

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





SAFAA MAHMOUD



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



SAFAA MAHMOUD



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



SAFAA MAHMOUD



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education
Department of English Language and Literature

Binding Theory and Scope in Egyptian Arabic: A Minimalist Approach

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Women's College for Arts, Science, and Education-Ain Shams University

By:

Basma Ashraf Abd El-Kader Diab

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts in Linguistics

Under the Supervision of

Pro.Wafaa Abd al-Faheem Batran Wahba

Professor of Linguistics English Department Faculty of Women Ain Shams University

Dr. Rania Galal Hamed

Assistant Professor of Linguistics English Department Faculty of Women Ain Shams University

Dr. Reda Said Khalil

Assistant Professor of Linguistics Faculty of Alsun Aswan University

1441 - 2020

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this thesis is to investigate principles of the Binding Theory (BT) (Chomsky, 1981, 1982, 1986) in Egyptian Arabic (EA) within the framework of Chomsky's Minimalist Program (MP) (1995, 2000a, 2004). The study distinguishes between anaphoricity and logophoricity through a new set of data in EA. In West African languages, anaphors and logophors can easily be distinguishable as they have distinct morphological forms. In contrast, the confusion, in EA and English, arises from being anaphors and logophors have the same morphological form. In order to account for this confusion, it is necessary to resort to Semantics, Pragmatics, and Syntax interface. Throughout the study, constructions from EA shed light on the distinction between syntax and discourse with respect to the BT. On the one hand, anaphors have some syntactic constraints, such as C-command and local domain. On the other hand, logophors are motivated by semantic considerations, such as the internal speaker's perspective, verbs of communication, and the subject of consciousness (SC). Furthermore, logophors, in EA, assume different grammatical functions that cannot be assumed by anaphoric reflexives. In addition, this study focuses on the importance of the semantic side of agreement variation and the notion of individuation, as investigated by Belnap (1993). It is shown that distinguishing between deflected and strict agreement depends on the speaker's perspective of plural subjects. Moreover, there is a feeding relation between the subjectpredicate agreement and the binder-bindee agreement in EA. In addition, the parallelism between PIs and principles of the BT is one of the contributions in this thesis. It has been proved that NPIs and anaphora are governed by Principle A of the BT in EA. NPIs in EA can be licensed either via c-command mechanism or Spec-head configuration. In addition, the study shows a parallelism between PPIs and Principle B in EA. Equally important, this study argues that R-expressions, which are represented by Principle C of the BT, can be coreferential with another R-expression, an epithet or a preceding pronoun as long as there is not a c-command relation between them. This thesis also illustrates the feeding relation between movement and Referential dependency and the relation between definiteness and coreferentiality.

Keywords: The Minimalist Program, Binding Theory, Egyptian Arabic, Logophoricity, Agreement, Negative Polarity Items, Positive Polarity Items, Movement and Referential Dependency.

To my mother,

who made it all possible

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Foremost, I would like to thank Allah Almighty for giving me the knowledge, ability, strength and opportunity to undertake this study and to persevere and complete it. I would also like to express my deep sense of gratitude to my viva examiners Professor Amira Agameya of Cairo University and Professor Hesham Hassan, head of English department at Banha University, who did me the honor of reading this study and providing me with their valuable feedback.

My debts to Prof. Wafaa Abd al-Faheem Batran, my direct thesis supervisor, go beyond this study. Ever since I was in the preliminary year, she has been of a great influence impact on me. I would like to thank her for introducing me to the topic as well for the support on the way. She always provides me with creative ideas and valuable references. Working with Prof. Batran made this study in Syntax an enjoyable experience. In my journey towards this degree, I have found a teacher, a sister, an inspiration, a role model and a pillar of support in my guide, Dr.Rania Galal Hamed. Being my co-advisor, she has given me all the freedom to pursue my research while silently and unobtrusively ensuring that I stay on course and do not deviate from the core of my study. Without her masterful guidance, kind words, and insightful comments, this thesis would not have been possible. I would also wish to express my gratitude to Dr. Reda Said Khalil, my co- advisor, for his endless help, his constant moral encouragement, his patience, and for his wisdom in handling the frustration of dead-ends and writers' block. Without his passionate participation and input, this study could not have been successfully conducted.

