



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس

## التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

### قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



### يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



**MONA MAGHRABY**



# **The Assessment of Postoperative Patency and Flow Pattern of Twisted Vascular Pedicle in Propeller Perforator Flaps in Lower Extremities Reconstruction**

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in  
Plastic, Burn and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery*

By

**Mohamed Lotfy Hamed Ali**

*M.B.B.Ch.*

Under Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Amir Samir Elbarbary**

*Professor of Plastic, Burn and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Dr. Abd El Rahman Mohamed Sayed**

*Professor of Plastic, Burn and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Abdel Salam**

*Assistant Professor of Plastic, Burn and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Dalia Mohamed Galal**

*Fellow Lecturer of Plastic, Burn and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University

**2020**



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

# قَالَ

سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا  
إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ  
الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

# Acknowledgments

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.*

*I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Amir Samir Elbarbary**, Professor of Plastic, Burn and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.*

*Special thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. Abd El Rahman Mohamed Sayed**, Professor of Plastic, Burn and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.*

*I would like to direct my special thanks to **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Abdel Salam**, Assistant Professor of Plastic, Burn and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his invaluable help, fruitful advice, continuous support offered to me and guidance step by step till this essay finished.*

*I am deeply grateful to **Dr. Dalia Mohamed Galal**, Fellow Lecturer of Plastic, Burn and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for adding a lot to this work by her experience and for his keen supervision.*

*I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family & my colleagues for their support till this work was completed.*

**Mohamed Lotfy Hamed Ali**

# List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables.....	i
List of Figures .....	ii
List of Abbreviations.....	v
Introduction .....	- 1 -
Aim of the Work .....	3
Review of Literature	
📖 Evolution of Propeller Perforator Flaps .....	4
📖 Reconstructive Options for Lower Extremity Reconstruction .....	9
📖 Versatility of Propeller Perforator Flaps in Lower Extremity Reconstruction .....	24
📖 Methods of Assessing Vascular Patency.....	31
Patients and Methods.....	38
Results.....	45
Case Presentation .....	51
Discussion .....	59
Conclusion and Recommendations.....	68
Summary .....	69
References .....	73
Arabic Summary	

# List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Gustilo and Anderson Classification of Open Fractures .....	10
<b>Table (2):</b>	Variables in different limb injury severity scores .....	12
<b>Table (3):</b>	Age and Gender of the Included Patients .....	38
<b>Table (4):</b>	The size of different flaps used in the operation and the source vessel .....	46
<b>Table (5):</b>	Post-operative complications.....	48
<b>Table (6):</b>	Doppler findings after the operation .....	50



# List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (1):</b>	A diagrammatic representation of a perforasome in an axial slice in deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap (D.I.E.P) .....	7
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Illustration of “height” and “width” measurements of a perforasome in deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap (D.I.E.P) .....	7
<b>Figure (3):</b>	The small stars represent the main distribution of perforators in each territory.....	24
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Hand held 8 mHz pencil Doppler device. ....	39
<b>Figure (5):</b>	Preoperative design in patient presenting with unstable scar .....	40
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Showing the pedicle dissection; note the short pedicle that doesn't allow flap advancement so it was rotated 180 °. ....	42
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Showing the pedicle dissection; note the short pedicle .....	42
<b>Figure (8):</b>	Showing flap elevation in the sub-fascial plane into different cases; in case A the flap was rotated 180°, in case B the flap was rotated 90°... ..	43
<b>Figure (9):</b>	Showing final flap inset with arc of rotation 90° and donor site coverage by split thickness skin graft. ....	43
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Showing the patients at 3 months postoperatively .....	43
<b>Figure (11):</b>	Shows arc of rotation angle.....	47
<b>Figure (12):</b>	Showing a patient with skin graft loss.....	49

# List of Figures *(Cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Showing patient with distal superficial necrosis and skin graft loss in the same patient.....	49
<b>Figure (14):</b>	Patient with heel defect and Achilles' tendon loss planned to be covered with peroneal artery perforator propeller flap with tendon repair by fascia lata graft preoperatively.....	51
<b>Figure (15):</b>	The perforator pedicle intraoperatively. ....	51
<b>Figure (16):</b>	Flap elevation completed. ....	52
<b>Figure (17):</b>	Note the fascia lata graft in place indicated by the yellow arrow. ....	52
<b>Figure (18):</b>	Flap inset.....	52
<b>Figure (19):</b>	Donor site coverage by split thickness skin graft. ....	53
<b>Figure (20):</b>	After 2 months postoperatively. ....	53
<b>Figure (21):</b>	Patient with unstable scar in the distal leg planned for posterior tibial artery perforator propeller flap. ....	53
<b>Figure (22):</b>	The perforator pedicle intraoperatively indicated by the non-toothed forceps.....	54
<b>Figure (23):</b>	Flap elevation done. ....	54
<b>Figure (24):</b>	Flap inset.....	55
<b>Figure (25):</b>	Skin grafting of the donor site. ....	55
<b>Figure (26):</b>	Final result 6 weeks postoperatively.....	56
<b>Figure (27):</b>	Case of unstable scar planned for excision and coverage by peroneal artery propeller perforator flap. ....	56

