



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Cairo University

OPTIMIZATION OF WELL TRAJECTORY AND MUD WEIGHT USING INTEGRATED MECHANICAL EARTH MODEL: A CASE STUDY FROM THE GULF OF SUEZ

By

Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim Abdel Fatah El Batal

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Petroleum Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY,
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

OPTIMIZATION OF WELL TRAJECTORY AND MUD WEIGHT USING INTEGRATED MECHANICAL EARTH MODEL: A CASE STUDY FROM THE GULF OF SUEZ

By

Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim Abdel Fatah El Batal

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Petroleum Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Abdel Sattar A. Dahab

.....
Professor of Petroleum Engineering
Department of Mining, Petroleum,
and Metallurgical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University

Dr. Abdulaziz M. Abdulaziz

.....
Professor of Petroleum Engineering
Department of Mining, Petroleum,
and Metallurgical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University

Dr. Ali El Sayed Farag Farag

.....
Associate Prof. of Petroleum Engineering,
Department of Petroleum Engineering
Faculty of Engineering,
BUE University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY,
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

OPTIMIZATION OF WELL TRAJECTORY AND MUD WEIGHT USING INTEGRATED MECHANICAL EARTH MODEL: A CASE STUDY FROM THE GULF OF SUEZ

By

Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim Abdel Fatah El Batal

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Petroleum Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee:

Prof. Dr. Abdel Sattar A. Dahab	Thesis Main Advisor
Professor of petroleum engineering, Cairo University	

Dr. Abdulaziz M. Abdulaziz	Advisor
Professor of Petroleum Engineering, Cairo University	

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Alim Hashim El-Sayed	Internal Examiner
Professor of petroleum engineering, Cairo University	

Dr. Mohamed Alaa ELdeen	External Examiner
Haliburton training center manager, Haliburton international company, Cairo, Egypt. Visiting Professor, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University	

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY,
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

Engineer's Name: Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim Abdel Fatah El Batal
Date of Birth: 15/11/1989
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: elbatalam@gupco.net
Phone: +2-01000551096
Address: El salhyia El Kadiema, Faqous, Al Sharkia, Egypt
Registration Date: 1 / 3 / 2013
Awarding Date: / / 2020
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Petroleum Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Sattar Abdel-Hamid Dahab
Prof. Dr. Abdulaziz Mohamed Abdulzaiz
Dr. Ali El Sayed Farag Farag

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Abdel Sattar A. Dahab (Main advisor)
Dr. Abdulaziz M. Abdulaziz (Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Abdel-Alim Hashem El-Sayed (Internal examiner)
Dr. Mohamed Alaa Eldeen (External examiner)
Haliburton Training Center Manager, Haliburton International
Company, Cairo, Egypt - Visiting Professor, Faculty of
Engineering, Cairo University

Title of Thesis:

Optimization of well trajectory and mud weight using integrated mechanical earth model: A case study from the Gulf of Suez

Key Words:

Mechanical Earth Model, Wellbore Stability, Pore pressure, Rock Failure Criterion, Safe mud Window.

Summary:

The Wellbore stability problems cost the petroleum industry billions of US dollars each year. Those problems range from drilling operations, completion and production, workovers, to EOR (Enhanced Oil Recovery). The expected problems are borehole breakout or collapse, drill pipe sticking, sand production, formation compaction, casing collapse, etc.... In the presented research; three fields from the south central of Gulf of Suez have been studied, focusing on the wellbore stability occurred while drilling, to predict the optimum mud weight window for each inclination and azimuth, stability of the rock for underbalance drilling, and anticipated drilling problems

DISCLAIMER

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the reference section.

Name: Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim Abdel Fatah El Batal

Date:
Signature:

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this work to my family the constant source of blessing and motivation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Allah for his grace abounding to grant me the perseverance to finish this thesis.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and gratitude to my Professors Dr. Abdel Sattar Dahab, Dr. Abdulaziz M. Abdulaziz and Dr. Ali El Sayed Farag for their outstanding supervision and tremendous guidance and excellent advice during my research. I am so lucky to be their student.

I would like to express my deep appreciations for Faculty and staff in Petroleum Engineering Department; Al Azhar University for their continuous support and constant encouragement to be a Petroleum Engineer.

I would not forget to remember Cairo university staff for their encouragement and more over for their timely support and guidance till the completion of this project work.

Finally, I would like to thank my colleague in the Gulf of Suez Petroleum Company, especially Ahmed Ali and Waiel Alaa Eldeen from Gupco database team, for support and the invaluable suggestions for this successful work.

