

# Comparison between Early Results of Laparoscopic Mini Gastric Bypass and Sleeve Gastrectomy Regarding Weight loss, Remission of Type II Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertension

**Retrospective Study** 

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in **General Surgery** 

By

Mohamed Salah El din Ebrahim El Ghamrawy

M.B.B.Ch

Under Supervision of

#### Prof. Dr. Awad Hassan El Kayal

Professor of General Surgery
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Hossam Elsadek Ibrahim

Lecturer of General Surgery
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Mohamed Ebrahim Mohamed

Lecturer of General Surgery
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University
2020



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

#### Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Awad Wassan & Kayal**, Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Hossam Elsadek Ibrahim**, Lecturer of General Surgery, Faculty of

Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great help,
outstanding support, active participation and
guidance.

Special thanks are due to **Dr. Mohamed Ebrahim Mohamed**, Lecturer of General Surgery,
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Mohamed Salah El din El Ghamrawy

## Tist of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	5
List of Tables	7
List of Figures	8
Introduction	1 -
Aim of the Work	16
Review of Literature	17
Patients and Methods	105
Results	121
Discussion	136
Conclusion	144
Summary	145
References	152
Arabic Summary	

#### Tist of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
A	Arterv
BMI	
	Cholecystokinin hormones
	Computed tomography scan
	Dumping syndrome
	Deep venous thrombosis
	Eneterochromaffin cells
ECL	Enterochromaffin like cells
EWL	Excess weight loss
<i>GB</i>	_
GERD	Gastroesophageal reflux disease
GI	Gastroint estinal
GIF	Gastric intrinsic factor
GIP	Glucose dependent Insulin tropic peptide
GIQL	Gastrointestinal quality of life index
GLP-1	Glucagon-like peptide 1
<i>GRP</i>	Gastrin releasing peptide
HCL	Hydrochloric acid
<i>IF</i>	Intrinsic factor
<i>KG</i>	Kilograms
<i>LMGB</i>	Laparoscopic minigastric bypass
LRYGB	Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass
	surgery
<i>LSG</i>	Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy
MGB	Minigastric bypass
<i>NAC</i>	Nucleus accumbens
NICE	National Institute for Health & Care Excellence

## Tist of Abbreviations cont...

Abb.	Full term
OT	Operative time
PO	•
PODs	Postoperative days
<i>PYY</i>	Peptide-YY
<i>QID</i>	Quarter-in-die (four times a day)
RYGB	Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery
SG	Sleeve gastrectomy
<i>T2DM</i>	Type II diabetes mellitus
<i>UGI</i>	Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy
<i>VIP</i>	Vasoactive intestinal peptide
VTA	Ventral tegmental area

## Tist of Tables

Table No	. Title	Page No.
Table 1: Table 2:	Gastric hormones  Drugs that Accelerate Gastric Emptying	g38
Table 3: Table 4:	Classification of obesity  Complications associated with lapar sleeve gastrectomy	oscopic 53
Table 5: Table 6:	Comparison between LMGB and Complications	94
Table 7:	Age:	122 regard
Table 8:	Gender:	regard
Table 9:	Comparison between studied groups as baseline HbA1C:	regard 127
	Comparison between different clinical before surgery and 6 months after operation among group I:	LMGB 128
Table 11:	Comparison between different clinical before surgery and 6 months Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy open	after
Table 12:	among group II:	regard
Table 13:	months postoperative:	132 garding
Table 14:	improvement and remission of T2D months after surgery:	134
14010 14	control of Hypertension six months surgery:	after

