



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Public Works

Effect of Media Properties on Performance of Sand Filtration for Drain Water Treatment

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of
Master of Science in Civil Engineering
(Public Works)

by

Khaled Abd El Naser Ismail Fathi

Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering
(Public Works)

Higher Institute of Engineering, El Shorouk Academy, 2013

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Tarek Ismail Sabry,

Professor of Public Works, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

Associate Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shafik El Gendy,

Associate Professor of Construction and Architectural Engineering, School of
Sciences and Engineering, the American University in Cairo.

Associate Prof. Dr. Sayed Ismail Ali Ahmed,

Associate Professor of Public Works, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.
Cairo - (2020)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Public Works

Effect of Media Properties on Performance of Sand Filtration for Drain Water Treatment

A Thesis For

The M. Sc. Degree in Civil Engineering (Sanitary and Environmental)

.by

Khaled Abd El Naser Ismail Fathi

Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering

(Public Works)

Higher Institute of Engineering, El Shorouk Academy, 2013.

THESIS APPROVAL

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

SIGNATURE

Prof. Dr. Hamdy Ibrahim Aly

Professor of Sanitary and Environmental Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

.....

Prof. Dr. Usama Fathy Mahmoud

Professor of Sanitary and Environmental Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering, Al-Azhar University.

.....

Prof. Dr. Tarek Ismail Sabry

Professor of Sanitary and Environmental Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

.....

Date: ----/----/2020

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfilment of Master of Science Degree in Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Khaled Abd El Naser Ismail Fathi

Signature

.....

Date: ----/----/2020

Researcher Data

Name	:	Khaled Abd El Naser Ismail Fathi
Date of birth	:	01-10-1990
Place of birth	:	Egypt
Last academic degree	:	Bachelor of Science
Field of specialization	:	Civil Engineering
University issued the degree	:	Higher Institute of Engineering, El Shorouk Academy
Date of issued degree	:	2013
Current job	:	Civil Engineer

Thesis Summary

Thesis titles:

Effect of Media Properties on Performance of Sand Filtration for Drain Water Treatment

Prepared by:

Khaled Abd El Naser Ismail Fathi

Summary:

Open drains in Egypt are currently suffering from increased pollution loads caused by discharge of untreated domestic and industrial wastewater. Untreated effluents adversely affect agriculture drains water quality and limit their potential for reuse. Belbies drain, which originates North of Cairo, is considered one of the most polluted agriculture drains in Egypt.

The research focuses on the efficiency of the sand filtration unit mixed with different media in drain water treatment, in which the analysis of variable parameters will be conducted, to optimize the design of the unit & investigate the most efficient configuration for the filtration unit to be operated with. Three media have been tested with the sand filter which are: perforated Ceramic cylinders, Sponge and 2 commercial activated carbon (Jacobi and A.C-LN).

The experiments were conducted in six experimental runs. The first run was conducted to determine the optimum depth of filtration for each type of filtration medium. It was observed that the best removal results have been obtained at filtration media depth of 120 cm. Also, sand mixed with other media such as Sponge and Activated Carbon has the better result than that of sand alone at depth 120cm with respect to total chemical oxygen demand removal, total suspended solids removal which were 75%, 58% respectively.

The second run of the experiment was conducted to study the performance of three filtration medium. It was noticed that the Activated carbon (Jacobi) has the best removal efficiency with respect to COD_{tot}, T.S.S which were 79%, 94% respectively. The Sand medium mixed with Sponge had nearly the same results as sand mixed with A.C-LN.

The third run was conducted to study the effect of mixing ratio of Activated carbon Jacobi mixing with sand on the performance of the filter. It was noticed that, the removal efficiencies were increased as the weight of Activated carbon Jacobi in the mixing ratio were increased as well with respect to COD_{tot}, T.S.S which were 88%, 99% respectively.

