

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Evaluation of Central Auditory Function in Migraine Patients

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of master degree in **Audiology**

Presented by

Sahar Ibrahim Abdel Ghany Tolba

M.B., B.CH.
Faculty of Medicine, Alazhar University

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Somia Tawfik

Professor of Audiology - ENT Department Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

Dr. Tayseer Taha Abdel Rahman

Assistant Professor of Audiology - ENT Department Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

Dr. Randa Mohammed Ameen

Lecture of Neurology - Neurology Department Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University
2020



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Somia Tawfik**, Professor of Audiology - ENT Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions which enriched this work.

I owe Special thanks to **Dr. Tayseer Taha Abdel Rahman,** Assistant Professor of Audiology - ENT Department
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University, for her sincere efforts,
great help, active participation and guidance.

I would like to express my warmest gratitude to **Dr. Randa**Mohammed Ameen, Lecture of Neurology - Neurology

Department, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for her fruitful encouragement valuable ideas, outstanding support, and sympathetic attitude.

I can't find the appropriate words to express how much I'm grateful to my **Family**, my **compassionate husband** and my **beautiful children**. There is nothing I can offer to them could be comparable to anything they had offered to me.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Sahar Ibrahim Abdel Ghany Tolba

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Abbreviations	v
Introduction and rationale	i
Aim of the Work	4
Review of Literature	
Migraine	5
Audio vestibular findings in mig vestibular migraine	
Materials and Methods	54
Results	66
Discussion	96
Summary	110
Conclusion	113
Recommendations	114
References	115
Appendix (1)	144
Appendix (2)	147
Arabic Summary	١

List of Fables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Age distribution of both study control group	-
Table (2):	Gender and Education distributed the Study Groups, and Control Groups	ution of both
Table (3):	Medical history of study group and study group 2 (VM)	o1 (Migraine)
Table (4):	Headache history of study (Migraine) and study group 2 (V	
Table (5):	Associated symptoms with head	ache71
Table (6):	Effect of stress, exercise ar headache and effect of headach of life.	ne on Quality
Table (7):	Treatment used to control mig	
Table (8):	VNG findings in VM patients	75
Table (9):	Number and percentage complaints in central auditor questionnaire for adults in groups.	y processing both study
Table (10):	Central auditory processing tes	
Table (11):	Memory test results in Control g	group79
Table (12):	Central auditory processing to study group1 (Migraine group)	
Table (13):	Memory test results in st (Migraine group)	
Table (14):	Central auditory processing to study group2 (VM)	
Table (15):	Memory test results in study gro	oup2 (VM)81

List of Tables (Cont...)

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (16):	Central auditory processing the three groups.	
Table (17):	Correlations between Memory the three groups	
Table (18):	Comparison between study gregarding number and abnormality in central audit tests.	percent of ory processing
Table (19):	Mean, Standard Deviation (SI test of the duration pattern humming) response in both stu	test (verbal vs
Table (20):	Abilities affected in both stud number and percentage of al all central auditory tests resul	onormalities in
Table (21):	Correlation between duration central auditory processing group1 (Migraine group)	tests in study
Table (22):	Correlation between number central auditory processing group1 (Migraine group)	tests in study
Table (23): (Correlation between duration auditory processing tests in (VM).	study group 2
Table (24):	Correlation between number central auditory processing group 2 (VM).	tests in study

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
In Review:		
Figure (1):	Relationship between CSD and r	nigraine 11
Figure (2):	Activation of the trigeminal n and generation of pain in migrai	
Figure (3):	Proposed pathophysiological in the generation of migraine he	
Figure (4):	Visual stimuli-induced brain that differ in migraine pa	tientsversus
Figure (5):	Mechanisms involved in the path of vestibular migraine	
In Results:		
Figure (1):	Bar chart showing description complaint in VM group	_
Figure (2):	Bar chart showing the relationship of migraine among VM patients	and vertigo
Figure (3):	Bar chart showing the perpatients complaints in the susing Adult central auditory questionnaire	tudy groups y processing
Figure (4):	Bar chart showing the scores of auditory processing test rescontrol and the study groups of	of the central sults in the
Figure (5):	Error bars comparing the GI the three groups	N scores for
Figure (6):	Bar chart showing the sce Memory test results in the cor study groups one and two	ores of the ntrol and the
Figure (7):	Scatter plot showing that ther correlation between the durati in (years) and the Lt DD test regroup 2	e is negative on of disease esult in study

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title Page	No.
Figure (8):	Scatter plot showing that there is negati correlation between the duration of disea in (years) and the Rt DP test result in stugroup 2.	ise dy
Figure (9):	Scatter plot showing that there is negaticorrelation between the duration of diseasin (years) and the Lt DP test result in stugroup 2	ve ise