## Tist of Figures

Fig. No.	Title P	age No.
Figure 1: Figure 2:	Diagram of parts of the stomach Diagram of greater and lesser omenta.	20
Figure 3:	Arterial blood supply to the stomach	
Figure 4:	Lymphatic Drainage of the Stomach	
Figure 5:	Gastric innervation	
Figure 6:	Anatomy & physiology by Lindsay I Biga, Sierra Dawson, Amy Harwe Robin Hopkins, Joel Kaufmann, Mi	ell, ke
TO' #	LeMaster 2016	
Figure 7:	BMI.	
Figure 8:	Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy (LSC	
Figure 9:	Sleeve gastrectomy	
Figure 10:	Radiograph showing a leak following	•
Figure 11:	laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy Radiograph showing a normal image the stomach after laparoscopic slee	of ve
Figure 12:	gastrectomy	ge er
Figure 13:	CT scan shows extravasation of contra	
8a10 10.	suggesting with leak	
Figure 14:	Radiograph showing an intralumin stent for the treatment of a leafollowing laparoscopic slee	ıal ak
	gastrectomy	58
Figure 15:	Laparoscopic Mini Gastric Bypa	.ss
	(LMGB)	67
Figure 16:	Normal dye study	80
Figure 17:	Stricture	80

## Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 18:	(a): Stomal stenosis gastrojejunostomy – (b): Recur marginal ulcer at gastrojeju anastomosis after fibrin healing	rent unal
Figure 19:	Marginal ulcer in jejunum after RNY	
Figure 20:	Foveolar dysplasia in the gastric much	cosa
<u> </u>	in patients with bile reflux	84
Figure 21:	Laparoscopic Mini Gastric Byj	oass
<u> </u>	(LMGB) vz RYGB	93
Figure 22:	Vertical banded gastroplasty	
Figure 23:	Open vertical banded gastro-plasty	
Ö	application of proline mesh on the en	
	the staples	
Figure 24:	Adjustable gastric band	
Figure 25:	Gastric plication	
Figure 26:	Operative precautions	
Figure 27:	French position	
Figure 28:	Position of ports and liver retractor	
Figure 29:	Sleeve gastrectomy	
Figure 30:	Creation of a tunnel behind the	
8	gastric wall	-
Figure 31:	Transection of the stomach horizonta	
Figure 32:	Vertical stomach transection guideo	•
o .	bougie	-
Figure 33:	Identification of DJ junction	113
Figure 34:	Measurement of jejunum	
Figure 35:	Anchoring suture take through l	
o .	opening to facilitate perfect alignn	
	during anastomosis	
Figure 36:	Creation of gastro-jejunostomy via E	
	GIA stapler	
Figure 37:	Closure of staple line over ryle tube	

## Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 38:	Closure of the staple opening by vi	
Figure 39:	2/0 Methylene blue test	116
Figure 40:	Mean age (years) between the st	
Figure 41:	groups  Distribution of gender between study groups	the
Figure 42:	Mean weight (kgs) at baseline betw	reen
Figure 43:	the study groups  Mean height (cm) at baseline betw	een
Figure 44.	the study groups	
Figure 44: Figure 45:	Mean HbA1c (%) at baseline betw	
rigure 40.	the study groups	
Figure 46:	Mean weight (kgs) before and 6 mor	
J	after LMGB	
Figure 47:	Mean BMI (kg/m²) before and 6 mor	$_{ m nths}$
	after LMGB	
Figure 48:	Mean HbA1c (%) before and 6 mor	
Eige 40.	after LMBG	_
Figure 49:	Mean weight (kgs) before and 6 mor after laparoscopic sleeve gastrecte	
	operation	-
Figure 50:	Mean BMI (kg/m²) before and 6 mor	
J	after laparoscopic sleeve gastrecto	
	operation	
Figure 51:	Mean HbA1c (%) before and 6 mor	
	after laparoscopic sleeve gastrecto	
E: 50.		
Figure 52:	Mean weight (kgs) 6 months a surgery between the study groups	
Figure 53:	Mean BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) 6 months a	
8 0 001	surgery between the study groups	

## Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 54:	Mean HbA1c (%) 6 months after sur between the study groups	U
Figure 55:	Distribution of improvement remission of T2DM 6 months surgery between the study groups.	and after
Figure 56:	Distribution of control of hypertens months after surgery between the s groups.	ion 6 study

#### Introduction

Bariatric surgery has long been introduced for weight control in conservative treatment failed individuals (Yingjun et al., 2015). Compared with nonsurgical strategies, bariatric surgery proves more effective for moderately to severely obese people to lose weight (Picot et al., 2009). Patients vary in their responses to bariatric operations. Most patients change their diets (Sarwer et al., 2008). Other factors that influence weight loss include age, gender, race, body composition, education, emotional status, and level of activity (Schauer et al., 2003).

