



THE PERFORMANCE OF THE ELECTRO-COAGULATION IN SILICA, PHOSPHATE REMOVAL USING TITANIUM AND STAINLESS-STEEL AS ANODE AND CATHODE

By

Ahmed Mohamed Nabil Abdel Kader Farahat

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Civil Engineering – Public Works

THE PERFORMANCE OF THE ELECTRO-COAGULATION IN SILICA, PHOSPHATE REMOVAL USING TITANIUM AND STAINLESS-STEEL AS ANODE AND CATHODE

By **Ahmed Mohamed Nabil Abdel Kader Farahat**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Civil Engineering – Public Works

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hesham Sayed Abdel Halim

Prof. Dr. Ehab Helmy Rozaik

Professor of Sanitary & Environmental
Engineering
Public Works Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ehab Helmy Rozaik

Associate Professor of Sanitary &
Environmental Engineering
Public Works Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

THE PERFORMANCE OF THE ELECTRO-COAGULATION IN SILICA, PHOSPHATE REMOVAL USING TITANIUM AND STAINLESS-STEEL AS ANODE AND CATHODE

By **Ahmed Mohamed Nabil Abdel Kader Farahat**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

OF SCIEN

in **Civil Engineering – Public Works**

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Hesham Sayed Abdel Halim (Thesis Main Advisor)

Prof. Dr. Ehab Helmy Rozaik (Advisor)

Prof. Dr. Minerva Edward Matta (Internal Examiner)

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Saeed Elkhouly (External Examiner)

-Professor of Sanitary& Environment Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

Engineer: Ahmed Mohamed Nabil Abdel Kader Farahat

Date of Birth: 01 / 10 / 1982 **Nationality:** Egyptian

E-mail: Ahmed nabil555@hotmail.com

Phone: 0122 33 80 135

Address: Haram St., Giza, Egypt

Registration Date: 1/3/2014 **Awarding Date:** //2020

Degree: Master of Science

Department: Civil Engineering – Public Works

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Hesham Sayed Abdel Halim

Prof. Dr. Ehab Helmy Rozaik

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Hesham Sayed Abdel Halim (Thesis Main Advisor)

Prof. Dr. Ehab Helmy Rozaik (Advisor)

Prof. Dr. Minerva Edward Matta (Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Saeed Elkholy (External Examiner)

-Professor of Sanitary& Environment Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Title of Thesis:

The Performance of The Electro-Coagulation in Silica, Phosphate Removal Using Titanium and Stainless-Steel as Anode and Cathode

Key Words:

Electrocoagulation; Silica; Phosphate; Titanium; Stainless-Steel.

Summary:

The performance of the electrocoagulation process for Phosphates (PO₄) and Silica (SiO₂) removal from synthetic wastewater using Titanium as anode and Stainless Steel as cathode has been studied under some governing operating variables such as initial pH, applied voltage, electrodes inter-spacing and treatment time and at other fixed variables such as temperature, stirring Rpm, electrodes dimensions and the type and amount of the supporting electrolyte. The results showed that the maximum PO₄ removal efficiency of 99.97% after 90 min. at pH 4.0 and electrodes spacing of 3cm was achieved at applied volts of 12 while the maximum SiO₂ removal efficiency of 31.18% after 90 min. at pH 7.0 and electrodes spacing of 3cm was achieved at applied volts of 12. The results showed also that decreasing the applied voltage drastically dropped the removal efficiency of both PO₄ and SiO₂.



Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name:	Date://(it's the date that you handover the thesis)
Signature:	

Acknowledgments

Certainly, the completion of this work would not be achievable without the grace of Allah.

First, I would like to express my sincere thankfulness to my supervisors **Prof. Dr. Hesham Abdel Halim** and **Prof. Dr. Ehab Helmy Rozaik** for their help and guidance over this research.

I am also particularly grateful to **Prof. Dr. Hala Hegazy** and **Dr. Amr Mostafa** for their help, support and inspiring discussions.

To my family, thank you for your support and encouragements.

