

سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم


قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل





**ECOLOGICAL AND ALLELOPATHIC
STUDIES ON CHENOPODIUM
MURALE L. IN DIFFERENT
HABITATS**

BY

HANAA KAMAL GALAL
B. Sc. Botany

A thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of Science
(Sohag), South Valley University
In partial fulfillment for the Master
Degree in Botany (Ecology)

(2000)

B

1271C

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



Faculty of Science (Sohag)

Approval Sheet of the M. Sc. Thesis and Degree

Candidate: Hanaa Kamal Galal

Thesis title: " Ecological and Allelopathic Studies on
Chenopodium murale L. in Different Habitats"

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Abbas I. I. Abdel-Hafez

Professor of Microbiology, Botany Department,
Faculty of Science (Sohag), South Valley University

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Kamel Hegazy

Professor of Ecology, Botany Department,
Faculty of Science, Cairo University

Dr. Ahmed Ali Ahmed El-Khatib

Assistant Professor of Ecology, Botany
Department, Faculty of Science (Sohag), South
Valley University

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Fauzy Mahmoud Salama

Professor of Ecology
Faculty of Science, Assiut University

Prof. Dr. Gamal Mohamed Fahmy

Professor of Ecology, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Kamel Hegazy (supervisor)

Professor of Ecology, Cairo University

Dr. Ahmed Ali Ahmed El-Khatib (supervisor)

Assistant Professor of Ecology, South Valley
University.

Faculty Board of graduate studies

List of Tables

No.	Caption	Page
1	TWINSPAN table of the studied plots and species	29
2	Physical and chemical properties of soil samples collected from 114 stands where <i>C. murale</i> was growing.	35-36
3	Mean and standard deviation of soil variables recorded in different TWINSPAN groups in 114 stands in the study area.	37
4	Correlation of species ordination axes with edaphic factors, eigenvalues and % variance explained in the 114 stands.	43
5	Effect of tissue extract concentration of <i>C. murale</i> on the speed of germination index of the different test species.	51
6	Percentage seedling growth inhibition of the different test species in response to the effect of tissue extract concentration of <i>C. murale</i> .	51
7	Effect of soil residual of <i>C. murale</i> on the seedling emergence index of the test species.	52
8	Effect of tissue extract concentration of <i>C. murale</i> on the Leaf area (Mean \pm SD) expressed in cm ² plant ⁻¹ of the different test species.	53

List of Tables (Cont.)

No.	Caption	Page
9	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissues of <i>C. murale</i> on the Leaf area (Mean \pm SD) expressed in $\text{cm}^2 \text{ plant}^{-1}$ of the different test species	54
10	Effect of tissue extract concentration of <i>C. murale</i> on the dry matter production (Mean \pm SD) expressed in gm plant^{-1} of the different test species	61
11	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissues of <i>C. murale</i> on the dry matter production (Mean \pm SD) expressed in gm plant^{-1} of the different test species	62
12	Effect of tissue extract concentration of <i>C. murale</i> on the pigment content (Mean \pm SD) expressed in $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ dry weight of the test species.	67
13	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissues of <i>C. murale</i> on the pigment content (Mean \pm SD) expressed in $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ dry weight of the test species.	68
14	Effect of tissue extract concentration of <i>C. murale</i> on total carbohydrate content (Mean \pm SD) expressed in mg g^{-1} dry weight of the test species.	73
15	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissues of <i>C. murale</i> on total carbohydrate content (Mean \pm SD) expressed in mg g^{-1} dry weight of the test species.	74

List of Tables (Cont.)

No.	Caption	Page
16	Effect of tissue extract concentration of <i>C. murale</i> on soluble carbohydrate content (Mean \pm SD) expressed in mg g ⁻¹ dry weight of the test species.	75
17	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissues of <i>C. murale</i> soluble carbohydrate content (Mean \pm SD) expressed in mg g ⁻¹ dry weight of the test species.	76
18	Effect of tissue extract concentration of <i>C. murale</i> on soluble protein content (Mean \pm SD) expressed in mg g ⁻¹ dry weight of the test species	82
19	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissues of <i>C. murale</i> on soluble protein content (Mean \pm SD) expressed in mg g ⁻¹ dry weight of the test species	83

List of Figures

No	Caption	Page
1	Flowering plant of <i>Chenopodium murale</i> with: a- Fruiting calyx, b-Achene with enclosed seed.	15
2	TWINSPAN dendrogram of 114 stands as based on the density values of 95 species in the study area. Indicator species names abbreviated to the first three letters of both species and genus names. See appendix 1 for complete names.	30
3	DCA ordination of 114 stands based on 95 species with TWINSPAN groups superimposed.	31
4	DCA ordination of the studied species. Names were abbreviated to the first three letters of genus and species. See appendix 1 for complete names.	32
5	Changes in soil moisture, clay and sand content (%) in TWINSPAN vegetation group (Mean \pm SD).	38
6	Changes in soil conductivity, organic matter and calcium carbonate content (Mean \pm SD) in TWINSPAN vegetation group.	39
7	DCCA ordination of 114 stands based on 95 species with TWINSPAN group superimposed.	44
8	DCCA species-environment biplot with arrows and point indicating the edaphic factors and species, respectively. For abbreviations, see appendix 1.	45
9	Effect of tissue extract concentration (Root & Shoot) of <i>C. murale</i> on the speed of germination index of the test species.	55

List of Figures (Cont.)

No	Caption	Page
10	Percentage seedling growth inhibition of the test species in response to the effect of tissue extract concentration of <i>C. murale</i> .	56
11	Effect of soil residuals of <i>C. murale</i> on the seedling emergence index (EI) of the different test species.	57
12	Effect of water tissue extract of <i>C. murale</i> on the leaf area of the different test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph	58
13	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissue of <i>C. murale</i> on the leaf area of the different test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph.	59
14	Effect of water tissue extract of <i>C. murale</i> on the dry matter production by the different test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph.	63
15	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissue of <i>C. murale</i> on the dry matter production by the different test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph.	64
16	Effect of different tissue extracts of <i>C. murale</i> (S=shoot and R= root) on the pigment content of the test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph.	69

List of Figures (Cont.)

No.	Caption	Page
17	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissue of <i>C. murale</i> (S=shoot and R= root) on the pigment content of the test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph.	70
18	Effect of different tissue extracts of <i>C. murale</i> (S=shoot and R= root) on total carbohydrate content of the test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph.	77
19	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissue of <i>C. murale</i> (S=shoot and R= root) on total carbohydrate content of the test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph.	78
20	Effect of different tissue extracts of <i>C. murale</i> (S=shoot and R= root) on soluble carbohydrate content of the test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph.	79
21	Effect of fraternization of soil with tissue of <i>C. murale</i> (S=shoot and R= root) on soluble carbohydrate content of the test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph.	80
22	Effect of different tissue extracts of <i>C. murale</i> (S=shoot and R= root) on soluble protein contents of the test species. Linear regression equations are shown in the upper right corner of the graph.	84