The fourth run was conducted to study the effect of mixing ratio of sponge medium mixing with sand on the performance of the filter. It was found that all chosen mixed ratio have effluent quality that are complied with law 48, year 82 with respect to

COD_{tot} & T.S.S. However the removal efficiencies were increased as the sponge in the mixing ratio were increased as well and the best mixing that gave the best efficiency was at the mixing ratio Sand: Sponge was 1:0.85 with respect to COD_{tot}, T.S.S which were 70%, 89% respectively.

Fifth run was conducted to study the effect of Rate of filtration on the performance of the filtration process using the optimum mixing ratio of Sponge and Sand from previous run. It was found that the optimum infiltration rate was IR=2 m³/m²/d at the mixing ratio Sand: Sponge (1:0.35) with respect to COD_{tot}, T.S.S which were 64%, 75% respectively.

The sixth run was purposed to test the use of ceramic cylinders for improving the performance of the filtration and removal of pollutants from drain water. It was noticed that the removal efficiencies were increased as the ceramic cylinders in the mixing ratio were increased as well. The best mixing that gave the best efficiency was at the mixing ratio of Sand: ceramic cylinders of 1:0.27 with respect to COD_{tot}, T.S.S which were 77%, 79% respectively.

From the research work it was found that ceramic cylinders considered as the most feasible media that can mixed with sand as it is locally produced and its price is low.

Key words:

Drain water treatment, Belbies drain, ceramic Cylinders, rural communities, sand filter, and packing material.

Acknowledgment

First, thanks to Allah who supported and strengthened me in all my life and in completing my studies for the Master of Science (M.Sc.) degree

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors: Prof. Tarek Ismail Sabry, Dr. Ahmed El Gendy and Dr. Sayed Ismail for their patience and forbearance. Without their continuous support, this research would not have been progressed above various obstacles and setbacks.

I owe special thanks to my friend: Eng. Gamal El Din Abu Bakr for his guidance, valuable discussions and his useful suggestions during the research period.

I would like to thank my father, my mother and my brother who encourage me after any frustration and kind support.

Thank you

Date:

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1.....	1
1.1 GENERAL.....	1
1.2 PROBLEM DEFINITION	2
1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	2
1.4 THESIS CONTENTS	3
1.4.1 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	3
1.4.2 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	3
1.4.3 CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL WORK.....	3
1.4.4 CHAPTER 4: RESULTS	3
1.4.5 CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION.....	3
1.4.6 CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION.....	3
CHAPTER 2.....	4
2.1 GENERAL.....	4
2.2 Different applicable methods for drain water treatment.....	4
2.2.1 Physical/chemical treatment	4
2.2.2 Biological treatment	7
2.2.3 FILTRATION.....	7
2.2.3.1 GRANULAR FILTRATION MECHANISMS	8
2.2.3.2 FILTER MEDIA COMPOSITION	10
2.2.3.3 Movement of a liquid through sand filter.....	10
2.2.3.4 Types of media filtration.....	11
2.2.3.5 Different filtration media for wastewater treatment	15
2.3 The applied efforts in Egypt on the treatment of drain water.....	20
2.3.1 Wetland project on Qaluobia drain.....	20
2.3.2 Wetland Project on bahr el baqr drain Components	22
2.3.3 Wetland project on belbies drain	24
2.3.4 Wetland project on Emtad El Umum drain.....	25
2.3.5 Al-Mahsara drain water treatment plant	26
CHAPTER 3.....	31
3.1 INTRODUCTION	31
3.2 Experimental setup	31
3.3 Using different media to improve the efficiency of sand filtration	35
3.3.1 SAND MEDIA (S)	35