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER	I
DEDICATION	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES.....	VII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VIII
NOMENCLATURE	XI
ABSTRACT	XIII
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. TYPES OF WELLBORE INSTABILITY	2
1.1.1. Mechanical induced instability	2
1.1.1.1. Plastic failure	2
1.1.1.2. Brittle Failure	3
1.1.1.3. Tensile failure	3
1.1.2. Chemically Induced Instability.....	4
1.2. CAUSES OF WELLBORE INSTABILITY	5
1.3. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	5
1.4. AIM OF THE STUDY	6
CHAPTER 2 : GEOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS.....	9
2.1. INTRODUCTION	9
2.2. PLATE TECTONIC SETTING OF THE GULF OF SUEZ	9
2.3. LOCATION OF STUDY AREA	16
2.3.1. LL87 field.....	16
2.3.2. Edfu field	17
2.3.3. Saqqara field.....	17
2.4. STRATIGRAPHY OF GULF OF SUEZ	17
2.4.1. The pre-rift stage	18
2.4.1.1. Precambrian	20
2.4.1.2. Paleozoic.....	20
2.4.1.2.1. Cambrian to Early Carboniferous	20
2.4.1.2.2. Carboniferous	20
2.4.1.2.3. Permian.....	20
2.4.1.3. Mesozoic.....	21
2.4.1.3.1. Triassic, Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous.....	21
2.4.1.3.2. Cretaceous	21
2.4.1.4. Cenozoic	23
2.4.1.4.1. Paleocene.....	23
2.4.1.4.2. Eocene to early Oligocene.....	23
2.4.2. The Syn-rift stage	24
2.4.2.1. Miocene	24

2.4.2.1.1. Aquitanian to early Burdigalian	25
2.4.2.1.2. Burdigalian	25
2.4.2.1.3. Langhian	26
2.4.2.1.4. Serravallian	27
2.4.2.1.5. Tortonian	28
2.4.2.1.6. Messinian	28
2.4.3. The Post-rift stage	29
2.4.3.1. Pliocene to recent	29
2.5. DRILLING PROBLEMS	30
2.6. THE AVAILABLE DATA	36
2.6.1. Seismic data	37
2.6.2. Well logging data	37
2.6.3. During drilling data	37
2.6.4. Core Data	37
CHAPTER 3 : THEORY OF ROCK MECHANICS	39
3.1. STRESS	39
3.1.1. Principal stresses in two dimensions	42
3.1.2. Mohr's stress circle	44
3.1.3. Principal stresses in three dimensions	45
3.1.4. Average and Deviatoric stresses	46
3.1.4.1. Octahedral stress	47
3.2. STRAIN	47
3.2.1. Strain components	48
3.3. BASIC CONSTITUTIVE LAW FOR ROCK DEFORMATION	49
3.3.1. Linearly elastic material	49
3.3.2. Poroelastic material behavior	49
3.3.3. Elastic-Plastic material behavior	50
3.3.4. viscoelastic material behavior	50
3.4. IN-SITU PRINCIPLE STRESSES	51
3.5. STRESSES AROUND THE WELLBORE	52
3.5.1. Calculation of the stresses around the wellbore	53
3.5.2. Stress Transformation	54
3.6. ROCK FAILURE CRITERIA	56
3.6.1. Mohr Coulomb failure criterion	56
3.6.2. Tresca failure criterion	58
3.6.3. Hoek-Brown Failure Criterion	58
3.6.4. Mogi Coulomb failure criterion	58
3.6.5. Modified Lade Failure Criterion.	59
CHAPTER 4 : INPUT DATA FOR WELLBORE STABILITY ANALYSIS.....	61
4.1. OVERBURDEN STRESS	61
4.2. PORE PRESSURE	64
4.2.1. Pore pressure estimation	65
4.3. ROCK ELASTIC PROPERTIES	66
4.3.1. Dynamic elastic properties	67

4.3.2. Dynamic to static correlations	71
4.3.3. Biot's coefficient	73
4.4. ROCK STRENGTH	76
4.4.1. Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS)	76
4.4.2. Internal friction angle and friction coefficient.....	79
4.4.3. Tensile strength	82
4.5. ORIENTATION OF PRINCIPLE HORIZONTAL STRESSES.....	82
4.6. MAGNITUDES OF THE MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM HORIZONTAL STRESSES	85
4.6.1. Minimum Horizontal stress magnitude	85
4.6.2. Maximum horizontal stress magnitude	87
CHAPTER 5 : NUMERICAL WELLBORE STABILITY MODELING	91
5.1. STRESS EFFECT MODELING.....	91
5.2. CHEMICAL INTERACTION EFFECT MODELING	92
5.3. THERMAL STRESSES MODELING	93
5.4. FLOW INDUCED STRESSES MODELING	94
5.5. BOREHOLE FAILURE CRITERIA	95
5.5.1. Tensile failure criteria.....	95
5.5.2. Shear failure.....	96
5.5.2.1. Mohr-Coulomb criterion.....	96
5.5.2.2. Mogi-Coulomb Criterion	97
5.5.2.3. Modified Lade criterion.....	98
CHAPTER 6 : RESULT AND DISCUSSION	101
6.1. POST ZEIT AND UPPER ZEIT	101
6.2. LOWER PART OF ZEIT FORMATION.....	104
6.3. SOUTH GHARIB FORMATION	105
6.4. BELAYIM FORMATION.....	107
6.4.1. Hammam Faraun Member.....	107
6.4.2. Furan Member	108
6.4.3. Sidri Member.....	109
6.4.4. Baba formation	110
6.5. KAREEM FORMATION	111
6.6. UPPER RUDEIS FORMATION	112
6.7. LOWER RUDEIS FORMATION.....	113
6.8. NUKHUL FORMATION	114
6.9. THEBES, ESNA, SUDR AND BROWN LIMESTONE	115
6.10. NEZZAZAT GROUP.....	116
6.11. NUBIA FORMATION	117
CHAPTER 7 : CONCLUSION	121
7.1. RECOMMENDATION	121
REFERENCES	123

