



**Ain Shams University  
Faculty of Engineering**

**Effect of Demand Response and Energy Storage on  
Power System Operation**

**By**

**Eng. Mohamed Abdallah Mahmoud Shaheen**

A Thesis Submitted for the Requirement of Master of  
Science Degree in Electrical Power Engineering

Supervised By

**Prof. Dr. Hossam Eldin Abdallah Talaat**

Professor - Electrical Power and Machines Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Hany Mohamed Hasanien**

Professor - Electrical Power and Machines Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Said Fouad Mekhamer**

Professor - Electrical Power and Machines Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Cairo

2020

I

## **SUPERVISORS COMMITTEE**

**Name:** Mohamed Abdallah Mahmoud Shaheen

**Thesis title:** Effect of Demand Response and Energy Storage on Power System Operation

**Degree:** Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the M.sc. degree in electrical engineering

### **Name, Title and Affiliation**

### **Signature**

1. Prof. Dr. Hossam Eldin Abdallah Talaat  
Electrical Power and Machines Department  
Faculty of Engineering  
Ain Shams University
2. Prof. Dr. Hany Mohamed Hasanien  
Electrical Power and Machines Department  
Faculty of Engineering  
Ain Shams University
3. Prof. Dr. Said Fouad Mekhamer  
Electrical Power and Machines Department  
Faculty of Engineering  
Ain Shams University

## **EXAMINERS COMMITTEE**

**Name:** Mohamed Abdallah Mahmoud Shaheen

**Thesis title:** Effect of Demand Response and Energy Storage on Power System Operation

**Degree:** Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the M.sc. degree in electrical engineering

### **Name, Title and Affiliation**

### **Signature**

- 1. Prof. Dr. Essam El-Din Mohamed Aboul Zahab**  
Electrical Power and Machines Department  
Faculty of Engineering  
Cairo University
- 2. Prof. Dr. Tarek Saad Abdel Salam**  
Electrical Power and Machines Department  
Faculty of Engineering  
Ain Shams University
- 3. Prof. Dr. Hossam Eldin Abdallah Talaat**  
Electrical Power and Machines Department  
Faculty of Engineering  
Ain Shams University
- 4. Prof. Dr. Hany Mohamed Hasanien**  
Electrical Power and Machines Department  
Faculty of Engineering  
Ain Shams University

## **Statement**

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for Msc. degree in Electrical Engineering.

The included work in this thesis has been carried out by the author at the Electrical Power and Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University. No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

**Name** : Mohamed Abdallah Mahmoud Shaheen

**Signature:**

**Date** : / / 2020

**To**

**My Parents, and my family.**

## **Acknowledgements**

The author would like to express his sincerest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Hossam Eldeen Abdallah Talaat, Prof. Dr. Hany Mohamed Hasanien, and Prof. Dr. Said Fouad Mekhamer** for the great support, excellent supervision and encouragement shown during the period of this study.

Special thanks to the Electrical Power and Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering; Ain shams University and Future University in Egypt (FUE), for the great support and encouragement.

## ABSTRACT

The penetration level of Renewable Energy Resources (RESs) in the electrical power system is increasing as they provide a cleaner and a cheaper alternative as compared to conventional electricity generators. The main challenge to the spread of these RESs is that they are not dispatchable due to their intermittent nature. Hence, their coincidence with demand is not guaranteed, and this affects system reliability.

The main aim of this research is to assess the effectiveness of utilizing new metaheuristic optimization algorithms; namely the SunFlower Optimization (SFO) algorithm, the Hybrid Firefly and Particle Swarm Optimization (HFPSO) technique, and the Harris Hawks Optimization (HHO) in solving a constrained Optimal Power Flow (OPF) problem. The principle target is to minimize the generating units' fuel cost under the power system' practical constraints. At initial stage, the objective function is solved to find the optimal locations of photovoltaic (PV) generators and/or wind generators within the system under study. Then, different scenarios are performed to solve the OPF problem including and excluding renewable energy sources. The generators' real output power defines the exploration field for the OPF problem. The SFO, the HFPSO, and the HHO algorithms are applied, one at a time, to minimize the fitness function and yield the best solutions of the problem. The suggested techniques are applied to four standard test systems to check the validity of the proposed algorithms. These test systems are the IEEE 14-bus, 30-bus, 57-bus, and 118-bus networks respectively. Simulations, with different scenarios, are implemented on these networks. To obtain a realistic result, real daily load curves are considered in this study. The results of simulations are investigated and analyzed. Results confirm the feasibility, effectiveness, and superiority of the introduced SFO, HFPSO, and HHO -based OPF methodologies, especially when compared with the genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization.

