



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Nutritional screening for 2-5 years old children in urban and rural outpatient setting

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of the Master Degree in
Pediatrics

Presented by

Ahmed Kamal Ahmed Mahdy

M.B.B.CH Ain Shams University (2010)

Under supervision of

Prof. Mohamed Ashraf Abd El Wahed

Professor of Pediatrics

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Prof. May Fouad Nassar

Professor of Pediatrics

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Dr. Heba Essam El Din El Kholy

Lecturer of Pediatrics

Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2020**

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Mohamed Ashraf Abd El Wahed**, Professor of Pediatrics - Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Prof. May Fouad Nassar**, Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Heba Essam El Din El Kholy**, Lecturer of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great help, active participation and guidance.*

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Ahmed Kamal Ahmed Mahdy

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations.....	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	vi
Introduction.....	1
☞ Malnutrition	3
☞ Screening Tools for Malnutrition.....	10
Aim of the Study.....	18
Patients and Methods.....	19
Results.....	29
Discussion.....	104
Summary	116
Conclusion.....	119
Recommendations.....	120
References	121
Arabic Summary.....	١

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>ASPEN</i>	<i>American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition</i>
<i>BMI</i>	<i>Body mass index</i>
<i>CDC</i>	<i>Center for Disease Control</i>
<i>CHO</i>	<i>Carbohydrate</i>
<i>ESPEN</i>	<i>European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism</i>
<i>HAZ</i>	<i>Height for age Z score</i>
<i>HFA</i>	<i>Height for age</i>
<i>IMCI</i>	<i>Integrated Management of Childhood Illness</i>
<i>IQP</i>	<i>Inter-quartile range</i>
<i>K</i>	<i>Potassium</i>
<i>NCHS</i>	<i>National Center for Health Statistics</i>
<i>NNI</i>	<i>National Nutrition Institute</i>
<i>NRS</i>	<i>Nutritional risk screening</i>
<i>NSTs</i>	<i>Nutritional screening tools</i>
<i>NutriSTEP</i>	<i>Nutrition Screening Tool for Every Preschooler</i>
<i>OTP</i>	<i>Outpatient therapeutic feeding program</i>
<i>Ph</i>	<i>Phosphorus</i>
<i>PNRS</i>	<i>Pediatric Nutritional Risk Score</i>
<i>PNST</i>	<i>Pediatric nutrition screening tool</i>
<i>PYMS</i>	<i>Pediatric yorkhill malnutrition score</i>
<i>RDA</i>	<i>Recommended dietary allowance</i>
<i>SAM</i>	<i>Sever acute malnutrition</i>
<i>SD</i>	<i>Standard deviation</i>
<i>SGA</i>	<i>Subjective global assessment</i>
<i>SGNA</i>	<i>Subjective global nutritional assessment</i>
<i>SPSS</i>	<i>Statistical Package for Social Science</i>
<i>STAMP</i>	<i>Screening tool for assessment of malnutrition in pediatric</i>

List of Abbreviations (Cont...)

Abb.	Full term
<i>STRONG kids</i>	<i>Screening tool risk on nutritional state and growth</i>
<i>UK.....</i>	<i>United kingdom</i>
<i>UNICEF</i>	<i>United Nations International Children's Emergency foundation</i>
<i>WAZ.....</i>	<i>Weight for age Z score</i>
<i>WFA.....</i>	<i>Weight for age</i>
<i>WFH</i>	<i>Weight for height</i>
<i>WHO</i>	<i>World health organization</i>

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Nutritional screening tools in pediatric patients: a systematic review.....	13
Table (2):	A step-by-step guide to using STAMP.....	24
Table (3):	Age and sex distribution among the study population.....	31
Table (4):	Age and sex distribution among the study population in each hospital.....	32
Table (5):	Mean anthropometric measurements of the study population at El-Wahat hospital (N=45)	35
Table (6):	Nutritional analysis of the study population at El-Wahat hospital	37
Table (7):	Mean anthropometric measurements of the study population at Bolaque hospital in the first visit (N=45).....	39
Table (8):	Nutritional analysis of the study population at Bolaqu hospital in the first visit.....	41
Table (9):	Mean anthropometric measurements of the study population at El-Badrashine hospital in the first visit (N=45).....	43
Table (10):	Nutritional analysis of the study population at El-Badrashine hospital in the first visit.....	45
Table (11):	Comparison between the three groups in the first visit as regards anthropometric measurements	47
Table (12):	Comparison between the three groups as regards significant anthropometric measurements	50
Table (13):	Comparison between the three groups in the first visit as regards the nutritional analysis.....	51
Table (14):	Mean anthropometric measurements of the study population at Bolaque hospital in the second visit (N=45).....	52
Table (15):	Nutritional analysis of the study population at Bolaqu hospital in the second visit	54

List of Tables (Cont...)