3.3.2	SPONGE PACKING MEDIA in Plastic flames (SP)	35
3.3.3	Activated Carbon produced by Jacobi Company (AC-J).	36
3.3.5	Activated Carbon commercial product by Liyang Nanfang (AC-LN)	37
3.3.4	Characteristics of ceramic cylinders (CR).....	38
3.3.4.1	The manufacturing methods	39
3.3.4.2	The ingredients for the red ceramic cylinders	39
3.3.4.3	Scan Electron Microscope for the Ceramic Cylinders	41
3.4	Source of drain water used in the experiments	42
3.5	Experimental Runs	44
3.5.1	RUN (1)	44
3.5.2	RUN (2)	45
3.5.3	RUN (3)	47
3.5.4	RUN (4)	48
3.5.5	RUN (5)	49
3.5.6	RUN (6)	51
3.5.7	Summary of the Experiment work program.	54
3.6	Sampling & Sample Analysis.....	55
CHAPTER 4.....		59
4.1	INTRODUCTION	59
4.1.1	RUN (1)	61
4.1.2	RUN (2)	77
4.1.3	RUN (3)	83
4.1.4	RUN (4)	88
4.1.5	RUN (5)	96
4.1.6	RUN (6)	102
CHAPTER 5.....		117
5.1	INTRODUCTION	117
5.1.1	GENERAL.....	117
5.2	Comparison among different media mixed with sand.....	118
5.1. 2	the effect of mixed filtration media on the Metals and trace elements Analysis for Run (5) at Sand: Sponge (1:0.35).....	119
5.3	Technical & Economic analysis for the filtration Medias	121
CHAPTER 6.....		124
6.1	Conclusion	124
6.2	Recommendations	124
REFERNCES		126

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: (a) Depth Filtration and (b) Surface Filtration	8
Figure 2.2: Schematic drawing and photo of the pilot scale filter columns S1–S6 consisting of the layers a) supernatant water, b) sand bed and c-d) gravel (dimensions in cm).....	13
Figure 2.3 Experimental setup. 1. UASB; 2.GSS; 3.Gas-meter; 4.Recorder; 5.DHS distributor; 6.DHS (G4).....	15
Figure 2.4 combining the conventional slow sand filter (SSF) and modified slow sponge-sand filter (SpSF).....	16
Figure 2.5: Downflow Hanging Sponge reactor.....	17
Figure 2.6: sand filtration unit.....	18
Figure 2.7: Wetland project on Qaluobia drain	20
Figure 2.8: Wetland Project on bahr el baqr drain Components	22
Figure 2.9: Wetland project on belbies drain	24
Figure 2.10: FWS followed by FAP units.	24
Figure 2.11 Wetland project on Emtdad El Umum drain.....	25
Figure 2.12: Mahsama (drain water treatment plant) Location	27
Figure 3.1: Sand Filtration its influent from the drain water.....	32
Figure 3.2: location of collecting drain water at Belbeis drain.....	33
Figure 3.3: a schematic diagram.....	33
Figure 3.4: A view for the actual photo for the bilbeis drain.....	34
Figure 3.5: actual sand filtration model.....	34
Figure 3.6: Sponge Packing Material	35
Figure 3.7: Granular activated carbon jacobi.	36
Figure 3.8: Activated carbon from china.....	37
Figure 3.9: ceramic Cylinders.	38
Figure 3.10: The raw ingredients used in manufacturing the ceramic cylinders:	39
Figure 3.11: very simple oven	40
Figure 3.12: One simple before the run and another after the run(in the filter). 41	
Figure 3.13: Source of drain water which used in the experimental (with red arrow)	42
Figure 3.14: effluent waste water from belbeis waste water plant	43
Figure 3.15: effluent municipal wastewater sewage sludge and agriculture	44
Figure 3.17: varying maxing ratio between the sand and Sponge media.....	49
Figure: 3.18: schematic diagram two piezometers were added to each column to measure the head loss	50
Figure 3.19: Actual Two piezometers were added to each column to measure the head loss in each column.....	51
Figure 3.20: actual ceramic cylinders.....	52