## List of Figures *(Cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (28):</b>	Flap elevation with its pedicle indicated by the yellow arrow.....	57
<b>Figure (29):</b>	Final flap inset and split thickness skin graft for the donor site. ....	57
<b>Figure (30):</b>	The patient at 1 month postoperatively. ....	58

# List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>ALT</i> .....	<i>Anterolateral Thigh</i>
<i>ATA</i> .....	<i>Anterior Tibial Artery</i>
<i>CTA</i> .....	<i>Computerized Tomography and Geography</i>
<i>DGA</i> .....	<i>Descending Genicular Artery</i>
<i>DIEP</i> .....	<i>Deep Inferior Epigastric Artery Perforator</i>
<i>GHS</i> .....	<i>Ganga Hospital Score</i>
<i>HFS-97</i> .....	<i>Hannover Fracture Scale-97</i>
<i>LSI</i> .....	<i>Limb Salvage Index</i>
<i>MESS</i> .....	<i>Mangled Extremity Severity Score</i>
<i>NISSSA</i> .....	<i>Nerve Injury, Ischemia, Soft Tissue Injury, Skeletal Injury, Shock and Age of Patient</i>
<i>PA</i> .....	<i>Peroneal Artery</i>
<i>PSI</i> .....	<i>Predictive Salvage Index</i>
<i>PTA</i> .....	<i>Posterior Tibial Artery</i>
<i>SSA</i> .....	<i>Superficial Sural Artery</i>

# INTRODUCTION

**P**erforator flaps represent the latest descendant in the evolution that began with the random pattern flaps, musculocutaneous and fasciocutaneous flaps. The era of the perforator flaps began in **1989** when ***Koshima and Soeda*** described an inferior epigastric artery skin flap without the rectus muscle for the reconstruction of floor of mouth and groin defects noting that a large flap without muscle could survive on a single perforator.

The mere advantage of perforator flaps is that they combine the reliability of their blood supply with reduction of donor site morbidity while conserving muscle and preserving source vessel. The propeller perforator flap is a variant in which complete skeletonization of the perforator with venae comitantes is done to transfer the islanded flap on its pedicle only (***Hyakusoku, 1991; Hallock, 2006***). The high tailoring capability provide freedom of pedicle orientation and rotation up to 180 degrees (***Hou et al., 2015***).

Survival of the transferred vascularized tissue in the immediate postoperative period depends only on the patency of its vascular pedicle, If rapid neovascularization that provide sufficient perfusion of the flap occurs, loss or occlusion of the pedicle may not cause tissue loss (***Wise et al., 2011; Jakubietz et al., 2017***). Complete tissue survival despite loss of the vascular pedicle as early as 6-9 days postoperatively has been reported (***Granzow et al., 2015***).

The relevance of a patent vascular pedicle of perforator flaps varies according to the flap type and the recipient site. Generally, in the free perforator flaps the anastomosed pedicle remains patent and provides most of the blood supply up to 8 years postoperatively (*Machens et al., 1998*). Thus, the alteration of the vessel by performing a microsurgical anastomosis doesn't induce architectural changes in the vessel wall leading to gradual obliteration. Flap loss after transection of the pedicle 8 years postoperatively has been reported (*Salgado et al., 2002*).

In the pedicled propeller perforator flaps that are mobilized solely on a twisted pedicle, twisting of the vascular pedicle may induce remodeling and thickening of the vessel wall leading to gradual occlusion of the vascular pedicle that will be reflected on the flow pattern within the pedicle. The critical time after which the flap became independent on the pedicle due to sufficient perfusion subdermally and from the wound bed is still a matter of debate and uncertainty (*Teo, 2010; Yoon and Jones, 2016*).

As the propeller flaps became widely used in reconstruction especially in the extremities where there is a limited local tissue available for reconstruction (*Helmy et al., 1990; El-Saadi et al., 1991; Georgescu, 2012; Ramesha, 2014; Mendieta et al., 2018*). The possibility of performing secondary procedures such as flap debulking, bone grafting and tendon transfer in many occasions necessitates re-elevation of the flap. This may impair the flap perfusion causing tissue loss. Therefore knowledge regarding the true necessity to guard the pedicle is of vast importance.



## **AIM OF THE WORK**

**T**he aim of this study is to assess the postoperative patency and flow pattern in twisted vascular pedicle of propeller perforator flaps used in lower extremity reconstruction.