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (16):	Mean anthropometric measurements of the study population at Bolaque hospital in the third visit (N=45).....	56
Table (17):	Nutritional analysis of the study population at Bolaque hospital in the third visit	58
Table (18):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in Bolaque hospital as regards anthropometric measurements.....	60
Table (19):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in Bolaque hospital as regards significant anthropometric measurements.....	63
Table (20):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in Bolaque hospital as regards the nutritional analysis....	66
Table (21):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in Bolaque hospital as regards significant nutritional analysis	70
Table (22):	Mean anthropometric measurements of the study population in El-Badrashine hospital in the second visit (N=45).....	72
Table (23):	Nutritional analysis of the study population in El-Badrashine hospital in the second visit (N=45)	74
Table (24):	Mean anthropometric measurements of the study population at El-Badrashine hospital in the third visit (N=45).....	76
Table (25):	Nutritional analysis at El-Badrashine hospital in the third visit	78
Table (26):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in El-Badrashine hospital as regards anthropometric measures	80
Table (27):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in El-Badrashine hospital as regards significant anthropometric measurements.....	83

List of Tables (Cont...)

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (28):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in El-Badrashine hospital as regards the nutritional analysis	86
Table (29):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in El-Badrashine hospital as regards significant nutritional analysis	89
Table (30):	Comparison between Bolaque and El-Badrashine study groups in the second visit as regards anthropometric measurements after the nutritional intervention.....	91
Table (31):	Comparison between Bolaque and El-Badrashine study group in the second visit as regard nutritional analysis after nutritional intervention	94
Table (32):	Comparison between Bolaque and El-Badrashine study group in the third visit as regards mean anthropometric measurements after nutritional intervention.....	96
Table (33):	Comparison between Bolaque and El-Badrashine study group in the third visit as regards nutritional analysis after the nutritional intervention	99
Table (34):	Comparison between the study groups of Bolaqu and El-Badrashine as regards the effect of nutritional intervention on anthropometric measurements and nutritional analysis after the third visit.....	101
Table (35):	Correlation between STAMP score and the other studied parameters at different times of measurement in all cases.....	103

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Mechanical weight scale ZT-160.....	21
Figure (2):	Stadiometer Seca 217.....	22
Figure (3):	Nutritional analysis of 24 hr dietary recall	23
Figure (4):	Distribution of enrolled patients and the effect of nutritional rehabilitation program.....	29
Figure (5):	Mean Age among the study population	33
Figure (6):	Mean sex among the study population	33
Figure (7):	Comparison between the three groups in the first visit as regards STAMP score.	49
Figure (8):	Comparison between the three visits in Bolaque hospital as regards STAMP score.	62
Figure (9):	Comparison between all visits of study group in Bolaque hospital as regards weight measurement	64
Figure (10):	Comparison between all visits of study group in Bolaque hospital as regards height measurement	65
Figure (11):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in Bolaque hospital as regards daily total caloric intake and sodium.	69
Figure (12):	Comparison between the 3 visits in El- Badrashine hospital as regards STAMP score.....	82
Figure (13):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in El-Badrashine hospital as regards weight measurement.....	84
Figure (14):	Comparison between all visits of the study group in El-Badrashine hospital as regards height measurement	85

INTRODUCTION

The American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) workgroup defined pediatric malnutrition (under-nutrition) as “an imbalance between nutrient requirement and intake, resulting in cumulative deficits of energy, protein, or micronutrients that may negatively affect growth, development, and other relevant outcomes (*Mehta et al., 2013*).

The need to have a validated pediatric nutrition screening tool (PNST) is becoming increasingly apparent, and this is further supported by national and international guidelines (*Brotherton et al., 2011*).

In the absence of a gold standard, researchers have taken different approaches to tackle identification and recognition of 'at-risk' children, including delineation of the problem by developing (PNSTs). Examples include the 'Pediatric Nutritional Risk Score' (PNRS) and the 'Subjective Global Nutritional Assessment Tool (*Secker and Jeejeebhoy, 2007*).

Although some studies considered that the pediatric nutritional risk score (PNRS) is the most suitable for clinical practice since the results of high sensitivity and specificity in PNRS compared with Subjective global assessment (SGA) (*Lestari et al., 2017*).

However, both of these tools are relatively complicated and too time-consuming for ready use in screening (*Hulst et al., 2010*).

In the UK, the screening tool for the assessment of malnutrition in pediatrics (STAMP) was established in 2004 and evaluated in 2007. To evaluate the quick and easy-to-use NSTs for hospitalized children, three factors were considered: diagnosis, nutritional intake, as well as weight and height. After evaluating these factors, the sum was classified into low, medium, and high risk, and the STAMP also suggested that a care plan in the last step (*McCarthy et al., 2012*).

Screening Tool for the Assessment of Malnutrition in Pediatrics (STAMP©) offers a valid screening tool for the detection of malnutrition and malnutrition risk in a pediatric primary health care setting. Furthermore, the use of STAMP in a primary health care clinic raised clinician's awareness of nutritional status as indicated by an increase in anthropometric measurements and the documentation of nutritional status following study implementation (*Rub et al., 2016*).

Previously, management of sever acute malnutrition (SAM) was limited to inpatient care in health facilities and therapeutic feeding centers, but currently, community based management of acute malnutrition is recommended as the standard management for SAM cases. Community based management of acute malnutrition has both a community based outpatient therapeutic feeding program (OTP) for uncomplicated cases and facility based inpatient care for complicated cases (*WHO, 2013*).