at upper o	uter
	quadrant & upper inner quadrant	94
Figure 38:	Suction drain placing in round b	lock
	technique	
Figure 39:	Postoperative wound ulcer	97

Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
E' . 40	D 4 4 1 1 6 4	07
Figure 40:	Postoperative wound infection	
Figure 41:	Percentage of family history of	breast
	cancer among patient population	102
Figure 42:	Percentage & Type of comorb	
	among patient population	103
Figure 43:	Tumor characteristics in the study.	
Figure 44:	Oncoplastic techniques used in the	study 106
Figure 45:	Histopathological results of the	tissue
	samples	107
Figure 46:	The need for re-excision margins	
Figure 47:	Percentage of post-ope	erative
J	complications	111
Figure 48:	Types of post-operative complication	ns 112
Figure 49:	Satisfaction score and percent of pa	atients
	according to breast-Q questionnaire	113



Introduction

The breast is an important part of the female body due to its anatomical. physiological, and aesthetic role. proportionately developed breast is an important feminine feature, a sign for fertility and sexuality. It plays a great role in female's self-confidence (Urban et al., 2013).

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women all over the world representing 18% of all women reported cases of cancer. It represents the leading cause of women mortality as representing 23% of all women cancer deaths (Akram et al., 2017).

According to the national cancer institute, breast cancer is the most common site of cancer in women in Egypt as it accounts for about 38.8% of total malignancies among Egyptian females; it is an important cause of mortality among women (Ibrahim et al., 2014).

The diagnosis of breast cancer is based on history taking, primary tumor and regional lymph node clinical examination, imaging, pathological confirmation diagnosis. Staging of breast cancer is assessed according to TNM system that depends on the case of primary tumor, regional lymph nodes, and distant metastasis (Senkus et al., 2015).

Following the diagnosis of breast cancer, the patient finds herself in a new and unfamiliar landscape. This creates different levels of stress that vary from patient to another (Senkus et al., 2015). This stress is not only because of facing mortality but also surgical treatment of breast cancer may significantly and often permanently alter her perception of her physical, emotional, and sexual wholeness (Dennis et al., 2012).

Halsted radical mastectomy was established for the first time in 1880s as a surgical management of breast cancer by removing breast enbloc, pectoralis muscles and axillary lymph nodes with good deal of skin. It was a disfiguring surgery with a lot of morbidities and complications.

In 1972 Madden modified radical mastectomy was done by conserving both pectoral muscles along with radiotherapy achieving similar oncologic results of radical mastectomy but less morbidities. In 1970s the wide spread of mammography use permitted the diagnosis of breast cancer at earlier stages, that allowed to remove only the tumor with free margins plus axillary dissection along with radiotherapy achieving same survival rates as more aggressive surgeries (Plesca et al., *2016*).

However, breast conserving techniques remained as a partial mutilation, where asymmetries and deformities were not considered relevant as oncological outcomes were more



important than psychological and aesthetic damage (Rodriguez et al., 2018).

The goal of optimizing the cosmetic and oncologic outcomes of breast conserving surgeries has been addressed by the emergence of the field of oncoplastic surgeries. Originally oncoplastic surgeries are defined as an assortment of volume replacement techniques to replace partially or totally resected breast (Lim et al., 2017).

But now it includes the use of plastic techniques to achieve resection of the tumor with safety margins maintaining good cosmetic outcomes and symmetrizing surgeries for the contralateral breast if needed, the technique used depends on several factors such as tumor location, size, tumor to breast ratio and patients' desires (*Piper et al.*, 2015).

In recent years, the technique of "Extreme oncoplasty" (EO) has emerged as a promising option in selective patients with adequate breasts (cup size \geq C) where in Breast Conservation Surgery is possible inspite of large volume resections. Indeed, EO could be used to conserve breasts in scenarios for which mastectomy would be have been the treatment of choice offered by most surgeons. Thus, EO provides an alternative to mastectomy, extends the scope of breast conservation, provides better clinical outcomes, and improves quality of life (QoL) (Silverstein et al., 2015).

There is a paucity of evidence to support the application of oncoplastic surgery to patients with large tumor span, multifocal and/or multicenteric disease, as the current literature generally excludes these patients. Silverstein et al. have advocated for the use of oncoplastic reduction mammoplasty in patients with multifocal(MF), multicenteric(MC) tumors, and/or disease span greater than 5cm (coining the term, "extreme oncoplasty") and have published excellent outcomes on a series of 66 patients with 10% requiring re-excision for inadequate margins and 6% converting to mastectomy (Silverstein et al., 2015).

The difference between MF breast cancer and MC breast cancer is based on the anatomic quadrant of the breast. The multiple foci located in the same quadrant are labeled as MF, whereas MC disease is present in more than one quadrant. Some authors also distinguish MF breast cancer and MC breast cancer based on the assumption that MF breast cancer originates within the same duct collecting system (tumors in the same quadrant or less than or equal to 5 cm apart), whereas MC breast cancer originate in the different duct collecting systems (tumors in different quadrants or more than 5 cm apart), which means that MF breast cancer is monoclonal, while MC breast cancer is not (Mei-rong Zhou et al., 2013).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim is to:

Assess the feasibility, aesthetic results of extreme oncoplasty and achievement of negative margins in the enrolled patients with multicenteric, multifocal or T2 tumor in small breast.