Finally, I would like to express my thanks to everyone who contributed directly or indirectly in this work.

Table of Contents

Disc	claimer	i
Ack	nowledgments	ii
Tab	le of Contents	iii
Tab	ole of Figures	vi
Non	nenclature	ix
Abs	tract	X
Cha	pter 1 Introduction and Objectives	1
1.1.	Introduction and problem statement	1
1.2.	Problem statement	3
1.3.	Objectives of thesis	3
Cha	pter 2 Literature Review	4
2.1.	Water treatment	4
2.1	1.1.Introduction	4
2.1	1.2.Source waters for municipal potable water systems	4
2.1	1.3.Water quality	5
2.1	1.4.Conventional water treatment process	5
2.1	1.5.Chemical coagulation process	6
2.1	1.6.Advanced water treatment process	9
2.2.	Electrocoagulation technology	9
2.2	2.1.Introduction	9
2.2	2.2.Electrocoagulation reactor	10
2.2	2.3.Theory of Electrocoagulation	11
2.2	2.4. Factors affecting the efficiency of electrocoagulation	12
2.2	2.4.1.Electrode materials	12
2.2	2.4.2.Electrode arrangements	12
2.2	2.4.3.Current density	13
2.2	2.4.4.Supporting electrolyte	13
2.2	2.4.5.Solution pH	13
2.3.	- In a second of the second of	
tech	nnologies	
2.4.	Applications of electrocoagulation	14

2.4	.1.Iron removal using electrocoagulation	14
2.4	.2.Dyes removal form textile industry using electrocoagulation	14
	.3.Total suspended solids (TSS) and turbidity removal from the municipal stewater using electrocoagulation	15
2.4	.4.Organics elimination from dairy wastewater using electrocoagulation	15
2.4	.5.Organics scale forming species from dairy industrial process water using	
	ctrocoagulation	
2.4	.6.Manganese removal using electrocoagulation	16
2.4	.7.Industrial wastewater treatment using electrocoagulation	16
2.4	.8.Glyphosate herbicide removal using electrocoagulation	17
2.5.	Review of Phosphate removal using electrocoagulation	17
2.6.	Review of Silica removal using electrocoagulation	18
Chaj	pter 3 Experimental Work	20
3.1.	Introduction	20
3.2.	Synthetic wastewater preparation	20
3.3.	Electrocoagulation reactor installation	23
3.4.	Experiment methodology	26
3.4	.1.Phosphate removal runs:	26
3.4	.2.Silica removal runs:	27
3.5.	Sampling and analysis	28
3.5	.1.Phosphate (PO ₄)	28
3.5	.2.Silica (SiO ₂)	28
3.6.	The Current Intensity and Voltage	
Cha	pter 4 Results and Discussions	
4.1.	Introduction	
4.2.	The performance of the electro-coagulation in phosphate removal using item and stainless steel to be anode and cathode	
	.1.Performance of phosphate removal under variable pH and electrodes spacin	
4.2. 4 cı		
4.2. 3 ci	.2.Performance of phosphate removal under variable pH and electrodes spacin	
4.2. 5.c.	.3.Performance of phosphate removal under variable pH and electrodes spacing	g of 36

References	70
5.2. Recommendations for further work	69
5.1. Conclusions	67
Chapter 5 Conclusions and Future Work Recommendations	67
4.3.8.Performance of Silica removal at voltage drop from 12V to 9V	64
4.3.7.Silica removal comparison after 90min. under variable pH values and spacing	
4.3.6.Performance of Silica removal at pH 10 and under variable electrodes	spacing 59
4.3.5.Performance of Silica removal at pH 7 and under variable electrodes s	spacing57
4.3.4.Performance of Silica removal at pH 4 and under variable electrodes s	
4.3.3.Performance of Silica removal under variable pH and electrodes spaci	ng of 5 cm
4.3.2.Performance of Silica removal under variable pH and electrodes spaci	· ·
4.3.1.Performance of Silica removal under variable pH and electrodes spaci	
4.3. The performance of the electro-coagulation in Silica removal using and stainless steel to be anode and cathode	-
electrodes spacing	
4.2.7.Phosphate removal comparison after 90min. under variable pH values	and
4.2.6.Performance of phosphate removal at pH 10 and under variable electrospacing	
4.2.5.Performance of phosphate removal at pH 7 and under variable electrod spacing	
4.2.4.Performance of phosphate removal at pH 4 and under variable electrod spacing	