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
ABR	Auditory brainstem response
	Auditory gap detection
<i>AP</i>	Auditory processing
<i>APD</i>	Auditory Processing Disorder
	American Speech-Language-Hearing Association
<i>BAEPs</i>	Brainstem auditory evoked potentials
<i>CAP</i>	Central auditory processing
<i>CAPD</i>	Central auditory processing disorders
<i>CGRP</i>	Calcitonin gene related peptide
<i>CM</i>	Chronic migraine
CNS	Central nervous system
<i>CSD</i>	Cortical spreading depression
<i>DD</i>	Dichotic digits test
<i>DPOAE</i>	Distortion product otoacoustic emission
<i>DPT</i>	Duration pattern test
<i>DWMH</i>	Deep white matter hyper intensities
<i>fMRI</i>	$Functional\ MRI$
<i>GBD</i>	Global Burden of Disease
<i>GIN</i>	Gap in noise test
<i>GM</i>	Grey matter
ICHD-3	International classification of headache disorders 3 rd -edition
ICHD-3B	International classification of headache disorders 3 rd -edition beta version
ICVD-1	International classification of vestibular disorders
<i>IHS</i>	International headache society
<i>MA</i>	Migraine with aura
<i>MMN</i>	Mismatch negativity

List of Abbreviations (Cont...)

Abb.	Full term
<i>MoA</i>	. Migraine without aura
MOCS	. Medial olivary complex
<i>MRI</i>	. Magnetic resonance imaging
<i>NI</i>	. Neurogenic inflammation
<i>NVDT</i>	.Nonverbal dichotic test
nVNS	.Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation
<i>OAE</i>	. Otoacoustic emissions
<i>OHCs</i>	. Outer hair cells
ONS	. Occipital nerve stimulation
<i>PFC</i>	. Pre frontal cortex
<i>PTA</i>	. Pure-tone audiometry
$Q ext{-}SIN \dots$. Quick-Speech In Noise
<i>SNR</i>	. Signal to noise ratio
<i>SPG</i>	. Sphenopalatine ganglion
<i>SPIN</i>	. Speech in noise test
<i>SSNHL</i>	.Sudden sensorineural hearing loss
<i>Tdcs</i>	. Transcranial direct current stimulation
<i>TGV</i>	$.\ Trigeminovas cular$
<i>TNC</i>	. Trigeminal nucleus caudalis
<i>TTH</i>	. Tension type headache
TVS	. Trigeminovascular system
<i>VBM</i>	. Voxel based morphometry
<i>VEMP</i>	. Vestibular evoked myogenic potentials
<i>VIP</i>	. Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide
<i>VM</i>	. Vestibular migraine
<i>VNG</i>	. Vide on ystgma og raphy

INTRODUCTION AND RATIONALE

✓ igraine is an extraordinary common disabling primary headache disorder. It is an inherited neurovascular disorder that recurs in its typical form as disabling attacks of unilateral throbbing headache, worsened by movements and routine daily activities. It lasts from 4 to 72 hrs associated with nausea, vomiting and increased sensitivity to light and sounds (Bartleson et al., 2010).

In migraine without aura (MoA), headache is commonly unilateral and pulsating, may be associated with nausea and vomiting, and lasts for one or several days. While in migraine with aura (MA), headache is preceded by transient focal neurological symptoms as photophobia and phonophobia. Most migraine attacks start in the brain, as suggested by the premonitory symptoms such as difficulty with speech and reading, increased emotionality, sensory hypersensitivity that in many patients are highly predictive of the attack. Also by nature of some typical migraine triggers such as stress, sleep deprivation, oversleeping, hunger, and prolonged sensory stimulation (Hauge et al., 2011).

Some cochleovestibular symptoms occur commonly in patients with migraine during headache attacks, or in between attacks. These symptoms include, light-headedness, giddy sensation. dizziness, imbalance, motion intolerance, spontaneous attacks of vertigo, photophobia, and tinnitus. On



the other hand, fluctuating hearing loss, sudden deafness, and permanent hearing loss occur in a small percentage of patients with migraine (Mumpuc et al., 2001).

Dizziness or vertigo occurs in 54.5% of patients with migraine. Clinical laboratory vestibular tests in migraine patients with or without dizziness showed a variety of abnormalities including both peripheral and central abnormalities. However, these vestibular abnormalities are more prominent in patients with migraine associated with dizziness (Ishizaki et al., 2002). Kolkiela et al. (2017) confirmed these results they described Eelectroas nystagmographic abnormalities (canal paresis and directional preponderance), that were reported in 55% of migraine patients with vertigo.

auditory processing (CAP) refers to efficiency and effectiveness by which the central nervous system (CNS) utilizes auditory information. *Bellis et al.* (2015) described central auditory processing disorders (CAPD) as an observed deficiency in one or more of the following auditory behaviors: sound localization and lateralization, auditory discrimination, auditory pattern recognition, temporal aspect of (resolution, masking, integration, audition ordering), auditory performance decrements with competing acoustic signals, and auditory performance decrements with degraded acoustic signals. Previous research on migraine patients has reported abnormalities in the auditory brainstem response (ABR). These results indicated impending auditory malfunction