Figure 3.21: varying maxing ratio between the sand media and ceramic cylinders.....	53
Figure 3.22: Two piezometers were added to each column to measure the head loss in each Colum.....	53
Figure 4.1: the quality of the influent along the 6 months	59
Figure 4.2: experimental work flow chart.	60
Figure 4.3: Removal Efficiency of COD _{tot} for Media S at Various depth.....	63
Figure 4.4: Removal Efficiency of COD _{sol} for Media S at Various depth	63
Figure 4.5: Removal Efficiency of T.S.S for Media S at Various depth.....	63
Figure 4.6: Removal Efficiency of COD _{tot} for Media SP at Various depth.....	66
Figure 4.7: Removal Efficiency of COD _{sol} for Media SP at Various depth	66
Figure 4.8: Removal Efficiency of T.S.S for Media SP at Various depth.....	66
Figure 4.9 Removal Efficiency of COD _{tot} for Media A.C-Jmix at Various depth.....	69
Figure 4.10: Removal Efficiency of COD _{sol} for Media A.C-Jmix at Various depth	69
Figure 4.11: Removal Efficiency of COD _{sol} for Media A.C-Jmix at Various depth	69
Figure 4.12: using different Method of mixing sand and A.C.....	71
Figure 4.13 Removal Efficiency of COD _{tot} for Media AC-Jlayers at Various depth.....	73
Figure 4.14: Removal Efficiency of COD _{sol} for Media A.C-Jmix at Various depth	73
Figure 4.15: Removal Efficiency of COD _{sol} for Media A.C-Jmix at Various depth	73
Figure 4.16: %Average Removal Efficiency of COD _{tot} FOR All media of run 1.....	75
Figure 4.17: %Average Removal Efficiency of COD _{sol} FOR All media of run 1.....	75
Figure 4.18: %Average Removal Efficiency of T.S.S FOR All media of run 1.....	76
Figure 4.19: Color reduction Using Different Medias.	76
Figure 4.20: Removal Efficiency of COD _{tot} for RUN2	79
Figure 4.21: Removal Efficiency of COD _{sol} for RUN2	79
Figure 4.22: Removal Efficiency of T.S.S for RUN2.	79
Figure 4.23: Average relation between all parameters for Media IN RUN 2.....	81
Figure 4.24: Color reduction Using Different Medias	81
Figure 4.25: Removal Efficiency of COD _{tot} for RUN3	87

<u>Figure 4.26: Removal Efficiency of CODsol for RUN3..</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>Figure 4.27: Removal Efficiency of T.S.S for RUN3</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>Figure 4.28: %Average Removal Efficiency FOR VARIOUS MIXING RATIO of run 3.</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>Figure 4.29: Removal Efficiency of CODtot for RUN4</u>	<u>92</u>
<u>Figure 4.30: Removal Efficiency of CODsol for RUN4</u>	<u>92</u>
<u>Figure 4.31: Removal Efficiency of T.S.S for RUN4</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>Figure 4.32: %Average Removal Efficiency FOR VARIOUS MIXING RATIO of A.C IN Run 4..</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>Figure 4.33: The head loss measure example</u>	<u>96</u>
<u>Figure 4.34: Removal Efficiency of CODtot for RUN5</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>Figure 4.35: Removal Efficiency of CODsol for RUN5</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>Figure 4.36: Removal Efficiency of T.S.S for RUN5</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>Figure 4.37: THE RELATION BETWEEN THE HEAD LOSSES IN Run5.</u>	<u>99</u>
<u>Figure 4.38: %Average Removal Efficiency FOR VARIOUS INFILTRARION RATE IN Run 5</u>	<u>99</u>
<u>Figure 4.39: Color reduction Using Different Medias IN RUN 5.</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>Figure 4.40: Removal Efficiency of CODtot for RUN6</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Figure 4.41: Removal Efficiency of CODsol for RUN6</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Figure 4.42: Removal Efficiency of T.S.S for RUN6</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Figure 4.43: %Average Removal Efficiency FOR VARIOUS INFILTRARION RATE IN Run6</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>Figure 4.44: THE RELATION BETWEEN THE HEAD LOSSES IN Run 6.</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>Figure 4.45 the Side view for the ceramic cylinder.</u>	<u>110</u>
<u>Figure 4.46 Side view Before the filtration run images.</u>	<u>111</u>
<u>Figure 4.47 Side view after the filtration run images).</u>	<u>112</u>
<u>Figure 4.48: the curved view for the ceramic cylinder.</u>	<u>113</u>
<u>Figure 4.49 curved side before the filtration run images.</u>	<u>113</u>
<u>Figure 4.50 curved side after the filtration run images.</u>	<u>114</u>
<u>Figure 4.51: the Plan view for the ceramic cylinder.</u>	<u>115</u>
<u>Figure 4.52 Plan view Before the filtration run images.</u>	<u>115</u>
<u>Figure 4.53 Plan view after the filtration run images).</u>	<u>116</u>
<u>Figure 5.1: Comparison among different media mixed with sand</u>	<u>118</u>