Table of Figures

Fig. 1.1: Simplified scheme of an electrocoagulation cell
Fig. 2.1: EC Sources of naturally occurring constituents and pollutants found in potable
water supplies [5]5
Fig. 2.2: Typical conventional water treatment process flow diagram [5]
Fig. 2.3: Electrical double layer structure.
Fig. 2.4: Schematic presentation of electrophoresis where charged particle travels in an
electrical field, taking with it ions cloud. [5]9
Fig. 2.5: EC Bench-scale reactor with monopolar electrodes arranged in parallel [1] 10
Fig. 2.6: Schematic diagram of a bench-scale two-electrode electrocoagulation 12
cell [2]
Fig. 2.7: (a) monopolar and (b) bipolar illustration of electrode connections [11] 13
Fig. 3.1: Experimental works diagram
Fig. 3.2: Sensitive Balance
Fig. 3.3: pH measurement
Fig. 3.4: Ti and SS electrodes before treatment process
Fig. 3.5: Electrocoagulation reactor
Fig. 3.6: The Magnetic stirrer
Fig. 3.7: The electrodes connected with the adaptor
Fig. 3.8: The Spectrophotometer
Fig. 3.9: PO ₄ analysis test
Fig. 3.10: SiO ₂ analysis test
Fig. 3.11: Electrodes after treatment process
Fig. 3.12: Digital Multimeter
Fig. 4.1: Effluent PO ₄ over 90 min. for different starting pH values at 12V and 4 cm
electrodes spacing
Fig. 4.2: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ over 90 min. for different starting pH values at
12V and 4 cm electrodes spacing
Fig. 4.3: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ after 90 min. at electrodes spacing of 4cm and
12V for different pH value
Fig. 4.4: Effluent PO ₄ over 90 min. for different starting pH values at 12V and 3 cm
electrodes spacing
Fig. 4.5: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ over 90 min. for different starting pH values at
12V and 3 cm electrodes spacing
Fig. 4.6: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ after 90 min. at electrodes spacing of 3 cm and
12V for different pH value
Fig. 4.7: Effluent PO ₄ over 90 min. for different starting pH values at 12V and 5 cm
electrodes spacing
Fig. 4.8: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ over 90 min. for different starting pH values at
12V and 5 cm electrodes spacing

Fig. 4.9: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ after 90 min. at electrodes spacing of 5 cm and
12V for different pH value
Fig. 4.10: Effluent PO ₄ over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at pH 4 and 12V39
Fig. 4.11: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at
pH 4 and 12V
Fig. 4.12: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ after 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at
pH 4 and 12V40
Fig. 4.13: Effluent PO ₄ over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at pH 7 and 12V41
Fig. 4.14: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at
pH 7 and 12V41
Fig. 4.15: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ after 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at
pH 7 and 12V42
Fig. 4.16: Effluent PO ₄ over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at pH 10 and 12V
43
Fig. 4.17: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at
pH 10 and 12V
Fig. 4.18: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ after 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at
pH 10 and 12V
Fig. 4.19: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ after 90 min. for different starting pH values at
electrodes spacing of 3cm, and 12V
Fig. 4.20: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ after 90 min. for different starting pH values at
electrodes spacing of 4cm, and 12V
Fig. 4.21: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ after 90 min. for different starting pH values at
electrodes spacing of 5cm, and 12V
Fig. 4.22: Effluent PO ₄ over 90 min. for electrodes spacings 3cm and 4cm at pH 4
under different voltages (12V and 9V)
Fig. 4.23: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ over 90 min. for electrodes spacings 3cm and
4cm at pH 4 under different voltages (12V and 9V)
Fig. 4.24: Percentage of removal of PO ₄ after 90 min. for electrodes spacings 3cm and
4cm at pH 4 under different voltages (12V and 9V)
Fig. 4.25: Effluent Silica over 90 min. for different starting pH values at 12V and 4 cm
electrodes spacing
Fig. 4.26: Percentage of removal of Silica over 90 min. for different starting pH values
at 12V and 4 cm electrodes spacing
Fig. 4.27: Percentage of removal of Silica after 90 min. at electrodes spacing of 4cm
and 12V for different pH value
Fig. 4.28: Effluent Silica over 90 min. for different starting pH values at 12V and 3 cm
electrodes spacing
Fig. 4.29: Percentage of removal of Silica over 90 min. for different starting pH values
at 12V and 3 cm electrodes spacing
Fig. 4.30: Percentage of removal of Silica after 90 min. at electrodes spacing of 3cm
and 12V for different pH value
Fig. 4.31: Effluent Silica over 90 min. for different starting pH values at 12V and 5 cm
electrodes spacing