The most remarkable effects of bariatric surgery is the full and rapid remission of type 2 diabetes mellitus (*Walter*, 2008) and improvement of metabolic/cardiovascular risk factors in severely obese patients (*Ricardo et al.*, 2013). The short-term (decreased caloric intake) and long-term results (decreased fat mass and body weight) of bariatric surgery complementarily lead to improvement in glucose metabolism, insulin resistance, change in adipocytokines release and quality of life (*Andrew et al.*, 2005).

The sleeve gastrectomy is a laparoscopic procedure. This involves making four or five small incisions in the abdomen and performing the procedure using a video camera (laparoscope) and long instruments that are placed through these small incisions (*D'Hondt et al.*, 2011).



During the laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG), about 75% of the stomach is removed leaving a narrow gastric "tube" or "sleeve". No intestines are removed or bypassed during the sleeve gastrectomy. The LSG takes one to two hours to complete.

Sleeve gastrectomy is a restrictive procedure. It greatly reduces the size of your stomach and limits the amount of food that can be eaten at one time. It does not cause decreased absorption of nutrients or bypass your intestines. After eating a small amount of food, you will feel full very quickly and continue to feel full for several hours.

Sleeve gastrectomy may also cause a decrease in appetite Kansou et al. (2016), this procedure is primarily used as part of a staged approach to surgical weight loss. Patients who have a very high body mass index (BMI) or who are at risk for undergoing anesthesia or a longer procedure due to heart or lung problems may benefit from this staged approach. Sometimes the decision to proceed with a two-stage approach is made before surgery due to these known risk factors. In other patients, the decision to perform sleeve gastrectomy (instead of gastric bypass) is made during the operation. Reasons for making this decision intraoperatively include an excessively large liver or extensive scar tissue that would make the gastric bypass procedure too long or unsafe.



In patients who undergo LSG as a first stage procedure, the second stage (gastric bypass) is performed 12 to 18 months later after significant weight loss has occurred and the risk of anesthesia is much lower (and the liver has decreased in size). Though this approach involves two procedures, we believe it is safe and effective for selected patients.

Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy can also be used as a primary procedure.

Depending on their pre-operative weight, patients can expect to lose between 40% to 70% of their excess body weight in the first year after surgery.

Many obesity-related comorbidities improve or resolve after bariatric surgery. Diabetes, hypertension, obstructive sleep apnea and abnormal cholesterol levels are improved or cured in more than 75% of patients undergoing LSG. The weight loss that occurs after LSG results in dramatic improvement in these medical conditions in the first year after surgery.

Gastric bypass surgery is an operation that creates a small pouch to restrict food intake and bypasses a segment of the small intestine. In the gastric bypass procedure, a surgeon makes a direct connection from the stomach pouch to a lower segment of the small intestine, bypassing the duodenum (the first part of the small intestine) and some of the jejunum (the



second part of the small intestine), delaying the mixing of ingested food and the digestive enzymes (Kim et al., 2014).

Laporoscopic mini gastric bypass is the most common type of bariatric surgery. The surgeon begins by creating a small pouch by dividing the upper end of the stomach. This restricts the food intake. Next, a section of the small intestine is attached to the pouch to allow food to bypass the duodenum, as well as the first portion of the jejunum. The small intestine is re-connected 150 centimeters from the pouch to allow ingested food and digestive enzymes to mix (Kansou et al., 2016).