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Principal mechanism and phenomena contributing to removal of material within a granular medium depth filter.	8
Table 2.2 Filtration unit sand media characteristics.	19
Table 2.3: Results of 28th day of run (5) (at 90 cm filtration depth) ..	19
Table 2.4: Effluent concentration for Qaluobia drain wetland project.....	21
Table 2.5: Effluent concentration for bahr el baqr drain wetland project.....	23
Table 2.6: Effluent concentration for belbies drain wetland project. ...	24
Table 2.7: FWS Wetland effluent characteristics.....	25
Table 2.8: comparison between the raw and the product water for Al-Mahsama drain water treatment plant	29
Table 3.1: characteristics of a sample from raw drain water.	43
Table 3.2: different between the mixing ratio of Activated carbon jacobi	47
Table 3.3: Varying maxing ratio between the sand and Sponge media.....	48
Table 3.4: Summary of the Experiment work program.....	54
Table 3.5: shows the sampling protocol in each run.....	56
Table 4.1: Results of 1st day (Media S in run (1).....	62
Table 4.2: Results of 3st day (Media S) in run (1)	62
Table 4.3: Results of 5st day (Media S) in run (1)	62
Table 4.4: Results of 7th day (Media S) in run (1).....	62
Table 4.5: Average removal efficiencies for Media S.....	64
Table 4.6: Results of 1st day Media SP in run (1).....	65
Table 4.7: Results of 3rd day Media SP in run (1)	65
Table 4.8: Results of 5th day Media SP in run (1)	65
Table 4.9: Results of 7th day Media SP in run (1)	65
Table 4.10: Average removal efficiencies media SP.....	67
Table 4.11: Results of 1st day of Media AC-J mix run (1)	68
Table 4.12: Results of 3rd of Media AC-J mix run (1)	68
Table 4.13: Results of 5th of Media AC-J mix run (1).....	68
Table 4.14: Results of 7th of Media AC-Jmix run (1).....	68
Table 4.15: Average removal efficiencies media AC-J mix	70
Table 4.16: Results of 1st day of Media AC-J layers run (1).....	72
Table 4.17: Results of 3rd of Media AC-J layers run (1).....	72
Table 4.18: Results of 5th of Media AC-J layers run (1)	72
Table 4.19: Results of 7th of Media AC-J layers run (1)	72
Table 4.20: Average removal efficiencies media AC-J layers	74
Table 4.21: Results of 1st week in run (2).....	77
Table 4.22: Results of 2nd week in run (2)	77
Table 4.23 : Results of 3rd week in run (2)	78
Table 4.24: Results of 4th week in run (2)	78
Table 4.25: Average removal efficiencies for run 2	80
Table 4.26 : Results of 1st week in run (3)	83
Table 4.27 : Results of 2nd week in run (3)	84
Table 4.28 : Results of 3rdweek in run (3)	85