Fig. 4.32: Percentage of removal of Silica over 90 min. for different starting pH values
at 12V and 5 cm electrodes spacing
Fig. 4.33: Percentage of removal of Silica after 90 min. at electrodes spacing of 5cm
and 12V for different pH value55
Fig. 4.34: Effluent Silica over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at pH 4 and 12V
56
Fig. 4.35: Percentage of removal of Silica over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing
at pH 4 and 12V56
Fig. 4.36: Percentage of removal of Silica after 90 min. for different electrodes spacing
at pH 4 and 12V57
Fig. 4.37: Effluent Silica over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at pH 7 and 12V
58
Fig. 4.38: Percentage of removal of Silica over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing
at pH 7 and 12V58
Fig. 4.39: Percentage of removal of Silica after 90 min. for different electrodes spacing
at pH 7 and 12V59
Fig. 4.40: Effluent Silica over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing at pH 10 and
12V60
Fig. 4.41: Percentage of removal of Silica over 90 min. for different electrodes spacing
at pH 10 and 12V
Fig. 4.42: Percentage of removal of Silica after 90 min. for different electrodes spacing
at pH 10 and 12V61
Fig. 4.43: Percentage of removal of Silica after 90 min. for different starting pH values
at electrodes spacing of 3cm, and 12V
Fig. 4.44: Percentage of removal of Silica after 90 min. for different starting pH values
at electrodes spacing of 4cm, and 12V
Fig. 4.45: Percentage of removal of Silica after 90 min. for different starting pH values
at electrodes spacing of 5cm, and 12V
Fig. 4.46: Effluent Silica over 90 min. for electrodes spacings 3cm and 4cm at pH 7
under different voltages (12V and 9V)
Fig. 4.47: Percentage of removal of Silica over 90 min. for electrodes spacings 3cm and
4cm at pH 7 under different voltages (12V and 9V)
Fig. 4.48: Percentage of removal of Silica after 90 min. for electrodes spacings 3cm and
4cm at pH 7 under different voltages (12V and 9V)

Nomenclature

EC: Electrocoagulation

WHO: World Health Organization

EPA: Environmental Protection Agency

RO: Reverse Osmosis

WTP: Water Treatment Plant

CC: Chemical Coagulation

DC: Direct Current

V: Volt

TSS: Total Suspended Solids

TDS: Total Dissolved Solids

BOD: Biochemical Oxygen Demand

COD: Chemical Oxen Demand

Ti: Titanium

Al: Aluminum

SS: Stainless-steel

Rpm: Revolutions per minute

Abstract

Water quality is highly affected by the increased sources of pollution whether point or non-point sources. Third-world countries usually miss the infrastructure as well as the required capital to overcome the water problem. Accordingly, the water science is facing very high challenges to originate and improve the water treatment technologies considering the most determining factors of standard desired water quality, initial and running treatment cost, ease of operation, etc. Electrocoagulation process is an electrochemical treatment technology of wastewater that is facing promoting popularity and extensive technical improvements.