My acknowledgements would be incomplete without thanking the biggest source of my strength, my family and friends who kept me going on my path to success, assisting me in whatever manner possible. Blessings of my mother and the love and care of my siblings; Alaa, Rahma, and Ahmed who never let things get dull or boring. This would not have been possible without their unwavering and unselfish love and support given to me at all times. Finally, I cannot find words to thank my mother enough for the love and support that cannot be measured. She is the light in the darkest times. Also, for helping me with the EA examples that needed another native speaker to check for their use or lack thereof. Thank You.

List of Abbreviations

Below is a list of abbreviations and symbols used throughout this study:

Abbreviation	Definition
1 st	First Person
2 nd	Second Person
3 rd	Third Person
A	Adjective
Acc	Accusative
AGR	Agreement
AP	Adjective Phrase
APS	Argument From Poverty of the Stimulus
BT	Binding Theory
С	Complementizer
СВ	Clause Bound
CC	Clausal Category
CCA	Cairene Colloquial Arabic
C-command	Constituent Command
СР	Complementizer Phrase
DP	Determiner Phrase
DPV	Domain of Point of View
DS	Deep Structure
EA	Egyptian Arabic
EF	Edge Feature
EPP	Extended Principle Projection
F	Feminine
GB	Government and Binding
GC	Governing Category

GG	Generative Grammar
IF	Interpretable Feature
LDB	Long Distance Bound
LDR	Long Distance Reflexive
LF	Logical Form
LFR	Locally Free Reflexive
M	Masculine
MP	The Minimalist Program
N	Noun
NEG	Negator
Nom	Nominative
NomP	Nominal Phraa
NP	Noun Phrase
NPI	Negative Polarity Item
P	Preposition
P&P	Principles and Parameters
PF	Phonetic Form
PL	Plural
PolP	Polarity Phrase
PP	Prepositional Phrase
PPI	Positive Polarity Item
Pres	Present
R-expression	Referential Expression
S	Sentence
SA	Standard Arabic (Also known as Modern Standard Arabic)
SAP	Speech Act Phrase
SC	Subject of Consciousness
SG	Singular

Spec	Specifier			
SS	Surface Structure			
SSC	Specified Subject Condition			
svo	Subject Verb Object			
T,TNS	Tense			
ТорР	Topic Phrase			
TP	Tense Phrase			
TSC	Tensed Sentence Condition			
U Case	Uninterruptable Case			
UF	Uninterruptable Feature			
UG	Universal Grammar			
V	Verb			
VOS	Verb Object Subject			
VP	Verb Phrase			
X	Head			
X'	X Bar			
φ features	Phi Features			

Table 1. Abbreviations used in the thesis.

The following tables¹ are charts of the IPA symbols used along with their corresponding Arabic letters:

		Labial	Pain		Emphatic		Palatal	Velar	Uvular	Pha.
		Labiai	Dental	Alveolar	Dental	Alveolar	1 aiatai	V Clai	Vulai	1 114.
Nasal		m م		nن						
Stop	Voiceless			t ت	t bţ			শ্ৰ k	p ق	
	Voiced	b	7 d		d ض			₹ g		
Fricative	Voiceless	f	θث	s س		۽ ص	š ش		Ċ x ~ X	þ۲
	Voiced		79	jz	_z ظ				Ėy	32
Trill					٦r					
Approxim	ant					J1~1	j ي	W e		

Table 2. Modern standard Arabic Consonant Phonemes.

Due to space considerations, the following symbols do not occur in the chart:

- a. The symbol for the glottal voiceless fricative (h) ().
- b. The symbol for the Glottal Voiceless stop (?) (\$).
- c. The symbol for the Voiceless post-alveolar affricate(tf)

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:IPA_for_Arabic].

¹These charts are cited from Wikipedia [http://en.wikipedia.org]:

⁽I) The Consonant table is taken from: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arabic_phonology].

⁽II) The vowels table is adapted from:

Vowels	Description	Examples	Trans.
/ i /	short high unrounded vowel	?a:bil	met
/i:/	long high front unrounded vowel	Ha:ni	Proper name
/ u /	short high back rounded vowel	-hum	them
/u:/	back close rounded vowel	jaḥeb-u:	They love
/a/	short mid unrounded vowel	ja-7aḍar	appreciate
/a:/	long mid unrounded vowel	?in-na:s	The People
/o/	mid half close back rounded vowel	Hoda:	Proper name
/o:/	back half open and half close rounded vowel	Mo:t Do:l	Very much These