Table 4.29 : Results of 4th week in run (3) .	86
Table 4.30: Average removal efficiencies for run 3 .	88
Table 4.31 : Results of 1st week in run (4) .	89
Table 4.32 : Results of 2nd week in run (4) .	89
Table 4.33 : Results of 3rdweek in run (4) .	90
Table 4.34 : Results of 4th week in run (4) .	90
Table 4.35: effluent quality that are complied with law 48, year 82 and WWR code 2015/501.....	91
Table 4.36: Average removal efficiencies for run 4 .	94
Table 4.37 : Results of 1st week in run (5) .	97
Table 4.38 : Results of 2nd week in run (5) .	97
Table 4.39 : Results of 3rdweek in run (5) .	97
Table 4.40 : Results of 4th week in run (5) .	97
Table 4.41: Average removal efficiencies for run 5 .	100
Table 4.42: OPERATION COMPARISON Sand: Sponge) (1:0.35) Filtration rate: 2 m ³ /m ² /d.....	101
Table 4.42: OPERATION COMPARISON Sand: Sponge) (1:0.35) Filtration rate: 2 m ³ /m ² /d.....	101
Table 4.43: OPERATION COMPARISON Sand: Sponge) (1:0.35) Filtration rate: 4 m ³ /m ² /d.....	101
Table 4.44: OPERATION COMPARISON Sand: Sponge) (1:0.35) Filtration rate: 6 m ³ /m ² /d.....	102
Table 4.45 : Results of 1st week in run (6) .	103
Table 4.46 : Results of 2nd week in run (6) .	103
Table 4.47 : Results of 3rdweek in run (6) .	104
Table 4.48 : Results of 4th week in run (6) .	104
Table 4.49: Average removal efficiencies for run 6 .	107
Table 4.50: OPERATION COMPARISON Sand ONLY Filtration rate: 4 m ³ /m ² /d.....	107
Table 4.51: OPERATION COMPARISON Sand: Ceramic Cylinders (1:0.14) Filtration rate: 4 m ³ /m ² /d.....	108
Table 4.52: OPERATION COMPARISON Sand: Ceramic Cylinders (1:0.27) Filtration rate: 4 m ³ /m ² /d.....	109
Table 5.1: Comparison among different media mixed with sand.....	118
Table 5.2: trace elements and chemicals metals analysis For Run (5) Sand: Sponge) (1:0.35).....	119
Table 5.3: Cost comparison between the various medium. .	122

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

GENERAL

Management of water resources can define as a good balance between the supply and demand that helps to keep the good water quality. In Egypt, The major source of water comes at most from the River Nile. The quantity of water is not sufficient all cultivated lands which suffer from decrease in irrigation water .Also there are short and long term programs of land expansion and reclamation depended on two main schemes. ⁽⁴⁵⁾

Firstly: the increasing of the productivity and the size of the cultivated areas

Secondly: the increasing the area of reclaimed soils, Egypt efforts to increase the agricultural industries to increasing the farming input in the old Valley and Delta lands. Also to increase the cultivated area outside them in the newly reclaimed desert lands. ⁽⁴⁵⁾

Agriculture is a very important sector in Egypt. Irrigation of the fields extracting and distributing the water from the Nile River is a critical activity on which large parts of the country's economy rely.

Egypt has made significant strides in the water sector and sanitation ahead of the 2015 target, with 98% of the population having access to drinking water on premises in urban areas and 95% in rural areas .However, Egypt is dependent on the Nile River for water and its annual quota is fixed and insufficient to meet the growing needs of the population. The country is facing an annual water deficit of about 13.5 BCM/year and is among the ten countries threatened by water scarcity by 2025. On the other end, drains collect the remaining water coming out from the fields, which was not used for irrigation. It is considered to be "less clean" water drain water to be discharged back to the Nile. ⁽⁴⁶⁾.

Presently the vast majority of existing wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) in Egypt discharge into drains, because the quality of treated wastewater does not allow to discharge into canals. This implies that the treated water (and the eventual nutrients included in it) are lost for reuse in the agriculture.

Due to limited resources and capacities, not all WWTP comply with the standards (law 48) for discharging into the drains. Also non-point source of pollution coming from untreated sewage from village. ⁽¹⁾