This thesis is studying the performance of the electrocoagulation process for Phosphates (PO₄) and Silica (SiO₂) removal from synthetic wastewater using Titanium as anode and Stainless Steel as cathode. Some governing operating variables such as the effect of initial pH, applied voltage, electrodes inter-spacing and treatment time on the removal efficiency of both (PO₄) and (SiO₂) have been studied at other fixed variables such as temperature, stirring Rpm, electrodes dimensions and the type and amount of the supporting electrolyte. The results show that the maximum PO₄ removal efficiency of 99.97% after 90 min. at a pH value of 4.0 and electrodes spacing of 3cm was achieved at applied volts of 12 however, the maximum SiO₂ removal efficiency of 31.18% after 90 min. at a pH value of 7.0 and electrodes spacing of 3cm was achieved at applied volts of 12. Meanwhile, the PO₄ removal efficiency of 92.30% and 70.60% after 90 min. at pH values of 7.0 and 10.0 and electrodes spacing of 3cm was achieved respectively at applied volts of 12 and the SiO₂ removal efficiency of 30.10% and 28.92% after 90 min. at pH values of 4.0 and 10 and electrodes spacing of 3cm was achieved respectively at applied volts of 12. The results show also that decreasing the applied voltage drastically dropped the removal efficiency of both PO₄ and SiO₂ from 99.97% at 12V to 5.80% at 9V and electrodes spacing of 3cm and from 31.18% at 12V to 5.24% at 9V and electrodes spacing of 3cm respectively.

Keywords: Water Treatment; Electrocoagulation; Silica; Phosphate; Titanium; Stainless-steel.

Chapter 1 Introduction and Objectives

1.1. Introduction and problem statement

Around the world, the most challenging problem that scientists are trying to best solve it is to secure hygienic potable water to the people especially in the developing countries. Rivers, channels and further different waterbodies are being continually contaminated because of the uncontrolled industrial effluents flow besides other anthropogenic activities and natural processes [1]. Conventional water treatment for domestic supply normally includes a coagulation/flocculation stage within the treatment processes. This coagulation stage is a critical process including charge neutralization of colloid resulting of aggregation into flocs which will be ready for solid/liquid separation with subsequent sedimentation and filtration processes. This process usually results in the generation of significant quantities of sludge. Because of the toxic nature of this sludge to aquatic life in addition to the cost burden of dewatering, collection, transport and disposal of this sludge from water treatment plants to landfills, the Electro-coagulation process becomes one of the highly attracting eco-friendly treatment methods that combine the job as well as the benefits of the conventional ways of coagulation and electrochemistry in the water treatment.

The EC reactor is usually made of an electrolytic cell with anode(s) and cathode(s) as shown in Fig. 1.1. When applying the electric current throughout the electrodes, the conductive plate neutral sides will be changed to charged sides, which will have opposite charge compared with the parallel side at the side of it. The ions released will neutralize the particles charges and then initiate coagulation. The released ions may also remove the undesirable pollutants either through chemical reaction then precipitation, or by forcing the colloidal materials to be coalesced and then eliminated by electrolytic flotation.

Accordingly, the EC process could be presented in brief in three sequential stages:

- 1- Development of coagulants using electrolytically oxidation of the anode
- 2- Contaminants destabilization
- 3- Destabilized phase aggregation to form flocs [2].

Accordingly, this process leads the pollutants to be eliminated out of the water and demolished or may be made less solvable. The most important variables that are controlling the EC process efficiency are the materials of electrode, current density, treatment time, chemistry of solution, initial pH value and the chemical configuration of the solution that is being removed, the temperature of the solution, the salt used to elevate conductivity, etc.

The electrodes are commonly made of aluminum as it is considered to be cheap, available and recognized effective, while the most important problem of the aluminum electrode is the residual aluminum remaining in treated water after the electrocoagulation treatment process because of cathodic dissolution, World Health Organization (WHO) advised that under good operating conditions, concentrations of aluminum of 0.1 mg/liter or less are achievable in large water treatment facilities while