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Causes of wellbore instability [5]	5
Table 2.1: Drilling problems in study wells	30
Table 4.1: Synthetic density estimation methods	62
Table 4.2: The value of regression coefficients for shear wave velocity estimation [55]	68
Table 4.3: Relationship between static (E_{st}) and dynamic (E_{dyn}) modulus proposed by different authors [59]	72
Table 4.4: UCS Models for Sandstones [68] [69]	77
Table 4.5: UCS Models for Shales. [68][69]	78
Table 4.6: UCS Models for Carbonates. [68][69]	78
Table 4.7: Friction Angle Models [68] [69]	80
Table 5.1: The input parameters for osmotic pressure equation [56]	93
Table 5.2: Typical values of thermal expansion coefficient of different material (from tests done for civil engineering projects and concreting aggregates)	94
Table 5.3: Mohr-Coulomb collapse pressure for vertical wells.	96
Table 5.4: Mohr-Coulomb fracture pressure for vertical wells	96
Table 5.5: Mogi-Coulomb collapse pressure for vertical wells	97
Table 5.6: Mogi-Coulomb fracture pressure for vertical wells	97
Table 6.1: Summary of the output of wellbore stability analysis	119

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Global breakdown of drilling related NPT [2].....	1
Figure 1.2: Mechanical induced instability. A. tensile failure. B. compressive failure. 3	
Figure 1.3: The relationship of mud weight and wellbore failure [11]	4
Figure 1.4: Mechanical Earth Model building workflow [18].	7
Figure 2.1. Plate tectonic setting of the Gulf of Suez [23] [24] [22].....	10
Figure 2.2: The Study area location within the Gulf of Suez Provinces [21]	11
Figure 2.3: Regional cross-sections across the Gulf of Suez, illustrating the two changes of regional dip along the Gulf from north to south [25]. Arrows indicate the coastline	12
Figure 2.4: longitudinal cross section of Gulf of Suez form North to South, flattened at the top Zeit formation, presenting (A) the sedimentary units and (B) the constituting lithologies [26].....	13
Figure 2.5: Location of the study wells within Gulf of Suez	16
Figure 2.6: Generalized stratigraphy of the Gulf of Suez basin	19
Figure 2.7: Cambrian to Carboniferous stratigraphy Nubia C&B	20
Figure 2.8: Triassic, Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous Stratigraphy, Nubia A.....	21
Figure 2.9: Early Cretaceous to Cenomanian ages Stratigraphy, Raha and Abu Qada	21
Figure 2.10: Turonian, Coniacian and Santonian ages Stratigraphy, Wata and Matulla	22
Figure 2.11: Campanian and Maastrichtian ages stratigraphy, Brown limestone and Sudr	23
Figure 2.12: Paleocene Epoch Stratigraphy, Esna Shale.....	23
Figure 2.13: Eocene to early Oligocene Stratigraphy, Thebes and Red Bed	24
Figure 2.14: Aquitanian to early Burdigalian age, Nukhul	25
Figure 2.15: Burdigalian to Early Langhian age Stratigraphy, Rudeis	26
Figure 2.16: Langhian age Stratigraphy, Kareem	26
Figure 2.17: Serravallian age Stratigraphy, Belayim	27
Figure 2.18: Tortonian age Stratigraphy, South Gharib	28
Figure 2.19: Messinian age Stratigraphy, Zeit	29
Figure 2.20: Pliocene to Recent ages Stratigraphy, Post Zeit	29
Figure 3.1: Stress Definitions [36]	39
Figure 3.2: Decomposition of force	40
Figure 3.3: Local Stress [9]	40
Figure 3.4: The stress acts on infinitesimal cube in X-Y-Z coordinate	41
Figure 3.5: Development of Shear Stress Component [36].....	42
Figure 3.6: The stresses in two dimensions (X-Y) plane	42
Figure 3.7: Equilibrium forces on a triangle	43
Figure 3.8: Variation of normal σ and shear τ stress with the angle θ [37].....	43
Figure 3.9: Mohr's Circle [9].....	44
Figure 3.10: Direction cosines.....	45
Figure 3.11: Distance between particles centers; before strain (a); after strain (b) [39] [40]	47
Figure 3.12: Deformation of square shape [38]	48
Figure 3.13: Stress Strain relation for well cemented rock [41]	49
Figure 3.14: Elastic-Plastic material behavior [41].....	50
Figure 3.15: Viscoelastic material behavior [41]	50
Figure 3.16: Insitu Stresses	51