# LIST OF CONTENTS

Abstract	VII
List of contents	VIII
List of figures	XI
List of tables	XV
List of symbols	XVIII
<b>Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 MOTIVATION	2
1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	5
1.4 THESIS OUTLINE	6
<b>Chapter 2: OPTIMAL POWER FLOW PRINCIPLES AND APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 FROM ECONOMIC LOAD DISPATCH TO OPTIMAL POWER FLOW	7
2.2 OPF PROBLEM	8
2.3 OPF APPLICATIONS	12
<b>Chapter 3: PROBLEM FORMULATION</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1 CLASSICAL OPF	16
3.1.1 • Objective function	16
3.1.2 • Constraints	17
3.2 OPTIMAL SITING OF PV PANELS AND WIND FARM	18
3.3 OPF WITH VARIABLE LOADS AND RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES	18

	<b>Chapter 4: OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHMS USED IN THE OPF PROBLEM</b>	21
4.1	CONVENTIONAL OPTIMIZATION METHODS	21
4.2	INNOVATIVE META-HEURISTIC OPTIMIZATION METHODS	22
4.3	THE SUNFLOWER OPTIMIZATION (SFO) ALGORITHM	24
4.4	THE HYBRID FIREFLY AND PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION (HFPSO) ALGORITHM	29
4.5	THE HARRIS HAWKS OPTIMIZATION (HHO) ALGORITHM	33
4.5.1	• Exploration phase	33
4.5.2	• Evolution from exploration to exploitation	34
4.5.3	• Exploitation phase	34
	<b>Chapter 5: SIMULATION RESULTS</b>	38
5.1	OPTIMAL POWER FLOW PROBLEM OF BASIC SYSTEM	39
5.1.1	• Simulation Results of The Standard IEEE 14-Bus Test System	40
5.1.2	• Simulation Results of The Standard IEEE 30-Bus Test System	44
5.1.3	• Simulation Results of The Standard IEEE 57-Bus Test System	48
5.1.4	• Simulation Results of The Standard IEEE 118-Bus Test System	51

5.1.5	• Discussion on the results of the OPF of basic systems	54
5.2	OPTIMAL SITING OF RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCES	55
5.3	OPF WITH RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCES	57
5.3.1	• Simulation Results of The Standard IEEE 14-Bus Test System	60
5.3.2	• Simulation Results of The Standard IEEE 30-Bus Test System	66
5.3.3	• Simulation Results of The Standard IEEE 57-Bus Test System	72
5.3.4	• Simulation Results of The Standard IEEE 118-Bus Test System	78
5.3.5	• Performance Evaluation of The Adopted Optimization Algorithms in Solving the OPF of Various Systems	81
	<b>Chapter 6: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK</b>	87
	REFERENCES	89
	PUBLICATIONS	100
	APPENDIX A: Systems Data	101
	APPENDIX B: A Sample for the Detailed Optimization Results	126

## LIST OF FIGURES

- Fig. 3-1. PV panel output power of a typical winter day.
- Fig. 3-2. wind turbine output power of a typical winter day.
- Fig. 4-1. SFO Algorithm.
- Fig. 4-2. Initial population of flowers and identification of the sun.
- Fig. 4-3. Orientation of sunflowers towards the sun.
- Fig. 4-4. Best flowers pollinate around the sun.
- Fig. 4-5. HFPSO Algorithm.
- Fig. 4-6. HHO Algorithm.
- Fig. 5-1. Convergence of the objective function using the SFO vs the PSO, and the GA for 14-bus system.
- Fig. 5-2. Convergence of the objective function using the HFPSO vs the PSO, and the GA for 14-bus system.
- Fig. 5-3. Convergence of the objective function using the SFO vs the PSO, and the GA for 30-bus system.
- Fig. 5-4. Convergence of the objective function using the HFPSO vs the PSO, and the GA for 30-bus system.
- Fig. 5-5. Convergence of the objective function using the HFPSO vs the PSO, and the GA for 57-bus system.
- Fig. 5-6. Convergence of the objective function using the HHO vs the GA for 57-bus system.
- Fig. 5-7. Convergence of the objective function using the HHO vs the GA for 118-bus system.
- Fig. 5-8. Load Curves for IEEE 14-bus system.
- Fig. 5-9. Load Curves for IEEE 30-bus system.
- Fig. 5-10. Load curves for IEEE 57-bus system
- Fig. 5-11. Load curves for IEEE 118-bus system