Table 3. Vowels in Arabic.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Acknowledgments	iii
List of Abbreviations	iv
Chapter one: Introduction	·1
1.0 Introduction	2
1.1. Languages and Data	2
1.2. Objectives of the Study	3
1.3. Research Questions	
1.4. Theoretical Framework	4
1.4.1. Generative Grammar (GG) & Language Acquisition	4
1.4.2. Language Acquisition and Universal Grammar (UG)	5
1.4.3. Principles and Parameters (P&P)	5
1.4.4. Government and Binding Theory (GB)	7
1.4.4.1. Government	7
1.4.4.2. Binding Theory (BT)	8
1.4.5. X-bar Theory	10
1.4.6. Theta Theory	13
1.4.7. Case Theory	13
1.4.8. The Minimalist Program (MP)	14
1.4.8.1. Feature Checking	14
1.4.8.2. Merge	17
1.4.8.3. Move α	18
1.5. Literature Review	19
1.5.1 Binding Theory (BT) in Egyptian Arabic	19
1.5.2. Deflected Agreement	22
1.5.2.1. Belnap (1993)	22
1.5.2.2. Belnap (1999)	26
1.5.2.3. Brustad (2000)	28
1.5.2.4. Ryding (2005)	33

1.5.3. Long Distance Reflexivization & Logophoricity	34
1.5.3.1. Choi (1999)	34
1.5.3.2. Konig and Siemund (2000)	38
1.5.3.3. Huang (2000)	40
1.5.3.4. Zribi-Hertz (1989)	43
1.5.4. A Binding Approach to Polarity Items	47
1.6. Organization of the Study	50
1.7. Conclusion	51
Chapter Two: Binding Principle A: Anaphora in EA	53
2.0. Introduction	54
2.1. Anaphoricity	55
2.1.1 Anaphors in EA	55
2.1.2. Universal Properties of Anaphors	56
2.1.2.1. Antecedence and Co-referentiality	56
2.1.2.2. Agreement	58
2.1.2.3. Scope & C-command	59
2.1.2.4. Anaphoric Domain and Locality Constraint	64
2.2. Parallelism between Negative Polarity Items and Principle A	66
2.2.1 Negative Polarity Items within the Domain of the Licenser	68
2.2.1.1. xa:liş /At all	69
2.2.1.2. ?aj / Any	70
2.2.1.3. leḥad / Until	71
2.2.2. Negative Polarity Items outside the Domain of the Licenser	74
2.2.2.1. lissah/ Yet	74
2.2.2.2. Sumr/ Ever	76
2.3. Strict and Deflected Agreement of Anaphors in EA	81
2.4. Logophoricity	84
2.4.1. Logophors in EA	87
2.4.2. The Logophoric Domain	89
2.4.3. Diagnostics of Logophors in EA	90
2.4.3.1 Logophoric Context	91

2.4.3.2 Long Distance Co-reference and Subject of Consciousness (SC)	96
2.5. Conclusion	102
Chapter Three. Binding Principle B: Pronouns in EA	104
3.0 Introduction	105
3.1. Form and Syntactic Constraints on Pronouns in EA	106
3.1.1. Types of Pronouns in EA	107
3.1.2. Syntactic Constraints on Pronouns in EA	109
3.1.2.1. Antecedence	109
3.1.2.2. Agreement	110
3.1.2.3. Deflected Agreement	112
3.1.2.4. The Domain/ Scope of Pronouns in EA	114
3.2. Parallelism between Positive Polarity Items and Principle B in EA	120
3.2.1. Positive Polarity Items in EA	123
3.2.1.1. xala:ş /Already	123
3.2.1.2. lissah/ Still	125
3.2.1.3. Šiwajit / Some	127
3.3. Conclusion	130
Chapter Four. Binding Principle C: R-expressions in EA	131
4.0 Introduction	132
4.1. Properties of R-expressions in English	132
4.1.1. The domain of R-expressions in EA	133
4.1.2. C-command and Co-referentiality with Reference to R-expressions	136
4.1.2.1 C-command as a Constraint on R-expressions in EA	143
4.1.2.2 Definiteness and Co-reference in EA	149
4.2. Epithets and Referential Dependency	150
4.2.1. Epithets in English	150
4.2.2. Epithets in EA	155
4.2.2.1 C-command as a Constraint on Epithets in EA	157
4.2.2.2 Movement of Epithets and Referential Dependency in EA	161
4.2 Conclusion	16/