- Fig. 5-12. Cost comparison between SFO, PSO, and GA of case 1 for 14-bus system.
- Fig. 5-13. Cost comparison between HFPSO, PSO, and GA of case 2 for 14-bus system.
- Fig. 5-14. Cost comparison between SFO, PSO, and GA of case 3 for 14-bus system.
- Fig. 5-15. Cost comparison between SFO, PSO, and GA of case 4 for 14-bus system.
- Fig. 5-16. Cost comparison between SFO, PSO, and GA of case 5 for 14-bus system.
- Fig. 5-17. Cost comparison between four cases of 14- bus system using SFO.
- Fig. 5-18. Cost comparison between SFO, PSO, and GA of case 6 for 30-bus system.
- Fig. 5-19. Cost comparison between HFPSO, PSO, and GA of case 7 for 30-bus system.
- Fig. 5-20. Cost comparison between SFO, PSO, and GA of case 8 for 30-bus system.
- Fig. 5-21. Cost comparison between SFO, PSO, and GA of case 9 for 30-bus system.
- Fig. 5-22. Cost comparison between SFO, PSO, and GA of case 10 for 30-bus system.
- Fig. 5-23. Cost comparison between four cases of 30- bus system.
- Fig. 5-24. Cost comparison between HFPSO, PSO, and GA of case 11 for 57-bus system.
- Fig. 5-25. Cost comparison between HHO and GA of case 12 for 57-bus system.

- Fig. 5-26. Cost comparison between HHO and GA of case 13 for 57-bus system.
- Fig. 5-27. Cost comparison between HHO and GA of case 14 for 57-bus system.
- Fig. 5-28. Cost comparison between HHO and GA of case 15 for 57-bus system.
- Fig. 5-29. Cost comparison between four cases of 57- bus system
- Fig. 5-30. Cost comparison between HHO and GA of case 16 for 118-bus system.
- Fig. 5-31. Cost comparison between HHO and GA of case 17 for 118-bus system.
- Fig. 5-32. Cost comparison between HHO and GA of case 18 for 118-bus system.
- Fig. 5-33. Cost comparison between HHO and GA of case 19 for 118-bus system.
- Fig. 5-34. Cost comparison between four cases of 118- bus system.
- Fig. 5-35. Daily costs comparisons of the 14-bus system.
- Fig. 5-36. Cost reduction vs penetration level in case of the 14-bus system.
- Fig. 5-37. Daily costs comparisons of the 30-bus system.
- Fig. 5-38. Cost reduction vs penetration level in case of the 30-bus system.
- Fig. 5-39. Daily cost comparisons of the 14-bus system.Daily costs comparisons of the 57-bus system.
- Fig. 5-40. Cost reduction vs penetration level in case of the 57-bus system.
- Fig. 5-41. Daily costs comparisons of the 118-bus system.

- Fig. 5-42. Cost reduction vs penetration level in case of the 118-bus system.
- Fig. A-1. Single line diagram of The IEEE 14-Bus Test System.
- Fig. A-2. Single line diagram of The IEEE 30-Bus Test System.
- Fig. A-3. Single line diagram of The IEEE 57-Bus Test System.
- Fig. A-4. Single line diagram of The IEEE 118-Bus Test System.

## LIST OF TABLES

- Table 5-1. Main characteristics of the IEEE 14-bus, 30-bus, 57-bus, and 118-bus networks.
- Table 5-2. Summary of simulation parameters between parameters of SFO, PSO, and GA for 14-bus system
- Table 5-3. Optimal control variables for the classical OPF using the SFO, the GA and the PSO for 14-bus system.
- Table 5-4. Summary of simulation parameters between parameters of HFPSO, PSO, and GA for 14-bus system
- Table 5-5. Optimal control variables for the classical OPF using the HFPSO, the GA and the PSO for 14-bus system.
- Table 5-6. Summary of simulation parameters between parameters of SFO, PSO, and GA for 30-bus system
- Table 5-7. Optimal control variables for the classical OPF using the SFO, the GA and the PSO for 30-bus system.
- Table 5-8. Summary of simulation parameters between parameters of HFPSO, PSO, and GA for 30-bus system
- Table 5-9. Optimal control variables for the classical OPF using the HFPSO, the GA and the PSO for 30-bus system.